ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

PROGRAMMATIC RISK ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

The purpose of this assessment is to evaluate the programmatic risk of the applicant. Limited program experience, protocols, and internal control governing program delivery will increase an applicant's degree of risk but will not preclude the applicant from becoming a grantee. The applicant's degree of risk may require additional conditions to be incorporated into the grant award pursuant to 2 CFR 200.207.

Patterns or trends in programmatic risk will influence GATA training as well as the agency's monitoring plan. Appropriate support must be provided by GATU and the agency to build greater capacity.

Process:

- A. The agency adds agency and/or grant-specific questions under section 5.
- B. The questionnaire (including the agency and/or grant-specific questions) is distributed to the applicant by the agency prior to an awarding decision.
- C. The applicant returns the completed questionnaire to the agency. The agency scores the questionnaire based on the responses provided by the applicant. (The automated form will score the responses.)
- D. The calculated responses equate to a risk profile for each of the 5 risk categories.
- E. The agency aligns the risk profile to the applicable specific condition(s) for medium and high-risk applicants in each of the 5 risk categories.
- F. The agency communicates the applicable specific condition(s) within the Notice of State Award.

A separate programmatic risk assessment is required for <u>each</u> grant application. Responses should be specific to the program outlined in the associated notice of funding opportunity.

Program Associated with this Programmatic Risk Assessment	Energy Efficiency Conservation and Block Grant Program
Awarding State Agency	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Entity Completing	
Programmatic Risk	
Assessment	
Individual Completing	
Programmatic Risk	
Assessment	
Contact Information for	
Completer (Phone and	
Email)	

In response to the requirements of 2 CFR 200.205, the awarding agency is required to review the programmatic risk posed by applicants. Five risk categories are assessed through this questionnaire:

1. Management systems and standards

1.1. Do you have written procedures and policies that guide program delivery on the topics of:

Topic	Yes	No
Quality assurance		
Outcome tracking and reporting mechanisms		
Relevant documentation of services/goods delivered		
Staff performance management policies and procedures		
Personnel policies and procedures that include conflict of interest statements		
Complaint/grievance resolution policies and procedures		
Governing body policies and procedures that include conflict of interest statements		
Safeguarding funds, property, and other assets against loss from unauthorized use or disposition		
Management of grant term extensions, where applicable		

1.2. Do you have internal controls that govern program delivery on the topics of:

Topic	Yes	No	Not
			Applicable
Quality assurance reporting			
Appropriate (to industry) supervision of staff			
Unit costs analysis and management			
Accreditation/licensing compliance program			
Personnel policies and procedures that include conflict of interest			
statements			
Complaint/grievance resolution policies and procedures			
Governing body policies and procedures that include conflict of			
interest statements			
Safeguarding funds, property, and other assets against loss from			
unauthorized use or disposition			
Management of grant term extensions, where applicable			

1.2 Beauthy consideration have unitary standards of sound at according and a grant and conflict of
1.3. Does the organization have written standards of conduct covering real or perceived conflict of
interest related to actions of employees engaged in the selection, award, or administration of
contracts supported by grant awards?
☐ Yes
□ No

	1.4. How many years of experience does the project leader or key	program	staff have ma	naging the
	scope of services required under this program?			
	\square More than five years			
	\square One to five years			
	\square Less than one year			
	1.5. Does the organization have a time and effort system that:			
	Topic	Yes	No	Not
				Applicable
	Records all time worked, and separates time applied to each program			
	Signed-off by employee and a supervisor			
	Includes an approved methodology			
	None of the above are applicable because grants are based on a			
	set rate or a per unit of service			
	 □ No 1.7. Does the organization apply the same standard for match required in Yes □ No □ Not Applicable – we've not previously been subjected. 1.8. To what extent are you able to produce periodic grant status programmatic outcomes and financial status? □ Reports are an established part of grant managem. □ We're developing reports as part of grant manage. □ We do not currently have established reports as part. 	t to mate reports t ent proc ment pro	ch requirement o inform stake edures ocedures	ts holders about
2.	Performance History 2.1. How many years of experience does your organization have very capacity? More than five years One to five years Less than one year No experience – GO TO QUESTION 3.3	vith gran	ts of comparab	le scope and/or

2.2. Has your organization successfully performed the same or substantial years?☐ Yes☐ No	lly same grant ii	n the last three
2.3. Provide a brief description of the grants of comparable scope and/or goals and outcomes and specify the applicable year of performance.	capacity, includ	ing project
2.4. During your last two fiscal years, how frequently has your organizatio performance and financial reports on time?☐ Always	n submitted pro	pject
\square Reported late up to three times		
☐ Reported late four or more times		
\square Not applicable – not a requirement of awards previously re	eceived	
2.5. Have there been any significant changes in your organization in the la	st fiscal vear re	lated to:
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Topic	Yes	No
Topic Leadership changes	<u>, </u>	
Topic Leadership changes Significant program/grant initiatives	<u>, </u>	
Topic Leadership changes Significant program/grant initiatives Structural changes	<u>, </u>	
Topic Leadership changes Significant program/grant initiatives	<u>, </u>	
Topic Leadership changes Significant program/grant initiatives Structural changes Fiscal changes	<u>, </u>	
Topic Leadership changes Significant program/grant initiatives Structural changes Fiscal changes Statutory or regulatory requirements	Yes	
Leadership changes Significant program/grant initiatives Structural changes Fiscal changes Statutory or regulatory requirements 2.6. Provide a brief description for all "Yes" responses to question 2.5.	Yes rs?	No

	2.9. What responsibilities will/does the sub-recipient perform?
	☐ Performance reporting
	☐ Financial reporting
	☐ Procurement
	☐ Contractual services for program functions
	☐ Other, explain:
	2.10.What percentage of grant funds does your organization pass on to sub-grantees/sub-recipients/sub-awards?
	☐ Less than 10%
	□ 10-20%
	☐ More than 20%
	2.11.Does your organization have an implement policy for sub-grantee monitoring?
	☐ Yes
	□ No
	If no, go to 2.11. If yes, does it include:
	☐ On-site review
	☐ Review of prior monitoring
	☐ Desk/quantitative review
	_ besty quantitative review
	2.12. Does your organization have performance measurements that tie to financial data?
	☐ Yes
	□ No
3.	Reports and findings from audits performed under Subpart F – Audit Requirements of this part or the
	reports and findings of any other available audit
	3.1. During the last two fiscal years, has your organization been out of compliance with programmatic
	terms and conditions of awards?
	☐ Organization has not been audited; go to question 3.6
	☐ No occurrences of non-compliance; go to question 3.6
	 One to three occurrences of non-compliance
	\square Four or more occurrences of non-compliance

3.2. If your organization had at least one occurrence of non-compliance with programmatic terms and

conditions, summarize each occurrence.

		☐ Yes ☐ No
	3.4.	Provide explanation for any corrective actions that were not implemented within the timeframe specified and for any corrective actions that remain open.
	3.5.	Has there been a conflict of interest-related finding within the last two fiscal years? No, go to question 3.6 Yes, specify the conflict of interest-related finding below and your response to the finding.
	3.6.	Has your organization been subject to conditional approvals due to program issues? \[\sum \text{No, to go question 4.1} \] \[\sum \text{Yes, specify the terms of the special conditions below and whether or not the special condition is still applicable.}
4.	awardee	icant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on is. To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses are reasonable, necessary, and prudent (allowable)? Policies are implemented and followed Policies are not fully implemented The organization does not currently have these types of policies
	4.2.	To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic activities are allowable? Policies are implemented and followed Policies are not fully implemented The organization does not currently have these types of policies
	4.3.	Has the organization been out of compliance with any statutory, regulatory or other requirements of grant funding within the last two fiscal years? □ No □ Yes, provide explanation below.

3.3. Have corrective actions been implemented within the specified timeframe?

	-specific Questions (as applicable based on terms of the Notice of Funding Opportunity) . How significant are the program funds in relation to the organizations overall budget? . Less than 25% . 26% - 50% . More than 50%
5.2.	 Is adequate staffing capacity planned for the program implementation? ☐ Yes ☐ No
5.3.	 Will the program require scaling up staff capacity? □ 0% □ Up to 50% of staffing □ Up to 100% of staffing □ More than 100%
5.4.	. Has one staff person been designated to oversee performance reporting for this program? ☐ Yes ☐ No
5.5	 Is there a segregation of duties amongst staff to ensure accurate and validated reporting? ☐ Yes ☐ No
5.6.	. Are staff preparing reports familiar with program requirements, deliverables, and outcomes? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	Certification Section
and that all	t the responses provided to the Programmatic Risk Assessment Questionnaire are true and accurat occurrence of non-compliance with programmatic requirements addressed through this ire have been disclosed.
Authorized	Signature Date