PUBLIC MEETING 11/14/2019

Page 1

	- rage r
1	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
2	PUBLIC MEETING
3	VANTAGE SPECIALTIES, INC. IN GURNEE
4	
5	
6	November 14, 2019
7	6:30 p.m. College of Lake County
	19351 West Washington Street
8	Auditorium Grayslake, Illinois
9	
10	***********
11	
12	Panel:
13	Mr. James Lee Morgan, Meeting Moderator
14	Mr. Brad Frost Mr. Christopher Romaine
15	Mr. Daniel Rowell Mr. Kevin Mattison
	Mr. Steve King
16	
17	Agency Attorney:
18	Mr. Robb Lyman
19	rir. Nobb Hyman
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
1	

1 MR. MORGAN: Good evening and welcome. 2 The purpose of this meeting is to obtain 3 comments from as many of you as possible on the draft 4 construction permit to bring the Vantage Specialties, 5 Inc., facility located at 3938 Porett Drive in Gurnee 6 into compliance with the requirements of Public Act 7 101-0023 by placing a limit on the amount of ethylene 8 oxide emitted. I am Jim Morgan, and I will be the meeting 9 10 moderator in this auditorium. To facilitate getting 11 as many comments as possible, we also have a second 12 smaller room, Conference Center A013, across the hall 13 with a court reporter where Christine Zeivel will be 14 the moderator. 15 As moderators, our responsibility is to 16 assure that we receive everyone's comments in an 17 orderly and respectful manner so that you all can hear and understand each comment and the court 18 19 reporter can take them down accurately for subsequent 20 review and response. 2.1 There will be simultaneous translation of 2.2 each comment made into Spanish. If you have a 23 headset, would you test it and make sure it's 24 working, and if it's not, raise your hand and let us

- 1 know and we'll see if we can get that corrected.
- We also have an Agency employee who will be
- 3 available to translate comments made in Spanish into
- 4 English in this room for the court reporter to take
- 5 down. No translation services will be available in
- 6 the second location.
- 7 With me on the stage or in the audience are
- 8 Brad Frost, Chris Romaine, Daniel Rowell,
- 9 Kevin Mattison, Dan Delgado, in the front row,
- 10 Robb Layman and Steve King.
- 11 At the registration table are Maggie Lenkart
- 12 and Veronica Tellez. Brad is with our Office of
- 13 Community Relations and will be making a presentation
- 14 regarding the proposed permit. Chris and Daniel are
- 15 with the permits section and will be involved in the
- 16 review and consideration of your comments and the
- 17 final permit action. Steve is with the Air Quality
- 18 Planning Section. Kevin is the Agency's emissions
- 19 and monitoring expert, and he was and will be
- 20 involved in the permit decision process. Dan is with
- 21 the Field Operations Section and will be translating
- 22 from Spanish to English as needed. If you wish to
- 23 make your comments in Spanish when it is your turn,
- 24 please speak -- please let us know and Dan will

1	assist you. Dan has a few introductory comments
2	right now.
3	(Whereupon, Mr. Delgado made
4	introductory comments in
5	Spanish.)
6	MR. MORGAN: Thank you.
7	Our court reporter tonight is
8	Erin Eckenstahler, and we appreciate her efforts. To
9	make her job easier and to make sure we get a
10	complete record of your comments, here are a few
11	guidelines.
12	First to the speakers, I will call up the
13	first three speakers and have you sit in designated
14	seats in the front row. As each speaker finishes, I
15	will announce the next speaker and then call the next
16	person in line from the audience; that person should
17	come forward and take the empty seat. Right now each
18	speaker will have five minutes to speak. I will
19	signal when you have one minute left, and I will
20	inform you when your time is up. Because of the
21	anticipated number of people who wish to speak, we
22	will have a very limited ability to respond to
23	questions. If you do have questions, those questions
24	will be answered in the written response to comments.

If you have questions about matters seeking

Fax: 314.644.1334

2 information necessary to formulate your comments, 3 such as, where the emission limit in the draft permit 4 is or what a term means or what are the monitoring 5 requirements, we will try to answer them as time 6 allows, but they too will be addressed in the 7 response to comments. 8 When you speak, please repeat your name and 9 spell your last name, and if you are speaking on 10 behalf of any organization, please identify that

organization. If you are reading from a written

statement, you are welcome to leave a copy with me or

with either of the ladies at the registration desk.

- 14 When you speak, we ask that you speak clearly,
- deliberately, and into the microphone.
- Now for the audience, it is not likely that
- 17 everyone has the same opinion regarding the proposed
- 18 permit. I ask that you be respectful of each
- 19 speaker, keep conversations among yourselves to a
- 20 minimum and not engage in any outbursts or make any
- 21 comments while anyone is speaking. Comments made
- 22 anywhere other than at a microphone will not be
- 23 included in the transcript.
- 24 With those preliminary matters out of the

1

11

12

13

- 1 way, I will ask Mr. Frost to proceed with his
- 2 presentation.
- 3 MR. FROST: Good evening and welcome to
- 4 tonight's meeting.
- 5 I'm going to have a very short presentation
- 6 that goes over the facility and the purpose of the
- 7 meeting tonight, which is the draft construction
- 8 permit for Vantage Specialties, and then as Jim had
- 9 said, we'll proceed to the comments.
- 10 So, again, the purpose of the -- as Jim had
- 11 said, the purpose of the meeting is for us to receive
- 12 your comments on the draft construction permit. The
- 13 construction permit is required under the recent
- 14 legislation and so we typically, and in this case,
- 15 knowing that there's significant public interest, we
- 16 have meetings like this and have outreach such that
- 17 you can provide your comments because your comments
- do improve our decision-making and our draft permits.
- 19 So Senate Bill 1854 was effective June 21,
- 20 2019. And I guess I forgot to mention this. We do
- 21 have, as you can see, the Spanish language is
- 22 interpolated between the -- on the slides and offset
- 23 so it's a little easier to read.
- 24 So the law became effective June 21, and it

- 1 requires within 180 days of the effective date that
- 2 Vantage must have a permit from the Agency.
- 3 The law requires that the permit impose a
- 4 site-specific annual cap on ethylene oxide emissions
- 5 set to protect the public health; and the law
- 6 requires that the permit include permit conditions
- 7 granting the Agency the authority to reopen the
- 8 permit if the Agency determines that the emissions of
- 9 ethylene oxide from the non-negligible ethylene oxide
- 10 emissions sources, of which Vantage is one, pose a
- 11 risk to the public health.
- 12 And I'm sure this will come up when we -- we
- 13 are not a public health agency, and we look to
- 14 U.S. EPA for our rules and our standards and for
- 15 those kinds of guide -- or for guidelines in looking
- 16 at that, and so they typically use a number -- they
- 17 do use a number of a hundred in a million, so that's
- 18 the level that we're looking at when we look to that.
- 19 And that will come up a little bit later in my
- 20 slides.
- 21 So Vantage Specialties and their operations
- 22 is -- Vantage is a chemical product manufacturer.
- 23 They manufacture ingredients used in personal care,
- 24 food and industrial products, and then ethylene oxide

- 1 is used as a raw material in certain of their
- 2 operations.
- 3 The ethylene oxide is received in
- 4 pressurized railcars, it's stored in pressurized
- 5 storage tanks, and pumped to and used in sealed
- 6 reactors. The ethylene oxide is almost entirely
- 7 consumed in the process. So it's a raw material
- 8 that's actually used in the reactions.
- 9 The remainder is vented to a scrubber and is
- 10 followed by a dry bed absorption device, and that dry
- 11 bed absorption device was installed in April '19 to
- 12 further reduce emissions at the source.
- So, obviously, between the things such as
- 14 the railcar and the storage tanks and the reactors,
- 15 there's piping for -- so it's for them loading and
- 16 for the storage tanks and for the alkoxylation area,
- 17 and it includes components such as pumps, pressure
- 18 relief devices, valves, connectors and flares that
- 19 can be sources of fugitive emissions of ethylene
- 20 oxide.
- 21 The components containing ethylene oxide are
- 22 subject to the requirements for periodic operational
- 23 monitoring to identify leaks and requirements for
- 24 timely repair.

1 And then since May of 2019 Vantage has 2 implemented an enhanced leak detection and repair 3 program, and that enhanced leak detection and repair 4 program has more frequent monitoring and more 5 stringent criterion for what a leaking component 6 actually is. 7 The enhanced leak detection and repair 8 program has served to further reduce fugitive 9 emissions from Vantage. 10 So the draft construction permit, again, the draft construction permit is a requirement of the new 11 12 law, and it requires a permit imposing an annual cap 13 on ethylene oxide emissions from the facility, and 14 the construction permit imposes an annual cap of 15 emissions of ethylene oxide of 110 pounds. And no 16 more than 60 of those 110 pounds may be from fugitive 17 emissions. 18 The construction permit also embodies conditions addressing how compliance with the annual 19 20 cap on ethylene oxide emissions is determined, 2.1 including monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting 2.2 requirements. 23 Concentrations of ethylene oxide will be 24 reduced below U.S. EPA's acceptable risk level.

- 1 Again, that's going back to their acceptable risk
- 2 level of a hundred in a million.
- And so, again, we welcome your comments. We
- 4 have a comment period that remains open until
- 5 November 29, and you should e-mail your comments to
- 6 EPA. Vantage@illinois.gov.
- 7 So thank you for your participation tonight,
- 8 and I'll turn it back over to Jim.
- 9 MR. MORGAN: Can you hear me?
- 10 All right. At this time I would like to
- 11 call up Christy Diaz as our first speaker, followed
- 12 by Diane Moeller and Dylan Burdette.
- 13 If Diane and Dylan would take the seats to
- 14 Dan's right.
- MS. DIAZ: Again, my name is Christy Diaz,
- 16 D-I-A-Z.
- 17 This is a little different than the Medline
- 18 permit hearing where you guys answered a bunch of
- 19 questions, so I came with questions.
- In Section 10A you talk about being able to
- 21 reopen the permit if there's a health risk posed.
- 22 Well, I think we all feel in this audience or at
- 23 least most of us that ethylene oxide does pose a
- 24 health risk to all of us. And so I'm just curious,

- 1 there wasn't something like that in the Medline
- 2 permit, and so I was curious why. And also what does
- 3 the Illinois EPA think of as a health risk if not
- 4 cancer or an increased risk of cancer that we have
- 5 with breathing ethylene oxide? And then the second
- 6 to that is the reopening of the permit, what does
- 7 that mean if you reopen the permit because you feel
- 8 there is a health risk?
- 9 MR. FROST: So both of those two things are
- 10 requirements of the new law, so that's why they're in
- 11 the permit. And going -- again, both of those are
- 12 requirements of the new law that was effective
- June 21, so that's why they're in there. And then
- 14 when we look at the health risk, we're looking at the
- 15 hundred in a million.
- If U.S. EPA -- you know, when they do these
- 17 kinds of screenings, if it's below a hundred in a
- 18 million, they don't -- they stop. They don't look
- 19 anymore.
- 20 MS. DIAZ: Okay. So with the new level, the
- 21 new permit level of 110 pounds will be below that
- 22 hundred in a million is what you're saying.
- MR. FROST: Yes, that's what the model
- 24 indicates, yes.

1 MS. DIAZ: And seeing that on the screen 2 tonight that they have 180 days to get the permit, 3 how long will they have to complete the necessary 4 changes? 5 MR. FROST: So the permit is really to set the cap and to comply -- you know, is to add those --6 7 the re-opener that's required under the new law. 8 They've already added in the dry bed scrubber. 9 MS. DIAZ: So they've done all the 10 construction? 11 MR. ROMAINE: That's correct. 12 MS. DIAZ: Okay. All right. And in 13 Section 15, there's some verbiage in here around 14 emissions monitoring and when systems are down how 15 they may -- I'm looking for the exact word in here. 16 Oh, if the -- if they stop operating, the 17 emissions will be -- representative values will be allowed, and I'm just wondering how long -- like if a 18 19 piece of monitoring equipment was down and they're 20 going to use representative data, how long could that 2.1 go on before they have to call it a deviation and, 22 you know, report something in that quarterly report? 23 MR. FROST: So I think the -- and I'll let 24 Chris expand if he feels necessary, but from our

1 standpoint is if it's down, that's a deviation. 2 MS. DIAZ: Okay. So if it's down, it's a 3 deviation. They can use the representative data but they have to still -- it's a deviation if their 4 5 equipment is down for any length of time or -because there was no time specified in here and 6 7 that's what --8 MR. ROMAINE: Outages of the monitoring 9 equipment can occur for a variety of reasons and the 10 devices, they have breakdowns. The response to that 11 has to be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. 12 have a pattern of monitoring breakdowns, if they don't fix the problems quickly, then it would be 13 14 considered a failure to use good monitoring practice 15 as is required by the permit, and we could pursue 16 that. That would most likely be examined on a 17 quarterly basis because then we would have a sluq of data that says how often has it occurred, are they 18 19 the same things occurring, do they get out on top of 20 it, or was it something out of the blue where 2.1 something -- you know, a circuit board broke up and 2.2 they have to buy a new circuit board and there isn't one in stock in Illinois. 23 24 So it's a case-by-case decision. They are

- 1 subject to the general requirement, though, to use
- 2 good monitoring practice. They have to have a good
- 3 reason why they weren't using that monitoring device
- 4 and they can't keep saying the dog ate my homework,
- 5 the dog ate my homework, the dog ate my homework.
- 6 You've got to deal with that dog.
- 7 MS. DIAZ: Okay. And I just have one last
- 8 question. Regarding leaks, I didn't really see
- 9 anything in here around -- like if they had a big
- 10 leak, when would they report it? Because it all
- 11 seemed like everything is in that quarterly report.
- 12 And how would the public learn about that?
- Because living where we do, all of us close
- 14 to Medline, I'm three miles from Vantage, rather, and
- 1.7 from Medline, so I'm concerned about if there
- 16 were a leak, I'm concerned now with the limits that
- 17 they've had and also in the future. There doesn't
- 18 seem to be anything in the permit about reporting
- 19 something immediately if something happens. It's all
- 20 these quarterly reports. And by then, you know,
- 21 we've all inhaled it. By the time they report it to
- you, we've all had, you know, an increased exposure,
- 23 but it just feels like there should be some sort of
- 24 notification when there's a major leak.

1 MR. ROMAINE: We accept the comment, and we 2 do have a provision in the permit that requires them 3 on a quarterly basis to say how they're doing with compliance with the annual cap, you know, if they're 4 5 within the 25 percent of the first quarter, the 6 50 percent, are they on track to comply. That was 7 the thought of making sure that we would not be 8 surprised at the end of the year and all of a sudden 9 be informed that we had a big problem back in the first quarter and there's no way we could have been 10 11 in compliance at the end of the year. 12 MS. DIAZ: Okay. But it's all quarterly 13 reporting is what you're saying? 14 MR. ROMAINE: For that. The deviations have 15 to be reported with regard to monitoring. 16 I'm looking at Daniel. 17 Failure to do your quarterly reporting on time, and what was the other thing? Failure to 18 19 calculate emissions on time? 20 MR. ROWELL: I believe so, yes. 2.1 MR. ROMAINE: Yes. 2.2 So if you're not doing your enhanced program or doing the emission calculation associated with 23 24 that enhanced program, those are reportable

- deviations that have to be reported immediately.
- MS. DIAZ: Okay. All right. Thank you.
- 3 MR. MORGAN: Thank you.
- 4 We may have opportunity for speakers who
- 5 have something additional to raise if their five
- 6 minutes expire at the end, so we will check back at
- 7 that time.
- 8 Right now Diane Moeller and
- 9 Thomas Wieczorek.
- And apologies in advance for getting the
- 11 names wrong as I pronounce them.
- MS. MOELLER: All right. My name is
- 13 Diane Moeller, that's M-O-E-L-L-E-R.
- 14 I'm a licensed professional environmental
- 15 engineer. I have education in industrial engineering
- 16 controls, so I have a few questions.
- 17 First, I wanted to thank you for being here
- 18 with us tonight to answer our questions.
- 19 My first question, as I searched for the
- 20 permit application online, I found two permit
- 21 violations from 2015 and 2016 from
- 22 Vantage Specialties.
- So, obviously, this permit is not going to
- 24 say that they have to -- or that just putting the

- 1 permit in place doesn't mean that they're going to
- 2 comply 100 percent. I would say that administrative
- 3 controls are just as important as engineering
- 4 controls. So I would encourage more stuff in the
- 5 permit that stresses employee training and operation
- 6 and maintenance, like SOPs, rather than just
- 7 recordkeeping. Because if they don't -- if they
- 8 don't do preventative maintenance, then they're going
- 9 to violate the permit. I know that was one of the
- 10 permit violations that I saw from one of the two,
- 11 that they didn't do the regular maintenance on their
- 12 rice engines.
- So, anyway, that's one of them.
- 14 And then a couple other questions. This
- 15 additional dry bed absorption, there are two trains.
- 16 Are they in series or are they in parallel?
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: There are two pairs in
- 18 parallel. Total four beds, two groups, two pairs.
- 19 MS. MOELLER: Okay. Because I was looking
- 20 at the memorandum for Willowbrook and, you know, the
- 21 other facility down there, and they put them in
- 22 series so that they would increase the removal rate,
- 23 removal efficiency. So I know that they have
- 24 something in place but it 's something to consider in

- 1 the future for their construction. It would be a
- 2 better system than what they have now.
- 3 And then I would also encourage more
- 4 transparency in this process. I know that they have
- 5 to do dispersion modeling to figure out what the cap
- 6 is. I think talking about how 110 pounds like per
- 7 year was decided would be really helpful versus just
- 8 comments on the permit.
- And, yes, that's all I have to say.
- 10 MR. FROST: Thank you for your comments.
- MS. MOELLER: Yes.
- MR. MORGAN: Next up is Dylan Burdette, and
- 13 coming down is Melanie Brown.
- MR. BURDETTE: Hi. It's Dr. Dylan Burdette,
- 15 that's B-U-R-D-E-T-T-E.
- 16 Thank you for allowing me to speak tonight.
- So I have a couple questions, slash,
- 18 comments. The first one is regarding the
- 19 DBA scrubber systems. So I'm wondering if there are,
- 20 what are the anti-failure, anti-explosion
- 21 technologies that are in place on those dry bed
- 22 scrubbers outside of monitoring optimal parameters,
- 23 like is there a shutdown or a cutoff point for any of
- 24 those dry bed scrubbers?

- 2 that's why I'm asking.
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: There is not a provision. We
- 4 have not addressed that. We can pursue that with
- 5 Vantage if that is a concern after the stream has
- 6 passed through the wet scrubbers.
- 7 MR. BURDETTE: Yes. Okay.
- 8 And then the next comment or question is
- 9 that under the updated LDAR system, you know, you're
- 10 going through -- you're going through their --
- 11 they're going through, they're monitoring all these
- 12 points where there's possible leaky components, and
- 13 so I'm wondering if there is a time limit for after
- 14 they've detected a leak for how soon that leak or
- 15 that leaky part needs to be replaced. Is there a
- 16 time limit in the permit?
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: There is not a time limit in
- 18 the permit. That is an aspect of leak detection
- 19 repair that is addressed in the applicable federal
- 20 regulations, 40 CFR 60 VVa.
- 21 MR. BURDETTE: And then to kind of follow up
- on that, when you're actually monitoring for leaks of
- 23 ethylene oxide, are they just doing kind of an inert
- 24 gas as their control or are they assuming a

- 1 background level when they're monitoring near the
- 2 equipment for leaks of ethylene oxide?
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: The monitoring can account for
- 4 background level and look at the increase above the
- 5 background level.
- 6 MR. BURDETTE: Okay. All right. Thank you.
- 7 MR. MORGAN: Next up, Melanie Brown. Coming
- 8 down is Jolanta Pomiotlo.
- 9 Probably not even close.
- 10 MS. BROWN: Hi. I'm Melanie Brown. I
- 11 haven't had a long chance to read this, but I had
- 12 just a couple basic questions.
- 13 First, if they violate the amount that
- 14 they're supposed to be emitting, what is the
- 15 punishment?
- 16 MR. ROMAINE: That would be a matter to be
- 17 decided on the context of enforcement, it would
- depend on the circumstances, and it would be, again,
- 19 a case-by-case matter; if it's something that should
- 20 have been prevented, if it's their fault, they should
- 21 have been operating more carefully as was mentioned,
- they could have done more aggressive monitoring, be
- 23 more aggressive in our response. If it's a violation
- 24 that is a one-time occurrence, relatively small, we

- 1 might have a less aggressive response.
- 2 MS. BROWN: Can you give me a more general
- 3 idea in terms of numbers?
- I'm guessing it's a fee. I would like to
- 5 know because I think it's going to make a huge
- 6 difference to them in terms of whether they're
- 7 careful or not. If you're just going to slap them on
- 8 the wrist with a very minor fine, I don't think that
- 9 they're going to have any sort of motivation to
- 10 comply with this.
- 11 MR. ROMAINE: The Illinois EPA does not
- 12 impose fines on air pollution violations. For air
- 13 pollution violations, we have to refer the matter to
- 14 the Attorney General. They work with us to assess
- 15 and elect the penalty.
- MS. BROWN: And that's always the way it is?
- 17 There's no option for changing that?
- MR. ROMAINE: There is no provision that I'm
- 19 aware of that provides for the Illinois EPA to
- 20 unilaterally assess penalty for an air pollution
- 21 violation.
- MS. BROWN: Is it the same way with other
- 23 Class 1 carcinogens, like asbestos? You guys aren't
- 24 able to impose fines if somebody breaks the law in

1 that way? 2 MR. ROMAINE: I'm not familiar with specific 3 provisions for asbestos. 4 I guess I'm looking at you, Robb. Are you more familiar with some of those matters? 5 We have an attorney in the audience with us. 6 MR. LAYMAN: Thank you. 8 I'm one of the Agency attorneys. I've 9 worked for the Agency since 1991. 10 For the court reporter, my name is 11 Robb Layman, L-A-Y-M-A-N. 12 I started out as an enforcement attorney, 13 slowly transitioned over the years to dealing mainly 14 with permitting matters. 15 What you're asking for may be two things, 16 possibly. One, the Agency typically doesn't have 17 administrating order authority to impose penalties. There are provisions in the Environmental Protection 18 19 Act that allow certain types of citations, if you 20 will, to be issued against certain types of -- or for 2.1 certain types of violations, open dumping, open 2.2 burning, things of that nature, but by and large in 23 this context, we don't have administrative order

authority.

24

1 Chris was correct when he said that by and 2 large if someone is deemed to be in violation, most 3 everything the Agency deals with with this type of 4 facility and other air emission sources is to go 5 through a Section 31 process of giving them pre-enforcement notice, an opportunity for a meeting, 6 7 possibly an opportunity to commit to a compliance 8 commitment agreement to work the matter out. At the 9 end of the day, the Agency can, nonetheless, 10 regardless of what is dealt with, I quess, in that 11 context, we could refer it if we chose to do so to 12 the Attorney General's Office for several and/or 13 criminal penalties, if need be. 14 Obviously, the focus of most types of 15 situations where you've got a pattern of violations 16 would be how are they going to address it, whether 17 that -- whether those issues need to be dealt with by way of an injunction, if it's a matter of substantial 18 19 urgency or endangerment. The Attorney General's 20 Office or even a State's Attorney's Office could 21 pursue the matter through a Section 43 injunction, 2.2 which is a higher legal standard to have to meet, but, similarly, you could get results before a court 23 24 much more quickly.

1 I suppose -- I don't know that I've left 2 anything out. Do you have anything to follow up on? 3 MS. BROWN: Well, I'm not very happy that 4 there aren't set up penalties or it just doesn't seem 5 serious to me. If I were Vantage, I would not pay 6 attention at all to this. So is there anything that I can do to object to this? 8 MR. LAYMAN: Well, I'm not quite sure what the basis of your objection is in the sense that 9 10 there are civil penalties that would be available. There's nothing upfront. 11 12 MS. BROWN: It just seems like -- this is a 13 Class 1 carcinogen. I'm guessing none of you live anywhere near these facilities, but for you to say --14 15 and I'm not blaming you in particular but for you as 16 an agency to say, well, this is what you guys should 17 be doing and if you don't, well, then we'll figure it out then and we're not quite sure what the penalty is 18 and -- you're not taking this seriously. 19 20 This is a Class 1 carcinogen and a mutagen. 2.1 It's next to our daycares, homes, and schools, and we 2.2 have been left up here. You guys helped in 23 Willowbrook right away. We've been left up here with 24 no protection. And now if this is our protection,

- 1 this is not protection. There is no penalty here.
- 2 MR. LAYMAN: Maybe you misunderstood or
- 3 maybe I didn't explain it well enough.
- 4 It's not as though there's unpredictability
- 5 with respect to what a violator or a polluter could
- 6 expect. There are maximum penalties, I want to say
- 7 \$50,000 per day of violation for any type of matter,
- 8 whether it stemmed from the permit or a requirement
- 9 from the rule or from the statute, and then I think
- 10 it's \$10,000 per day for continuing violation. There
- are provisions authorizing the Attorney General's
- 12 Office and the State's Attorney's Office to recover
- 13 attorney's fees in the event that there are repeated
- 14 violations.
- I might add that citizens can bring in their
- 16 own suit if they had the wherewithal or if you had
- 17 legal counsel to be able to do that to achieve the
- 18 same results.
- 19 In fact, citizen suits under the state act
- 20 much like I'm sure in other states are meant to
- 21 assure that government prosecutorial authorities
- 22 don't fall asleep on the job, that they do their job;
- 23 if not, there's this mechanism for citizen suits to
- 24 step in and to, you know, fill that void.

1 MS. BROWN: Okay. Well, on that issue, let 2 me ask the question then. 3 We're pretty unhappy with the monitoring 4 overall, because I think a lot of fugitive emissions 5 are not going to be caught in this. If we as a 6 group, as a community, end up investing in our own ambient air monitors and we find that it's violated 8 or that we think that the levels are too elevated, 9 are we able to sue? 10 MR. LAYMAN: You would be able to bring an 11 action before the Pollution Control Board, 12 enforcement action that would be brought pursuant to 13 Section 31D of the act or you could file a civil suit 14 as well before a circuit court under Section 42A, I 15 believe. 16 Whether you could pursue injunctive relief 17 in circuit court may be another question. Section 45B of the act that suggests that anyone 18 19 adversely affected, in fact, by violation can sue for 20 injunctive relief, but I believe there's an

same types of penalties certainly as what the

administrative -- I believe you have to exhaust your

administrative remedies by going first to the board.

But in any event, you could essentially recover the

2.1

2.2

23

24

- 1 government could with their own suit. What you will
- 2 be getting into ultimately is whether your system of
- 3 monitoring is going to be properly calibrated,
- 4 properly set up and functioning sufficiently to be
- 5 able to serve as the necessary evidence to
- 6 demonstrate that violation of the act. But certainly
- 7 in this context, you know, any credible evidence, any
- 8 evidence that suggests that there's a violation of a
- 9 permit would certainly be heard by the board, and you
- 10 might have to address whatever issues would be
- 11 brought up by the defendant or the respondent in that
- 12 case, but that's certainly something that the act is
- 13 contemplated and provides for.
- 14 MS. BROWN: Is there any possibility --
- MR. MORGAN: With that, I think we'll have
- 16 to move on to the next commenter. We can come back
- 17 to you.
- MS. BROWN: Okay. Well, I just have one
- 19 final question. Is there any way you guys will
- 20 consider doing ambient air testing on a regular
- 21 basis?
- MR. MORGAN: I think that's something we
- 23 will respond in the comment period or the response to
- 24 comments.

1 MS. BROWN: Okay. Thank you. 2 MR. MORGAN: Next up is Thomas Wieczorek and 3 after that Celeste Flores is coming down. 4 MR. WIECZOREK: Good evening. Thank you for 5 coming out to listen to our comments. 6 MR. MORGAN: Can we get your name? 7 MR. WIECZOREK: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. 8 Thomas Wieczorek, W-I-E-C-Z-O-R-E-K. 9 My comment is in regards to the cap on the 10 fugitive emissions. If you can't answer this 11 question now, a written response would be fine as to 12 exactly how the 60 pound number came -- you know, was 13 decided upon in terms of the fugitive emissions. 14 My other comment is also regarding the 15 fugitive emissions, and I don't truly believe it's 16 enforceable because you haven't put in enough 17 stringent requirements for monitoring of the devices. My understanding of the permit is that a person just 18 19 off the street without a scientific degree, is that 20 someone is just going to each component, like a valve 2.1 or a pump, with some sort of monitoring device that 2.2 has a readout on it that tells them the concentration 23 of ethylene oxide around the surface of that pump or 24 that valve. If I'm wrong, please correct me.

- 1 then they would write that number down on to a piece
- 2 of paper, is that correct, or does it
- 3 automatically -- do they hit record and then it
- 4 records that number and automatically digitally
- 5 records it so that number can't be changed or fudged
- 6 if they're getting close to their cap?
- 7 MR. ROMAINE: That number is recorded. That
- 8 number is not the emissions, though.
- 9 MR. WIECZOREK: Yes.
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: Those numbers have to be used
- 11 with equations developed by U.S. EPA to calculate the
- 12 emissions that are associated with those levels of
- 13 measurements from a component.
- 14 MR. WIECZOREK: Okay. So it does have to be
- 15 manually written down by an employee or it needs to
- 16 be -- or is it automatically recorded by the device
- and it can't be changed or fudged in any way?
- MR. MATTISON: It's not an employee. It's a
- 19 third party consulting company that comes in and
- 20 that's what they do for a living.
- 21 MR. WIECZOREK: Okay.
- MR. MATTISON: So if they're violating or
- 23 fudging data, that's a whole other issue of that. So
- 24 it is a third party. It's not the actual company

1 doing it. It's a third party doing that testing. 2 MR. WIECZOREK: Okay. Thank you for 3 answering that. And then my last question is I am not -- I 4 5 know they produce many different chemicals. I'm sure their processes or their setup of equipment is 6 changing on a somewhat regular basis. If that's not 7 8 true, correct me. But I see in the permit it says 9 that every component in service at the time of the 10 quarterly testing campaign needs to be monitored by 11 this equipment and a reading needs to be made. 12 My concern is I'm not sure how often they 13 switch up the processes or procedures that they use. 14 But let's say they do their quarterly campaign on 15 April 1 and then the next day they switch their 16 process and they start using a component that was not 17 monitored during that April 1 campaign and now it's time for their next quarterly campaign and they 18 19 switch their process again before the next quarterly 20 campaign, there's a potential for certain devices or certain pumps or valves to not be monitored. 2.1 2.2 I'm not understanding the process they use there, but 23 I don't see a provision that requires that every 24 single thing be monitored.

1 MR. ROMAINE: That's a good point. 2 Obviously, we don't want to force them to start using 3 ethylene oxide if they're not otherwise using it, but 4 I don't think we have contemplated the situation 5 where they would go -- you know, and not be using it 6 in such a way that they would not have monthly data 7 for the components in ethylene oxide service. 8 Thank you very much. 9 MR. WIECZOREK: Okay. I would urge in my 10 comments then that that needs to be added into the 11 permit is that if a component was not tested in the 12 previous quarterly round before they began using a 13 new component in ethylene oxide service or within a 14 certain time frame after putting it into service, it 15 has to be monitored to be in compliance with their 16 quarterly campaigns and included in the data. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. ROMAINE: Thank you. 19 MR. MORGAN: Jolanta. 20 Next up will be -- or third up will be 2.1 Francesca Racette. 2.2 MS. POMIOTLO: Thank you for coming tonight. 23 My name is Jolanta Pomiotlo, and I'm one of 24 the members of the Stop EtO in Lake County movement.

1 So, first of all, before I have any 2 questions, I would like you to acknowledge is 3 ethylene oxide a Class 1A carcinogen and mutagen? 4 MR. FROST: Yes, U.S. EPA classified it --5 MS. POMIOTLO: Does the Illinois EPA feel 6 the same way? 7 MR. FROST: We go by what the U.S. EPA classifies them as. 8 9 MS. POMIOTLO: Perfect. 10 At what quantity is EtO safe? Like, if I 11 were to just breathe in some gas, what is the amount that's safe to breathe in? 12 13 MR. FROST: So when U.S. EPA looks at these 14 things, they use a hundred in a million risk. 15 it's not a direct, you know, monitored value. You 16 have to run it through calculations. MS. POMIOTLO: Okay. So there is a school 17 right next door to Vantage. It's called Spaulding 18 19 Elementary School. My son attended that school. 20 He's no longer there after I saw the air monitoring 2.1 results in June. 2.2 So my question is the air monitoring results 23 in June were still high, despite the additional 24 emission controls that were put in place. What is it

1 about those controls that's not working? 2 MR. FROST: Well --3 MS. POMIOTLO: Yes, there's a canister on 4 Spaulding that was one-point something. There was a 5 three-point something canister. Like, what's not 6 working? 7 MR. FROST: So when we're talking about the 8 risk, we're talking about long term, so 70-year 9 lifetime risk. We're not talking about, you know, 10 one or even several individual readings. You're 11 talking about an average over a long period of time. 12 And it's -- generally, my understanding is that the 13 monitors around Vantage right now are generally down 14 in what U.S. EPA, as they -- U.S. EPA has started 15 looking around the country at, you know, what would 16 be a background value for EtO and the average around 17 the -- in the Vantage monitors is generally at that -- around that background value. 18 19 MS. POMIOTLO: And so long-term exposure, 20 which is a really good point, because recently we 21 found out that the former principal of Spaulding 22 Elementary School has a very rare form of lymphoma, 2.3 and he believes and his wife believes it was due to 24 the fact of being in that area for many, many years.

1 We have children with leukemia, little 2 three-year-olds, two-year-olds throughout Gurnee and 3 Waukegan, and their moms feel it's caused because of 4 EtO and they have also lived in the area for a while. 5 So, okay. About the permit. So why is 6 there no air ambient requirements? Why isn't the EPA requiring air ambient -- it's one thing to monitor 7 8 emissions at the stack. Why not monitor the air 9 surrounding the facility? 10 MR. FROST: So, again, this permit, the 11 reason for this permit is the new law. The new law 12 establishes what needs to be in the permit, and so 13 that's, you know, in general what's in the permit is 14 a cap on emissions and then a re-opener clause and 15 then there's the additional requirements that --16 MS. POMIOTLO: The cap means nothing if you don't monitor the air, because how do we know they 17 comply with it? It's one thing to self-report, but 18 19 how do you know that they're actually complying with 20 the permit and with the laws? 2.1 MR. FROST: So, in actuality, and it could 2.2 be that my model -- you know, expand on this a little 23 bit, but in general it's the source emissions. 24 take the source emissions and you do modeling.

- 1 monitor is not going to tell you exactly what's
- 2 happening or where those emissions are coming from.
- 3 It's taking the emissions from the facility and
- 4 running it through a model that will tell you what
- 5 your values are.
- 6 MS. POMIOTLO: Okay. So we've been told
- 7 that Vantage is a good corporate citizen, that they
- 8 are complying proactively, installing scrubbers,
- 9 et cetera, but they've had violations. I mean,
- 10 recently in the past, you know, 2015, 2016. How do
- 11 we trust that they're going to comply and not have
- 12 violations with this permit?
- MR. FROST: So a permit, that's -- again,
- 14 the purpose of a permit is to set up the
- 15 legal language, the legal construct so that our
- 16 inspectors can go out and if there are -- you know,
- 17 the permit, if there are violations, it's of the
- 18 permit. It gives us legal requirements.
- I don't know if Chris has anything.
- MS. POMIOTLO: Okay. So we had
- 21 representatives from the ATSDR here at the town hall
- 22 recently on October 2, and we pointblank asked them
- 23 if you -- and these are the health experts -- if you
- 24 have -- would you allow your family and your kids

- 1 living next to one of these facilities, and they both
- 2 said no. And these are the health experts.
- Now, the EPA and the Illinois EPA could have
- 4 a different story. They're just managing risk. But
- 5 for a family with little kids, with kindergarteners
- 6 right next door, like half a mile away, that's just
- 7 not acceptable.
- 8 And, finally, the explosion risk, which
- 9 Dylan touched upon earlier. We had a huge explosion,
- 10 as you guys are aware because the Illinois EPA has
- 11 taken action against AB Silicones. Who is to say
- 12 something like that doesn't happen at Vantage? Like,
- 13 what are we doing to prevent a disaster of that
- 14 proportion, because ethylene oxide is incredibly
- 15 explosive, just like hydrogen was in AB Silicones,
- and that happened at 9:00 o'clock at night. If that
- 17 happened during the day when there's school buses --
- 18 there's a school. Sunset is a road that school buses
- 19 pass. If that exploded at 2:00 p.m., the casualties
- 20 would have been unfathomable. As a parent, I cannot
- 21 have my child attend that school, and he's not at the
- 22 school anymore.
- 23 Thank you.
- MR. MORGAN: A quick reminder. Anything you

- 1 shout out from the audience, even if you're shouting,
- 2 it won't show up in the record. So if you want to
- 3 speak, please turn in the card. We'll bring you up
- 4 to the podium.
- 5 Next, Celeste Flores. Coming down is
- 6 Meghan Hassett.
- 7 THE INTERPRETER: She's saying good evening.
- 8 MS. FLORES: Good evening.
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: F-L-O-R-E-S, Flores is her
- 10 last name.
- 11 MS. FLORES: I'm a resident of Gurnee. I
- 12 was born in this area. I'm here representing
- 13 Clean Power Lake County. These two organizations are
- 14 there to better air quality and the environment. I'm
- 15 here to ask two questions, one to the Agency and one
- 16 towards the permit.
- 17 THE INTERPRETER: She understands that
- 18 there's 110 pounds that can be released by the
- 19 facility. She wants to know outside of the fugitive
- 20 emissions, how are they going to monitor the rest?
- 21 MR. ROMAINE: The other emissions are stack
- 22 emissions coming from processed equipment.
- Okay. You don't have a headset on.
- THE INTERPRETER: She's talking to me.

1 MR. ROMAINE: So the stack has a control 2 system, a series of a wet scrubber followed by the 3 dry bed absorber. A continuous emission monitor is required on that vent. Those emissions will be 4 5 measured normally by that condition, a continuous 6 emission monitoring system. In case of any upset 7 outage of that system, we would be relying on the 8 operational monitoring systems for the controlled 9 devices to determine emissions. 10 MS. FLORES: There won't be any additional 11 monitors than what they have right now? 12 MR. ROMAINE: In fact, they do not currently 13 have continuous emission monitoring. That is a thing 14 that they have to install. 15 THE INTERPRETER: The second question is for 16 the Agency. She wants to know why in Waukegan they 17 weren't given more of an advanced notice about meetings like this for Medline. They only gave three 18 19 days warning or advanced --20 MR. ROMAINE: Three or 13? 2.1 THE INTERPRETER: Three. 2.2 She's saying she thinks it was three. 23 MR. FROST: No, it was 13. 24 MS. FLORES: Who makes the decision of

- 1 giving the advanced notices?
- 2 MR. FROST: Generally, that comes out of my
- 3 office.
- 4 THE INTERPRETER: So she would like to know
- 5 if for next time there will be more of an advanced
- 6 notice.
- 7 MR. FROST: We try to give as much advanced
- 8 notice as we -- as the circumstances allow for.
- 9 MR. MORGAN: And with that, we'll go on to
- 10 the next commenter.
- 11 With that, we'll go on to the next
- 12 commenter.
- 13 THE INTERPRETER: She said thank you for
- 14 coming here and we'll see how the permit is given.
- MR. FROST: Thank you.
- MR. MORGAN: Francesca, and coming down is
- 17 Tea Tanaka.
- 18 Meghan Hassett.
- 19 MS. HASSETT: My name is Meghan Hassett,
- 20 H-A-S-S-E-T-T. I am the midwest campaign coordinator
- 21 with the Union of Concerned Scientists. So I've been
- 22 here working with Celeste and Clean Power Lake County
- 23 on their work elevating this issue of carcinogenic
- 24 ethylene oxide emissions.

1 I first wanted to start -- the first thing I 2 noticed about this hearing was the information page 3 on ethylene oxide on your website didn't actually mention the word carcinogenic anywhere in its 4 5 description of ethylene oxide. It talked about how 6 it was used and why it was needed and it talked about 7 the update of the U.S. EPA, of the IRIS status, but 8 it did not talk about the fact that it was, you know, 9 dangerous and risky for public health and a 10 carcinogen. 11 So I think, similar to what other people 12 commented earlier, since you agree with the U.S. EPA 13 that it is a carcinogen, being very clear about that 14 on your website when you're talking about hearings 15 for ethylene oxide I think will make the issue much 16 more transparent for people. 17 So one thing I wanted to highlight here was some of the work that Union of Concerned Scientists 18 19 did recently in partnership with folks in the 20 community here, especially Diana Burdette of Clean Power Lake County. We put out a report, 2.1 2.2 Abandoned Science, Broken Promises that details the 23 ways that some of the federal administration's 24 attacks on science and environmental standards just

- 1 proportionally impact communities of color and lower
- 2 income areas. I think these disparities are
- 3 important to highlight in light of this ethylene
- 4 oxide issue and it featured Lake County as one of the
- 5 communities we profiled.
- 6 So I think in light of some of the setbacks
- 7 on our federal administration and their inability to
- 8 protect us from issues like this, it's really
- 9 important that the Illinois EPA and state agencies
- 10 really hear out community concerns and prioritize
- 11 health over profit.
- We believe that until the permit is
- 13 finalized, I understand the construction is completed
- 14 now, but until the permit is finalized I don't think
- 15 we should be operating a facility that releases
- 16 ethylene oxide into the community until we know
- 17 exactly, you know, how much and until those caps are
- in place and being used to continue operating Vantage
- 19 while still figuring out how to reduce those
- 20 emissions and what those look like in compliance with
- 21 new state laws. I think this entire community that
- 22 already faces myriad pollution burden is further at
- 23 risk.
- So, yeah, I think the Illinois EPA did the

- 1 right thing monitoring the air quality and banning
- 2 facilities like Sterigenics from operating with
- 3 ethylene oxide levels that were too high and you must
- 4 extend the same caution and respect to communities of
- 5 color in Lake County, around Medline and Vantage
- 6 instead of contributing further to environmental
- 7 racism. So we need to monitor the air quality and
- 8 shut down the operations until we can ensure people
- 9 are protected. It was the right thing to do in
- 10 Willowbrook, and it's the right thing to do in
- 11 Gurnee.
- 12 And I just wanted to highlight some of the
- 13 research that we have in our report. And I can give
- 14 you a copy, too, because it profiles this exact issue
- 15 in Lake County.
- But we all know that, you know, air
- 17 pollution is a serious public threat and especially
- 18 ethylene oxide being so dangerous to this community
- 19 in terms of the cancer risk it causes.
- I actually was at a yoga studio last night
- 21 cleaning up the studio and was talking to a friend of
- 22 mine there and her mother grew up here and her mother
- 23 and five of her friends have all had non-Hodgkin's
- 24 lymphoma and found out just, you know, recently that

- 1 after hearing some of the talk about ethylene oxide
- 2 that this was something that could have been tied to
- 3 just where they lived and where they spent their
- 4 lives.
- 5 So there's a lot of, you know, unanswered
- 6 questions and folks who are still learning about why
- 7 they're getting these kinds of cancers. But this is,
- 8 you know, real life and it goes beyond, you know,
- 9 Gurnee. Like, I'm hearing about it, you know,
- 10 people's parents who live in Chicago. This is really
- 11 a huge issue.
- So I just wanted to highlight that some of
- 13 the work in Willowbrook that happened on behalf of
- 14 the community, they ran a successful campaign to shut
- down the plant emitting unsafe levels of ethylene
- 16 oxide, which resulted in a 90 percent drop in
- 17 ethylene oxide levels. And high-ranking EPA
- 18 officials met with community members. They created a
- 19 website to address residents' concerns. But the
- 20 federal EPA has paid far less attention to
- 21 communities like this one here in Lake County, in
- 22 some cases not visiting communities and not informing
- 23 residents of their exposure. So I think that's
- 24 really why there's a gap here that we need to be

- 1 filling with the Illinois EPA, taking special care of
- 2 communities that are already facing other sources of
- 3 pollution beyond just ethylene oxide, because I think
- 4 this is just really compounding existing health
- 5 issues that are also being burdened in other ways.
- 6 So that is all I had to say, but thank you
- 7 so much.
- 8 MR. MORGAN: Thank you.
- 9 Tea Tanaka followed by Soh Tanaka and then
- 10 Lynn Marie Florian.
- MS. TANAKA: Hello. Good evening. My name
- 12 is Tea Tanaka. I'm one of the members of Stop EtO in
- 13 Lake County.
- 14 Stop EtO in Lake County sponsored a bill,
- 15 House bill 3888 that I'm sure every one of you knows
- 16 about. This bill was supposed to protect us, and it
- 17 didn't pass. It passed through the House. It didn't
- 18 make it to the Senate. It got killed in the
- 19 executive committee yesterday. And your boss was
- 20 there yesterday, and, obviously, he wasn't called but
- 21 I do remember hearing during the House hearing that
- 22 he was asked -- this is John Kim, by the way, the
- 23 executive director of the Illinois EPA. He was asked
- 24 do you support this bill. When somebody is asked

- 1 that, the answer is a yes or no question -- a yes or
- 2 no answer. And what he said is if this bill passes,
- 3 then we will enforce it, which is a very nice way of
- 4 saying, no, we don't support it. We are very upset
- 5 about that answer. So that's one strike.
- The previous strike before that was for
- 7 1854; 1854, which is the same legislature that you
- 8 are enforcing with this permit right now. The reason
- 9 why 1854 doesn't have air monitoring in it is because
- 10 your boss didn't allow it. We are very upset about
- 11 that, too.
- We're very upset about the fact that you
- don't say that this gas is a carcinogen in your
- 14 permit. You don't say that this gas is a Class 1
- 15 carcinogen on the website. You are minimizing the
- 16 risk of this carcinogen. You don't do that for
- 17 asbestos. Asbestos is a Class 1 carcinogen, too.
- 18 You also need 70 years for asbestos risks, right?
- 19 You do. You don't get that -- you don't get cancer
- 20 from asbestos with just one time of being in touch
- 21 with it.
- Why are we minimizing the risks from EtO?
- 23 And why is this Agency doing it? We are very upset
- 24 with you. And not just you, you, the Lake County

- 1 Health Department. Medline asked the Lake County
- 2 Health Department to say that there is no cancer,
- 3 that cancer is not the number one killer in
- 4 Lake County. And what did they do? They jumped.
- 5 When the industry says jump, you agencies
- 6 say how high and you jump. That's absolutely
- 7 unacceptable.
- 8 You're supposed to protect us. This bill
- 9 and this permit has no monitoring that we can rely
- 10 on. Why are we relying on self-reporting? We
- 11 shouldn't.
- 12 You know that these facilities have had
- 13 plenty of mischievements before and they've gotten
- 14 nothing. They've gotten a slap on the wrist, if
- 15 that. How is that working for us? It isn't.
- A mom with a three-year-old with cancer is
- 17 not going to be satisfied when you tell them, oh, you
- 18 need 70 years in that school to get cancer. No.
- 19 Their risk is much higher as babies, as
- 20 three-year-olds. And that principal at Spaulding
- 21 school, the very rare type of lymphoma that he has,
- only one in 3.4 million men get that type of
- 23 lymphoma. And that type of lymphoma, long name, I'm
- 24 not going to pronounce it, that type of lymphoma is

- 1 exactly connected to ethylene oxide. If you go to
- 2 PubMed, you will see studies done that link that type
- 3 of lymphoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, exactly to that
- 4 type of cancer -- to that gas. We know this gas
- 5 gives people cancer. Why are you minimizing the
- 6 risks? Why? I don't get it. I don't get it. Just
- 7 because you don't live in this community doesn't mean
- 8 you can't protect us or you shouldn't protect us.
- 9 This is why we pay you.
- 10 Thank you.
- MR. MORGAN: Soh Tanaka followed by
- 12 Lynn Marie Florian.
- Oh, I'm sorry. Are you Francesca? I'm
- 14 sorry.
- MS. RACETTE: Hi. I'm Francesca Racette,
- 16 and I have a question. I understand I think it was
- 17 like back in 2014 or 2016 Vantage reported a
- 18 violation of the emission and submitted it to the
- 19 Illinois EPA but it got lost in your paperwork. Do
- 20 you guys recall that? I remember reading it
- 21 somewhere, that something wasn't reported. It was
- 22 submitted but you guys didn't include it in the
- 23 report because someone in your agency forgot to
- 24 include it.

1	(Whereupon, comments were made
2	from attendees of the public
3	meeting.)
4	MS. RACETTE: They're helping me out here.
5	So what was it called?
6	(Whereupon, comments were made
7	from attendees of the public
8	meeting.)
9	MS. RACETTE: The NATA map. So you guys got
10	information but you lost it, which is a little
11	concerning to me because what happens if Vantage has
12	violations and they submit it to you and you guys
13	lose it? Like, how do you lose it?
14	MR. FROST: I believe that what you're
15	addressing, I'm not entirely sure, but what I believe
16	what you're addressing isn't something where we lost
17	data. It was coded instead of being coded as
18	MS. RACETTE: It wasn't included. I don't
19	care if you lost it. I care that you didn't include
20	it or that you didn't make it public.
21	MR. FROST: You're comparing it to losing a
22	deviation report. The data wasn't lost.
23	MS. RACETTE: But it wasn't reported so it
24	was lost to me because I couldn't see it, right?

```
1
              MR. FROST: I'm just saying a deviation --
 2
              MS. RACETTE: That's unacceptable.
 3
              MR. FROST: A deviation report is something
 4
     different.
 5
              MS. RACETTE: Well, all I know is that there
     was a violation. I don't know all of your verbiage.
 6
 7
              MR. FROST:
                         What you're referring to, it
 8
     wasn't a violation. It was an annual emission
 9
     report. It was a number on an annual emission
10
     report.
11
              MS. RACETTE: Okay. That was over the
12
     allowable amount.
13
                        (Whereupon, comments were made
14
                         from attendees of the public
15
                         meeting.)
16
              MR. FROST: That's what I thought she was
17
     referring to, and it's not that we lost anything.
                                                         Ιt
18
     was that the number wasn't coded correctly into
19
    the --
20
                        (Whereupon, comments were made
2.1
                         from attendees of the public
2.2
                         meeting.)
23
              MR. MORGAN: Excuse me. One person at a
24
    time at the microphone. So your question is?
```

- 1 MS. RACETTE: My question is how do you not
- 2 code it correctly so that we don't know it? I mean,
- 3 to me that's -- it's unacceptable. I mean, I quess
- 4 I'm not understanding how you --
- 5 MR. FROST: I think we can address it in
- 6 more detail -- because I'm not entirely familiar with
- 7 all of the details of it, but I think it was coded as
- 8 a zero instead of a not reportable, that they didn't
- 9 have to report it and instead it was coded as a zero.
- 10 I think it was a discrepancy along those lines. It
- 11 wasn't a discrepancy in the data was lost or the
- 12 report was lost or anything of that nature.
- MS. RACETTE: Okay. And then the other
- 14 question I have --
- MR. FROST: But we'll answer that. I'll get
- 16 the details on that and we'll answer it in detail in
- 17 the response in a summary.
- MS. RACETTE: So then there's going to be a
- 19 quarterly report available you guys are saying with
- 20 this permit, and how do we get that information? Do
- 21 we have to look it up?
- MR. FROST: So, in general, all of the data
- 23 that is received by the Agency or generated by the
- 24 Agency is available through Freedom of Information

1 Act requests. 2 MS. RACETTE: So we have to look it up? 3 MR. FROST: Yes. I mean --4 MS. RACETTE: Okay. And how easy is that 5 because I'm not --MR. FROST: It's generally a pretty 6 7 streamlined easy process. 8 MS. RACETTE: And then so what is the lag 9 time from when the information is submitted to when 10 we can pull it up? 11 MR. FROST: As soon as we receive it and 12 it's screened by our FOIA unit. 13 MS. RACETTE: So what if they delay turning in the information? 14 15 MR. FROST: It's a violation. That's what 16 Chris was talking about earlier about timely 17 submission of --18 MS. RACETTE: But, again, then is there a 19 penalty or is this one of those nebulous, you know, 20 case by case --2.1 MR. FROST: Again, with all of these things, 2.2 as Robb described, it depends on the nature of 23 what -- the extremity of our response is whether --24 you know, whether it's something that would

- 1 ultimately end up as an enforcement at the
- 2 Attorney General's Office or whether it's something
- 3 that can be rectified through a compliance commitment
- 4 agreement or, you know, other action. It depends on
- 5 the nature of the violation.
- 6 MS. RACETTE: Okay. I guess I just want to
- 7 say, and maybe I'm erroneously speaking on behalf of
- 8 my community here, but this is a little unsettling
- 9 because it feels like there's just a whole lot of
- 10 room for shenanigans.
- 11 MR. MORGAN: Thank you. It's time for our
- 12 next comment.
- 13 Mr. Tanaka.
- 14 MR. TANAKA: Hi. My name is Soh Tanaka. I
- 15 apologize ahead of time. I came a little bit late,
- 16 so I hope I'm not repeating the same question. If
- 17 I'm repeating the same question, just say it was
- 18 answered already.
- 19 Regarding the notice that she just
- 20 mentioned, I guess we can do the FOIA and get the
- 21 information, but is anything -- is it illegal for you
- 22 to post it on the website, IEPA website?
- 23 MR. FROST: If there's certain information
- of a consistent nature that you're looking for and it

- 1 will help speed up the process, we can consider that,
- 2 but I think that that's something that we can have a
- 3 conversation about.
- 4 MR. TANAKA: Yes. Obviously, this is
- 5 something that the community cares a lot about, and
- 6 it would help if the amount is posted on the IEPA
- 7 website without doing FOIA.
- 8 MR. FROST: Again, I would like to have -- I
- 9 would like to have a separate conversation about what
- 10 specific documents you're interested in.
- 11 MR. TANAKA: Everything.
- MR. FROST: See, that's where -- we receive
- 13 a lot of information, and it all has to be processed
- 14 by our filer room, you know, to make sure it comports
- 15 with FOIA. Because if we post something up, it has
- 16 to comport with, you know, the Open Records
- 17 requirements and then, yes, there are things that we
- 18 post up on the website. But we can have a further
- 19 discussion about, you know, what you would like.
- 20 MR. TANAKA: These quarterly reports, for
- 21 example.
- MR. FROST: We can discuss that.
- 23 MR. TANAKA: Yes. And the continuous
- 24 monitoring system, and I've never seen it so I'm just

- 1 picturing some kind of device attached to the stack
- 2 and it's just measuring the amount of ethylene oxide
- 3 coming out from the stack. Is that correct?
- 4 MR. MATTISON: Correct. That is correct.
- 5 MR. TANAKA: Okay. So the monitor is
- 6 measuring and then I don't know how many hours
- 7 Vantage operates but let's say they operate 20 hours.
- 8 So when you look at the number at the end of the day,
- 9 does it show every minute of the ethylene oxide
- 10 amount or is it every hour or is it just total of
- 11 the -- at the end of the day? So it just shows like,
- 12 okay, today, you know, Vantage emitted one pound, or
- 13 how does it work? Can I see like -- how does the
- 14 monitoring work?
- MR. MATTISON: So the monitoring system
- 16 records and documents on a continuous basis, and I
- 17 believe the permit does require a summation into
- 18 hourly data.
- 19 MR. ROMAINE: Yes.
- 20 MR. MATTISON: And then the three-hour
- 21 averages of that data. So all that data is
- 22 continually monitored and recorded, not only in, you
- 23 know, the concentration and parts per billion but as
- 24 well as a flow rate and then you take those two

- 1 values and then you can calculate a pounds per hour.
- 2 MR. TANAKA: Okay. So what is the limit of
- 3 the monitoring system? I'm quessing, you know, if
- 4 it's an extremely low amount of ethylene oxide coming
- 5 out, there will be a point where the monitoring
- 6 device cannot monitor it.
- 7 MR. MATTISON: Okay. In the permit we set a
- 8 value of a method detection of like 20 parts per
- 9 billion to that, that they have to accurately
- 10 quantify to that level.
- 11 MR. TANAKA: Okay. So the device will be
- 12 able to capture 20 parts per billion every, what,
- 13 every minute? Every hour?
- MR. MATTISON: It's a continuous monitoring
- 15 system. So I'm not sure if the frequency of that is
- 16 every minute, every 30 seconds, but it's measuring
- 17 that concentration with the detection of at least
- 18 20 parts per billion.
- 19 MR. TANAKA: Okay. So can anybody calculate
- 20 what's 20 parts per billion in terms of pounds of
- 21 ethylene oxide?
- MR. MATTISON: You need to know that flow
- 23 rate at the time as well to calculate that.
- MR. TANAKA: Okay.

- 1 MR. MATTISON: So, you know, if you had a
- 2 general flow rate that you want to calculate into,
- 3 you would then apply that.
- 4 MR. TANAKA: So this is just an extreme
- 5 example, but so the monitoring device can detect up
- 6 to 20 and higher and, you know, 19.08 cannot detect.
- 7 I'm just making it a little simple. You know,
- 8 Vantage emits 19 parts per billion every second of
- 9 it, you know. So the monitoring device shows zero
- 10 because they cannot capture it but when you add up
- 11 all those numbers it's going to become a huge number.
- 12 So, in theory, is that possible.
- MR. MATTISON: So, number one, if it reads
- 14 below, you set it at 20. You don't say it's zero.
- 15 So 20 is it all the time.
- MR. TANAKA: Right, but --
- 17 MR. MATTISON: So if you take 20 -- so,
- 18 obviously, you know, I don't know the exact flow
- 19 rates off the top of my head, but when the modeling
- 20 was done, they use a value and then calculated that
- 21 value to make sure that it was a measurable value for
- 22 us.
- 23 MR. TANAKA: Okay. And if it's always 20,
- 24 when you add up everything is it going to be less

- 1 than 60 pounds a year?
- 2 MR. MATTISON: Well, 60 pounds is a fugitive
- 3 value.
- 4 MR. TANAKA: I'm sorry, 50 pounds a year.
- 5 The stack limit is 50, right, or was it 60?
- 6 MR. MATTISON: The fugitive limit is 60.
- 7 The overall is 110.
- 8 MR. TANAKA: So the stack can have up to
- 9 50, am I correct, or 110 minus 60?
- 10 MR. MATTISON: There is no stack limit.
- 11 It's 110 for the facility for the year.
- MR. TANAKA: Okay.
- MR. MATTISON: But we put a limit on
- 14 fugitives of 60.
- MR. MORGAN: I'm sorry. Time is up. We
- 16 need to move on.
- 17 MR. TANAKA: I'm sorry. I just have a quick
- 18 question.
- 19 When does this 110 kick in?
- MR. ROMAINE: Yes.
- 21 MR. FROST: It's when the permit takes
- 22 effect.
- MR. TANAKA: Which is?
- MR. FROST: Whenever the permit is issued.

1 MR. TANAKA: Is that the December 21? 2 MR. ROMAINE: December 21. 3 MR. TANAKA: Okay. All right. Thank you. 4 MR. MORGAN: Next up, Lynn Marie Florian. 5 After that, Diana Burdette and then Jacklyn Walton. 6 MS. FLORIAN: Hello. I'm Lynn Florian, 7 F-L-O-R-I-A-N. Thank you for coming to address our 8 concerns about this permit. 9 My first question is you mentioned earlier 10 that they don't currently have any monitoring on the 11 stack; is that correct? 12 MR. ROMAINE: Yes. 13 MS. FLORIAN: So how do we know how much they've been emitting? We just take their word for 14 15 it? 16 MR. ROMAINE: We will have to reconstruct 17 the data until they get the monitoring in place. So we will have operational data for the control device, 18 19 operational data for the amount of product they're 20 making, and using that data we reconstruct what the 2.1 emissions were after they install the monitoring 22 system. 23 So the monitoring system would say, okay, if 24 you're operating at this level of protection, the

- 1 scrubber was doing this, the dry bed was new, the
- 2 pound per hour, the fraction of the pound per hour
- 3 was such and such.
- 4 MS. FLORIAN: So you'll go backwards and
- 5 create the data?
- 6 MR. ROMAINE: Right.
- 7 MS. FLORIAN: Okay. So leak-free technology
- 8 is not new. Why does this permit not specify that
- 9 leak-free pumps be used in the effective components?
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: The simple answer is it's not
- 11 a requirement of the new law.
- MS. FLORIAN: So you weren't instructed to
- 13 put that in the permit.
- MR. ROMAINE: That's correct.
- MS. FLORIAN: So that's something we need to
- 16 go back to our legislators with and make sure it's in
- 17 the next law.
- MR. ROMAINE: Yes, and I guess that's
- 19 perhaps a simplistic answer I gave. If they
- 20 determine that they will have to replace some pumps
- 21 with leak-free pump designs to meet the cap of
- 22 60 pounds per hour, that would be something that they
- 23 would have to consider doing.
- MS. FLORIAN: 60 pounds an hour?

1 MR. ROMAINE: Per year. Per year. Т 2 apologize. 3 MS. FLORIAN: That scared me. 4 So in your presentation, Mr. Frost, in about 5 the second or third slide, you talked about the fugitive emissions going down. How are we going to 6 7 know that they went down? 8 MR. ROMAINE: That will be shown by the 9 calculations that result from the monitoring, the 10 concentrations that are monitored on components, the 11 individual campaigns to monitor components. 12 monitor, they carry out the leak detection program, 13 the go-around components, what was the level of the 14 ethylene oxide at the surface of the flange or 15 connector, what was it at the stem of the pump, for 16 the valve, and then using an equation you can convert 17 that number or actually the change in number, so what was it before, what was it now, into an emission rate 18 19 for the period of time from the current campaign to 20 the previous campaign. 2.1 MS. FLORIAN: So kind of the same thing you 2.2 were talking about with the stack then, you're going 23 to work backwards and kind of figure out --24 MR. ROMAINE: Working as we go. That's much

- 1 simpler because they are already implementing the
- 2 enhanced monitoring program and they've been doing
- 3 those calculations since May.
- 4 MS. FLORIAN: Okay. And I forget your name,
- 5 but this question is for you.
- 6 You said that in order to get redress,
- 7 whatever word you want to use, from the company, we
- 8 have to prove that we've been harmed by them. How do
- 9 we prove that we've been harmed?
- I mean, I know someone mentioned that
- 11 certain cancers have been linked to EtO, but we don't
- 12 have any real proof that when someone is sick that
- it's necessarily because of EtO. So how do we prove
- 14 we've been harmed?
- MR. LAYMAN: Well, you'll prove a violation.
- 16 What you may be thinking of is what I said with
- 17 respect to the need to be adversely impacted or
- 18 adversely affected in fact; that's for injunctive
- 19 relief. And so that doesn't necessarily come into
- 20 play if you're bringing an action that's designed to
- 21 just recover civil penalties, in which case you would
- 22 identify in your complaint what the nature of the
- 23 violation is, in other words, what's the requirement
- 24 of the permit or the underlying rule or statute and

- 1 then you would just show whatever your evidence is,
- 2 whether it's monitoring data, self-reporting, you
- 3 know, anything that we relied upon and submit that as
- 4 part of the case, and the respondent or the defendant
- 5 would have the opportunity to attempt to rebut that
- 6 by showing that they were justified or what have you.
- 7 The thing I said about the injunction works
- 8 a little bit differently, and that's an area you
- 9 would have to show the necessary elements of
- injunction but there you would, under Section 45B of
- 11 the act, you would have to allege that you're a
- member of the community, that you were adversely
- 13 affected by whatever the nature of the violation is
- in order to try to get an injunction, if you will, or
- 15 to get that activity prohibited or to cease.
- MS. FLORIAN: All right. Thank you. So I
- 17 have one more minute left.
- 18 My last question is about -- and I don't
- 19 know if you addressed this. What about the employees
- 20 of these places? Is there anything in this permit to
- 21 protect or assess the damage possibly to the
- 22 employees?
- 23 MR. FROST: So, in general, we deal with
- 24 emissions from a facility and when it comes to

- 1 employees from a facility, it is OSHA. Although, I
- 2 will say I believe the -- did the modeling take
- 3 into -- the modeling took into account employees at
- 4 the facility.
- 5 MS. FLORIAN: Thank you.
- 6 MR. MORGAN: Diana Burdette. Next up,
- 7 Jacklyn Walton and then Sarah Crawford.
- 8 MS. BURDETTE: Diana Burdette,
- 9 B-U-R-D-E-T-T-E.
- 10 I'm fully aware that as an agency you come
- in and you enforce that which you have been
- 12 legislatively told to enforce and that you have
- 13 limitations as well to that legislation.
- Now, to the permit. You don't address
- 15 leaks. If there is a leak, is that calculated into
- 16 the 110 pounds that you're supposed to -- that
- 17 they're supposed to be regulated to emit, or is that
- 18 treated independently?
- MR. ROMAINE: That's absolutely part of the
- 20 110 pounds and as has been mentioned, there is a
- 21 sub-limit that says the total emissions from leaking
- 22 components cannot exceed 60 pounds per year.
- MS. BURDETTE: Okay. So if there is a leak
- of, say, 10,000, are they shut down for the year?

1 MR. ROMAINE: No. First of all, I don't 2 know what the leak of 10,000 means. 3 MS. BURDETTE: 10,000 pounds. 4 You laugh as if it would not happen, but 5 it's happened in other areas. So, Delaware, let me 6 point that out, Texas, let me point that out. 7 happened, and we are experiencing chemical 8 emergencies in this county, so please don't laugh at 9 me because you are being condescending and rude. 10 MR. ROMAINE: We are talking about two 11 different things. I'm afraid I'm answering to the 12 best of my ability. 13 We are talking about emissions that based on 14 their standard procedures are designed to keep 15 routine leaks, total emissions to below 60 pounds per 16 year. If we have a failure of the magnitude that 17 we're talking about, the permit does not address it. MS. BURDETTE: Okay. So I suggest that you 18 19 do write something in to address it. We would like 20 to see it as a community that has to deal with 2.1 multiple burdens. We would like to see emergencies 2.2 written in because they're actually happening to us. 23 It's our day today. 24 Lastly, you were talking about a pattern

- 1 being established for infractions. Now, you won't
- 2 actually have a response to these infractions unless
- 3 there's a pattern established, correct?
- 4 You specifically, Chris.
- 5 MR. ROMAINE: The pattern of the infractions
- 6 could affect the response. There could be a response
- 7 for a single infraction. Obviously, a pattern for
- 8 infractions is a much more serious situation than a
- 9 one-time occurrence that there's a corrective that
- 10 takes care of it.
- MS. BURDETTE: Great.
- So my question regarding that is will you
- 13 have a pattern established every quarter? Will you
- 14 be looking at patterns that are established every
- 15 quarter or will it take longer than a quarter? Will
- 16 it take a year, five years? How long?
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: I think I have to return to a
- 18 case-by-case basis. If there are large incidents
- 19 that occur in a quarterly basis, that would certainly
- 20 be an adequate time frame to show a pattern of poor
- 21 behavior. If isn't as large a problem, then there
- 22 might have to be a longer period of time.
- MS. BURDETTE: Okay. What is going to be
- 24 considered a longer period of time?

- 1 Less ambiguity is what I'm asking for.
- 2 MR. FROST: Okay. Let me also state the
- 3 people we have here are permit section staff, not our
- 4 compliance staff. So they don't make those
- 5 decisions. Our compliance staff does. And so I'm
- 6 not sure that, you know, we have a better answer for
- 7 you tonight.
- 8 MS. BURDETTE: Okay.
- 9 MR. FROST: Okay.
- 10 MS. BURDETTE: I would like it, though, if
- 11 you can send it to me. You have my e-mail, Brad.
- MR. FROST: Yes. We're taking all comments
- 13 down.
- MS. BURDETTE: Great.
- MR. MORGAN: Jacklyn Walton followed by
- 16 Sarah Crawford and then Tatyana Santa Maria.
- 17 MS. WALTON: Hi. My name is Jacklyn Walton,
- 18 W-A-L-T-O-N. I'm a Gurnee resident for a couple more
- 19 months because we are moving, expecting a child in
- 20 March, and this is terrifying.
- 21 Chris Romaine, you replied to Ms. Flores
- 22 earlier this evening that there is currently no
- 23 continuous emission monitoring system. You said they
- 24 have to install it. My question is when exactly do

- 1 they have to install this?
- 2 MR. ROMAINE: That is something that we're
- 3 still working on when that equipment will be
- 4 available.
- 5 Do you have any further details on that?
- 6 MR. MATTISON: Sometime in December is
- 7 expected.
- 8 MS. WALTON: After three years of knowing
- 9 this is a Class 1 carcinogen, you have the monitoring
- 10 system. Brad and Chris confirmed the construction is
- 11 done. My question is why do we have to wait another
- 12 month, another week, another day for this monitoring
- 13 system? Why is it taking this long?
- MR. MATTISON: The manufacturer wasn't able
- 15 to supply it.
- MS. WALTON: I have a couple questions
- 17 for -- I'm sorry. I forget your name.
- MR. LAYMAN: That's all right.
- MS. WALTON: For the lawyer.
- You mentioned, and maybe this was covered in
- 21 the previous speaker, you mentioned the citizen
- 22 needing -- I'm sorry, does the citizen report or suit
- 23 result in multiple individual lawsuits or class
- 24 action?

MR. LAYMAN: I don't believe there's a

MS. WALTON: I mean based on the violations

MR. LAYMAN: No, I don't believe there's any

Fax: 314.644.1334

2 formal recognition for a class action under the 3 Environmental Protection Act. I'm looking at Jim 4 because he --5 MR. MORGAN: That's correct. A number of people can join in a lawsuit and they don't have to 6 7 demonstrate class action status. 8 MS. WALTON: And is there a grace period 9 versus -- to file a complaint or a suit? 10 MR. LAYMAN: And by grace period you mean like a 60-day pre-notice filing requirement? 11

MS. WALTON: Statute of limitations.

from 2015 and 2016 from Vantage and your --

17 MR. LAYMAN: For what?

kind of grace period.

- MS. WALTON: For filing a suit. So there
- 19 were violations in 2015 and 2016 is my understanding.
- 20 Is there --

1

12

13

14

15

- 21 MR. LAYMAN: You would have to serve notice
- 22 for a state action under the Environmental Protection
- 23 Act, whether it's filed before a circuit court or
- 24 pollution control board, you would have to serve

- 1 notice of your complaint to the defendant or the
- 2 respondent. The agency is the one that has to
- 3 undergo through the pre-enforcement notice
- 4 requirements, but I don't believe a citizen suit does
- 5 for civil penalty.
- Is that your understanding as well?
- 7 MR. MORGAN: That's correct. And I think
- 8 what you may be getting at is what we call a statute
- 9 of limitations.
- 10 MS. WALTON: Correct.
- MR. MORGAN: Which sets a limit on how long
- 12 you can wait to file a lawsuit. Currently there's
- 13 case law that says there's no statute of limitation
- 14 to enforce provisions of the Environmental Protection
- 15 Act.
- MR. LAYMAN: Right. So you can go back a
- 17 period of time for that for the basis for a
- 18 violation.
- MS. WALTON: Okay. Thank you.
- If I have more time, another question would
- 21 be for the employees that are working within the
- 22 walls of the sterilization and manufacturing
- 23 facilities, what equipment are they provided to
- 24 protect them from the fugitive emissions for the

- 1 daily exposure?
- 2 MR. FROST: Again, that's really a function
- 3 of OSHA, not of the Illinois EPA.
- 4 MS. WALTON: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 I also want to mention that at the previous
- 6 meeting on October 2 multiple times it was mentioned
- 7 that there were important factors and you deferred to
- 8 the U.S. EPA. What are the chances of the U.S. EPA
- 9 representative coming to these meetings or compliance
- 10 staff being here in order to answer our questions?
- MR. FROST: So, obviously, we as the
- 12 Illinois EPA are here to discuss -- we have a permit
- 13 transaction. We come out to discuss the permit
- 14 transaction. Any request to the U.S. EPA certainly
- 15 we can transmit that to them, but I think, you know,
- 16 in a lot of cases it's more effective -- it can be
- 17 just as effective for you to contact them directly to
- 18 ask, but we'll pass along to them that the request
- 19 was made.
- MS. WALTON: Thank you for your time.
- MR. MORGAN: Next up is Sarah Crawford,
- 22 followed by Tatyana.
- MS. CRAWFORD: Hello. My name is
- 24 Sarah Crawford, C-R-A-W-F-O-R-D.

- I just have a few questions but one comment.
- 2 I live one mile from Vantage and two miles from
- 3 Medline growing up. I have a twin brother who was at
- 4 30 years old diagnosed with follicular lymphoma,
- 5 which is one of the cancers that is caused by
- 6 breathing in ethylene oxide. He was a healthy male
- 7 and the doctors at Mayo said they didn't understand
- 8 why he was getting this cancer at 30 years old. It's
- 9 a cancer that you get when you're a 70-year-old man.
- 10 And to me that just speaks volumes of what's going on
- in Gurnee and Waukegan, and we do have cancer here
- 12 because of ethylene oxide.
- My one question is did any of you guys fill
- out witness slips to support HB 3888? And hopefully
- 15 the answer is yes.
- MR. FROST: We are employees of the Illinois
- 17 Environmental Protection Agency. We do not -- none
- of the people here set policy for the Agency nor do
- 19 we get involved in legislation.
- 20 MS. CRAWFORD: Right. So just even as a --
- 21 I mean, I understand the job that you have, but even
- just as a person, a human being, you could have
- 23 filled it out as a resident of Illinois, any
- 24 residents of Illinois.

- 1 MR. FROST: And, in fact, that might have
- 2 been considered a conflict of interest. It's not
- 3 something that I've pursued with our ethics officer
- 4 or anything of that nature. We're employees of
- 5 Illinois EPA, and we don't get involved in those
- 6 kinds of policy decisions.
- 7 MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. My next question is
- 8 can the Illinois EPA ask the U.S. EPA to update the
- 9 NATA map that will reflect Vantage's numbers on that
- 10 since it's missing?
- MR. FROST: Whether we -- your question is
- 12 whether we can request it. I assume we could but
- 13 that's -- whether they would or they wouldn't,
- 14 it's --
- MS. CRAWFORD: Well, can John Kim ask being
- 16 the director?
- 17 MR. FROST: I will take that under
- 18 advisement. All of this -- everything that's
- 19 reported at this meeting will be reported back to
- 20 John.
- MS. CRAWFORD: Excellent. Thank you.
- 22 And then my next question is, and I didn't
- 23 get a chance to review the permit fully, but are
- 24 there any types of audits where you guys physically

- 1 come to Vantage, you know, Chris and Kevin who are
- 2 the experts, is there anything in the bill that --
- 3 or, I'm sorry, in the permit that asks you guys to
- 4 come and audit Vantage yourself?
- 5 MR. FROST: There's nothing necessarily in
- 6 the new law, but we have inspectors. Dan is one of
- 7 our inspectors. And we also have stack testing.
- 8 Kevin is our stack tester. And they go to the
- 9 facilities.
- MS. CRAWFORD: And is that in the permit?
- 11 MR. FROST: No, that's just part of our
- 12 normal operations.
- MS. CRAWFORD: And how often do you guys
- 14 perform the audits, Kevin?
- MR. MATTISON: So I do stack testing, so,
- 16 you know, we go out and look at stack tests.
- 17 MS. CRAWFORD: Right.
- MR. MATTISON: So are you talking about
- 19 audits or are you talking about inspections of the
- 20 facility to ensure that there's --
- 21 MS. CRAWFORD: I guess I'm confusing that
- 22 word as the same. So you're saying it's two
- 23 different things?
- MR. MATTISON: Two different things.

1 MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. So maybe explain it, 2 please. 3 MR. MATTISON: So Dan would do the field 4 operations, so he would actually go and do field 5 inspections at the facility to ensure they're 6 complying with all the confines of the permit, where 7 I would get involved where the stack test is 8 occurring or certifying the monitoring system. 9 would be going out there and ensuring that it's done 10 correctly, which I was just out there May 21, 22 11 during their stack test of that new system. 12 MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. And so how often do 13 you do the inspections and how often does Dan do the 14 audits? 15 MR. MATTISON: Well, for my inspections, 16 it's all dependent on how often they're actually 17 required to do the actual stack test and then Dan is 18 on a periodic basis. 19 MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. So I know we kind of touched on this earlier, but I know that Vantage had 20 put in all of their additional measures in April and 21 2.2 then we had our testing in June and there were those 23 high tests of 3.6 and 1.28 and I know you were trying 24 to say that the background level -- most of the

- 1 testing was in accordance with background level, but
- 2 then how do you explain those high tests, the 3's and
- 3 the 1's? I mean, that's not coming from nothing.
- 4 That's coming from Vantage.
- 5 MR. FROST: You know, what I was saying is
- 6 that when you look at -- when you look at a chronic
- 7 exposure, you look at long-term average. You don't
- 8 look at a single --
- 9 MS. CRAWFORD: But just because we only
- 10 captured that three days out of the 30-day testing
- 11 that did it once every three days and we didn't have
- 12 canisters all around Vantage. We only had them in
- four spots where the wind wasn't even blowing.
- 14 That's not a true reflection of the testing. So
- 15 because there was high readings we know, the citizens
- 16 know that it's there and you can't deny that.
- 17 MR. FROST: Let me have Steve address that.
- MR. KING: Oh, sure.
- 19 You know, one thing about the 24-hour
- 20 sampling is that you can't just take a single number
- 21 and say, oh, this is my risk in a million. You have
- 22 to look at a lot of numbers and average them over a
- 23 lot of samples to get a number that you can actually
- 24 equate to a lifetime risk. So a single high number

- 1 doesn't tell you a lot except maybe you argue it's a
- 2 high number.
- 3 MS. CRAWFORD: But it tells you it's there.
- 4 It tells you it's there, sir. You can't -- I know
- 5 you're talking about averages, but it tells you that
- 6 it's there. It tells you that it's in our air and we
- 7 are breathing it and I cannot just say that that
- 8 doesn't count; that those high readings, oh, those
- 9 don't really count; no, you're fine, you're totally
- 10 fine, your children, your two precious daughters are
- 11 totally fine.
- 12 MR. KING: So there were a total of about I
- 13 believe 30 sampling 24-hour periods for all of the
- 14 Vantage monitors around Vantage, and it averages
- 15 .4 micrograms per cubic meter, which is pretty much
- 16 what we're seeing nationwide.
- MS. CRAWFORD: And do you believe that there
- 18 was enough canisters around Vantage? There was only
- 19 four.
- 20 MR. KING: Well, the canisters in and of
- 21 themselves were sited to try to catch where we would
- 22 consider the air is of maximum impact. Now, as I
- 23 understand it, they're doing some more sampling to
- 24 include monitors to the northwest.

1 MS. CRAWFORD: Correct. 2 MR. KING: And, you know, what we saw when 3 you look at historical wind roses is that the impacts 4 are generally over a course of five years of 5 meteorology, and typical of the Chicago area, is that 6 you will see the higher impacts where the wind is 7 predominant, which winds are out of the southwest so 8 they would be to the northeast of the plant and not 9 necessarily to the northwest or west or south. 10 MR. MORGAN: At this time, we have to move 11 on to another commenter, please. 12 MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you, sir. Thank you, 13 everyone. 14 MR. MORGAN: Tatyana, and Verena is next up. 15 MS. SANTA MARIA: Hello. My name is 16 Tatyana Santa Maria, and Sarah actually asked my 17 question, but I would like to reenforce this request. 18 If there is data and you didn't lose it 19 about the numbers and emissions from that NATA map, 20 can you please include this or request your director, 2.1 John Kim, to include this NATA map. And how long 2.2 should he wait for this official transparent public 23 information? How long do you think it will take to 24 show up on the map?

1 MR. FROST: Again, that's not our map. 2 MS. SANTA MARIA: I understand. 3 MR. FROST: We don't generate that map. 4 They typically only do it once every three --5 MS. SANTA MARIA: Four years. 6 MR. KING: I think it's four years. MS. SANTA MARIA: Yes, it's four years. 8 MR. FROST: So we have no control over that. 9 MS. SANTA MARIA: Can you help us, though? 10 MR. FROST: As I said, I mean, there's -- we 11 can communicate that to U.S. EPA, but we don't 12 control what they do. 13 MS. SANTA MARIA: Please communicate that. 14 Again, how can we find out if it was communicated? 15 MR. FROST: You have my number. 16 MS. SANTA MARIA: So when should I call you? 17 MR. FROST: Get in touch with me and we can 18 talk about it. 19 MS. SANTA MARIA: Well, I can call you tomorrow. But when should I call you to get the 20 21 answers so you can have some information for me? 2.2 MR. FROST: Well, I'll have to take -- I'll 23 have to take that back and talk to people. 24 MS. SANTA MARIA: Thank you, because I'm

- 1 very concerned about that. 2014 when Vantage had
- 2 more emissions than Sterigenics and Medline together
- 3 during this year, and this particular year is hidden.
- 4 It's not on the map. We're very concerned.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 MR. MORGAN: Verena Ower, and after that
- 7 Travis Haley and then Douglas Ower.
- MS. OWER: My name is Verena Ower, that's
- 9 V-E-R-E-N-A, O-W-E-R. Thank you for being here
- 10 tonight. I have a few questions, a little bit about
- 11 the process.
- So the company applied for this permit, sent
- in an application?
- MR. FROST: Yes.
- MS. OWER: Did you have any questions for
- 16 them or was it just accepted as is?
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: We had a number of questions
- 18 with regard to the air quality dispersion model that
- 19 was conducted and they went through several
- 20 iterations of that dispersion model until we were
- 21 satisfied that it was appropriate.
- MS. OWER: So I assume that the company has
- 23 signed off on this draft permit.
- 24 MR. ROMAINE: Yes. Preliminary discussions,

- 1 they don't have any -- well, they have concerns that
- 2 it's a tight permit, but beyond that, they understand
- 3 that's what they have to live with.
- 4 MS. OWER: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 Nothing in the monitoring, recordkeeping,
- 6 and reporting requirements excite me, nothing. I
- 7 don't know how many times we have to make that
- 8 argument. It is well within your authority to
- 9 require enhanced monitoring and recordkeeping for
- 10 overburdened communities. This is just basic. Do
- 11 better. I know you can. I know you have the
- 12 authority. Please flex your discretion right and
- 13 muscles for this case. We are frankly tired of
- 14 coming and telling you every time we see you. Just
- 15 do it.
- So, Mr. Mattison, the stack canister was to
- 17 get the baseline for the samples. Is that why you
- 18 did stack testing at the facility?
- 19 MR. MATTISON: The stack testing at the
- 20 facility in May was to test the new control system
- 21 that they put on; that's what the purpose of that
- 22 test was for, to validate the construction of that
- 23 system was working.
- 24 MS. OWER: Great. So that was their first

- 1 stack test ever or was a stack test done before that?
- 2 MR. MATTISON: They've had previous stack
- 3 tests done before.
- 4 MS. OWER: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 So, in general, facilities of that size, how
- 6 often do they get inspected by the EPA? You said --
- 7 I forgot the word that you used, but I want some
- 8 frequency. How often do facilities of this size
- 9 generally get inspected?
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: Historically, Vantage has not
- 11 been inspected that frequently. It is not a large
- 12 emitter in the scope of things. Obviously, given the
- 13 new developments with regard to the amount of
- 14 ethylene oxide and its toxicity or risk posed as a
- 15 carcinogen, the frequency is going to be greatly
- 16 increased.
- 17 I'm hoping that it's something that will be
- on a routine schedule for -- am I saying too much to
- 19 say annual, Dan?
- MR. DELGADO: It could be.
- 21 MR. ROMAINE: Yes. And I would further
- 22 suggest that certainly for the first couple of years
- 23 under the new permit, it would certainly be on an
- 24 annual basis.

1 Write that down. 2 MS. OWER: Going back to monitoring and 3 recordkeeping and reporting, they do self-monitoring, 4 self-reporting, and they report to you. So for the 5 public, what does it take to get those reports? 6 we have to constantly file FOIA requests to find out? 7 And can you think of a better way to let the public 8 know what has been reported from the facility? 9 MR. FROST: Again, as I had mentioned 10 before, if there's specific things you would like to 11 see, we can have a discussion about making those more 12 readily available than through a FOIA request, 13 although, I will say that FOIAs are not particularly 14 onerous when it comes to retrieving data and make 15 sure that -- you know, we have it so it's a simple 16 form online and that goes directly to our records 17 unit. 18 MS. OWER: There's no informal way for IEPA 19 to share information that they receive from Vantage 20 with the public, the mayor, the Lake County Health 2.1 Department? 2.2 MR. FROST: We cannot informally share any 23 data. We are subject to Open Records. 24 It's Open Records?

- 1 MS. OWER: Yes, it is.
- 2 MR. FROST: It's just sticking in my head
- 3 differently.
- But we're subject to the Open Records Act.
- 5 Now, after something is properly screened, can we
- 6 share it in a manner where someone doesn't have to
- 7 submit a request to the Agency --
- 8 MS. OWER: What exactly do you expect to
- 9 be in some report that is -- that is CBI business
- 10 information?
- 11 MR. FROST: I'm not saying that there is,
- 12 but that doesn't mean that it doesn't still have to
- 13 go through our records unit.
- MS. OWER: Okay. But once you're done with
- 15 that, maybe a little creative thinking on how that
- 16 can be shared without us constantly having to send a
- 17 Freedom of Information Act --
- MR. FROST: Obviously, our records unit has
- 19 many more staff dedicated to providing records to the
- 20 public than any other section of our agency, but I am
- 21 willing to -- I am willing to have a conversation
- 22 about what types of records, you know, could be
- 23 posted on the web or otherwise.
- MS. OWER: Okay. That's a start.

1 MR. FROST: I'm just telling you our records 2 unit has staff that are dedicated to processing those 3 kind of requests and they turn them around. MR. MORGAN: And that's time for our next 4 5 comment. MS. OWER: Just one last comment or 6 7 question. Do you have the authority to shut down a 8 facility that poses an imminent danger to the public 9 health? 10 MR. LAYMAN: Not without going to court 11 first. Actually, I take that back. We have the 12 ability to seek a -- through the Attorney General's 13 Office an immediate injunction for purposes of 14 Section 43A of the act. We, likewise, have the 15 authority, as was exercised in Sterigenics under 16 Section 34, I believe, to seal a facility based on 17 that -- I think that legal standard that you just 18 mentioned. 19 MS. OWER: Okay. That will do it. 20 Thank you. 2.1 MR. MORGAN: Travis Haley and then Douglas. 2.2 MR. HALEY: Thank you. My name is 23 Travis Haley. I reside over at the Village of 24 Grayslake, and I've been a lifelong resident here in

- 1 Lake County for 35 years.
- I can only go by simply saying this. I'm
- 3 not a scientist. I'm not an expert on EtO as you
- 4 guys are here, but I see the numbers. And for the
- 5 past five or six years, these companies have been
- 6 violating the EPA laws. They just have been.
- 7 And I want to commend the Stop EtO folks
- 8 that have been the frontline to doing this. These
- 9 are not extremists or environment -- excuse me,
- 10 environmental extremists. These are folks that have
- 11 been living here in the community for many years that
- 12 have had children go to school, pay their taxes and
- 13 everything else. They've been working nonstop. And
- 14 I might add when they've done their own independent
- 15 testing, they did this out of their own pocket and
- 16 donations from other people. Think about that.
- 17 That's how serious they take this.
- And I just wish that you guys will take a
- 19 very close eye on this before you keep moving forward
- 20 on this and please look at it. My only real wish
- 21 right now is that we were over at the Warren Township
- 22 High School to get a more view of the EtO problem
- 23 that we're seeing here instead of here at CLC.
- 24 That's really all I've got to say at this

- 1 point.
- 2 MR. MORGAN: Douglas and then
- 3 Jaime Rukstales.
- 4 MR. OWER: Good evening. My name is
- 5 Douglas Ower, O-W-E-R.
- I had a question about this 110 pounds. How
- 7 did that number get arrived at?
- 8 MR. FROST: So, in general, it's a work
- 9 backwards kind of situation, and I can have our
- 10 modeling guys --
- MR. OWER: So some form of modeling,
- 12 basically? It's not the law. It's just modeling?
- MR. FROST: Yes, it's modeling.
- 14 MR. OWER: And then is it anticipated to
- 15 keep the surrounding air below the national EPA
- 16 standards for EtO?
- 17 MR. FROST: Yes. And, again, it's not a
- 18 standard the EPA has. It's a risk level which they
- 19 tend to look at and then keeping that within a, you
- 20 know, somewhat -- you know, below that such that, you
- 21 know, it's, you know, below that level.
- MR. OWER: Okay. So 110 pounds is supposed
- 23 to keep you below a certain level?
- MR. FROST: Yes, below that U.S. EPA risk

1 level. 2 MR. OWER: Okay. Is there some sort of 3 safety factor in that? 4 MR. FROST: Generally -- that's where I was 5 kind of stumbling around a little bit. But they generally -- we also take out of that, you know, 6 7 there's a national level that's already -- you know, 8 this 30 parts per billion. So we already take that 9 out, you know, because that's potentially already out 10 there. 11 MR. OWER: Okay. And then once this permit 12 is put in place, will you be testing then to make 13 sure that 110 pounds keeps you below those levels? MR. FROST: So I think that that's where the 14 15 sims and the reporting requirements and those 16 things -- do you want to expand on that a little bit? 17 MR. ROMAINE: That is already demonstrated by the dispersion modeling. People have mentioned 18 19 the difficulty with having number of canister 20 monitors in different areas, different locations. 2.1 The dispersion modeling does a much more effective 2.2 job in addressing long-term exposure or 23 concentrations due to emissions at a facility than 24 would be addressed through ambient monitoring.

- 1 MR. OWER: Okay. So you're saying you've
- 2 already tested the model?
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: Well, the models are generally
- 4 certified U.S. EPA models and designed to be
- 5 conservative. If anything, the dispersion modelings
- 6 act to overpredict concentrations of emissions --
- 7 from emissions.
- 8 MR. OWER: Okay. So it's the U.S. EPA that
- 9 created the model then?
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: I'm not sure if they created
- 11 the model or they approved the model.
- MR. KING: It's a standard EPA approved
- 13 model. The company has to submit a modeling
- 14 demonstration to us and then we review it, make sure
- 15 they did it right, audit it, and we make sure that
- 16 what they're showing is accurate and that we're
- 17 seeing that it's below EPA's actionable risk level
- and what we're seeing is what they've submitted is
- 19 that it is.
- 20 MR. OWER: So the confidence is high that
- 21 that all -- 110 pounds will keep you at that level.
- MR. KING: Yes.
- MR. OWER: That level that's been
- 24 determined.

1 Okay. Just the last point I want to make is 2 sites like this, I mean, you should have a searchable 3 database. I don't know why these reports aren't 4 available. So if you've got a facility that has to 5 report quarterly on what the results are, we should 6 be able to go search that. 7 I mean, the NRC, for example, has a massive 8 database that anyone can go to. I can -- you know, 9 I'm involved with the Zion nuclear plant. You know, 10 I can go there and see every single report there is 11 for the Zion nuclear plant. So why can't you have a 12 searchable database? We shouldn't have to do a FOIA. 13 We should just be able to go look and get the data. 14 MR. FROST: So we are in the process of 15 putting more and more of our data online. We have a 16 DocuWare tool. If you go to the front page of our 17 website, there's a DocuWare. But we just haven't 18 gotten to the point where everything that comes into 19 the Agency is getting -- is yet getting into DocuWare. We're moving that way. 20 2.1 MR. OWER: Okay. So the goal is to get it 2.2 so it can be searchable. 23 MR. FROST: Yes. I think from the

standpoint of we receive -- we used to be I think the

24

- 1 top recipient of FOIA requests of any state agency or
- 2 one of the top ones, and so we have been moving over
- 3 the years to get more and more of that so that it's
- 4 online, it's searchable, you know, after it goes
- 5 through the screening process and then gets on to the
- 6 website. So we're moving that way. We're just not
- 7 all the way there yet.
- 8 MR. OWER: Okay. Yes, because you really
- 9 shouldn't have to FOIA every quarter to get this
- 10 data.
- 11 So, all right. Thank you.
- MR. MORGAN: Jaime.
- MS. RUKSTALES: Hello. My name is
- 14 Jaime Rukstales. I've been in the Waukegan and
- 15 Gurnee area all of my life. I moved to the wonderful
- 16 Village of Gurnee in 2000, so I've lived there for
- 17 19 years and prior to that in Waukegan.
- I was frankly shocked at the town hall
- 19 meeting to find out that my leadership in my county,
- 20 in my village, and in my surrounding areas and even
- 21 at the state and federal levels were not going to be
- 22 able to protect me. And I quickly reflected that my
- 23 whole family was in the zone, and they still are
- 24 there today.

1 I quickly recognized that EtO exposure 2 caused my grandmother's multiple myeloma, painful 3 bone tumor that we suffered with for ten years. worked for the State of Illinois. She had to leave 4 her job that she loved because she could no longer 5 open the door at the Ann M. Kiley Center. 6 7 care of her and my grandfather all of that time. 8 deferred my wishes, my desires for my career. grandmother lost her career. So if we're talking 9 10 about lost jobs and impact to these companies, let us also recognize there are lost families not only in 11 12 economic cost but also the strife that we have to go 13 through. And now knowing that I moved my brother and 14 his family into that home, devastating. 15 My niece turns three this weekend. 16 going to get leukemia? My aunt two blocks away from 17 that has multiple myeloma, my grandmother's sister. She took a walk every day, she was a healthy person, 18 19 in Greenbelt Forest Preserve. They're worried of 20 people losing their jobs, too, but I say what's 2.1 happening here? Let 's talk about it. Let's 2.2 understand. 23 I just -- frankly, I need more confidence in 24 my leadership, in my cities, in my village. I need

1 more confidence in my Illinois EPA. I need more 2 confidence that someone out there that is smarter 3 than me is going to figure out these numbers. And 4 I'm looking at you guys. I know you can do that. 5 I teach children the violin. I look at them every day and they tell me where they go to school. 6 What do you think that feels like? Just imagine 7 8 yourself for a second if both of these companies, the 9 double dose that we have in Lake County, would be 10 moving within a mile of your home. Just imagine. 11 My question about the permit overall really 12 has to do with a larger question. What was it about 13 the Sterigenics facility that allowed that facility 14 to be sealed and in Lake County we had similar 15 violations and emissions that would warrant that as 16 well; what was different about Lake County? 17 MR. FROST: Well, first, I can't say that I was part of the discussions of, you know, why, you 18 19 know, that was sealed. But, in general, my 20 understanding is there were certain levels that were 2.1 on an order of magnitude higher than anything that 2.2 we're seeing here. And then, you know, here we also 23 have the new laws which are further controlling the facilities. 24

1 MS. RUKSTALES: So, when I think about the 2 current legislation, I don't see the proper 3 protections in place. And I want you to look at me 4 and know that my entire life has been spent taking 5 care of people that have had cancer and the 6 organizations I've been a part of, not only those types of things. And I myself am suffering as well, 8 and I'm not going to share that publically here 9 today, but I want you to look at us and I want you to 10 think about us. How much longer can we suffer in 11 Lake County? 12 MR. MORGAN: That concludes the original 13 round of folks who had comments. 14 We have a second comment card from 15 Ms. Tanaka. If there is someone else who would like 16 to augment their earlier comments, could I ask you to 17 sit in those chairs over to the left, and we'll call 18 you up after Ms. Tanaka. 19 You can go first. 20 MS. TANAKA: Okay. Thank you. 2.1 Again, Tanaka, T-A-N-A-K-A. 2.2 I have a question for you, Steve. 23 earlier you were talking about averages of the 24 canister data, and I don't believe that that's a good

- 1 thing to do, averaging this data. The reason why is
- 2 because where the wind is blowing is -- if you have a
- 3 canister towards the wind's direction, then that's
- 4 where you are basing your emission source.
- 5 Averaging them with the other canisters that
- 6 are not on the wind path is basically reducing your
- 7 average, and that's not correct. That can't be. You
- 8 cannot be averaging the number of canisters.
- 9 If you have 100 canisters away, for
- 10 instance, from the wind's direction and you have a
- 10 microgram per meter cubic canister, you can't say,
- 12 well, technically it's 0.1 because I'm dividing
- 13 everything by 100.
- MR. KING: Yes, I agree with that. You can
- 15 certainly not take an average of the group. You can
- 16 say what was the average of this particular monitor.
- 17 True.
- MS. TANAKA: Okay. So that's a good point
- 19 then, right? We shouldn't be averaging.
- The second point is the data that was
- 21 collected by Lake County Health Department in the
- 22 month of June, 40 percent of that data had canisters
- 23 that registered ND. ND means non-detect. There are
- 24 two ways -- one second.

- 1 There are two ways that you can have an ND.
- One, there is no EtO to be detected. Two, the
- 3 canister didn't work.
- 4 The LOQ, limit of detection, limit of
- 5 quantification for the canister, I believe was 0.07.
- 6 We did our own testing to see if we would get
- 7 40 percent of our canisters as ND's, and we tested
- 8 30 canisters. None of them were ND's.
- 9 We believe that the data you got in June was
- 10 either altered or something happened to it.
- 11 40 percent ND's is not correct. So that's one point.
- 12 And the second point is back in the day
- 13 before we got the promise that we were going to get
- 14 independent air testing we made the specific request
- 15 for independent testing. What ended up happening is
- 16 this independent testing that came, that 40 percent
- was unusable because of ND data then was supplemented
- 18 with data that the companies themselves had tested.
- 19 All of a sudden from roughly a hundred data points
- 20 that Lake County collected there was another extra
- 21 hundred data points from the companies themselves.
- 22 So now only 50 percent of the data that was submitted
- 23 to the agencies was truly independent. And out of
- that 50 percent, 40 percent is ND's.

1 So that comes to my other question. 2 we trust that you as an agency, Lake County Health 3 Department as an agency, the data that we are getting 4 right now, how do we trust that that data is kosher? 5 MR. FROST: So, first of all, when it comes 6 to the permitting and the data that's required to be 7 reported in the permitting, that is -- if it's not --8 if it's incorrectly reported, that's serious. 9 mean, that goes to what we're talking about as being 10 a very serious violation if they're incorrectly 11 reporting something. MS. TANAKA: But who would be held 12 accountable? Mark Pfister? 13 14 I'm sorry. Mark Pfister -- when 15 Representative Rita Mayfield was on the House floor 16 defending ferociously House bill 3888 for us and got 17 it passed, Mark Pfister was asked by the companies, Medline, can you please say that Lake County doesn't 18 19 have a health -- a cancer issue, that the number one 20 cause of death in Lake County is not cancer. And 2.1 Mark Pfister went before every Senator, he made sure 2.2 every Senator knew that this wasn't -- cancer wasn't 23 a big deal in Lake County, when, in fact, the data 24 says exactly that. For every single year from 2008

- 1 to 2018 cancer is the biggest -- number one biggest
- 2 killer in Lake County. Car accidents is number two.
- 3 So why do we have these faulty data and
- 4 these questionable motives that what people are
- 5 doing? How can we trust you? How can we trust you?
- 6 MR. FROST: Well, first of all, we are
- 7 not -- you're talking about the County Health
- 8 Department and --
- 9 MS. TANAKA: And the EPA. You guys work
- 10 like this. You work like this.
- MR. FROST: No.
- MS. TANAKA: Yes, you do.
- MR. MORGAN: Well, that is all the time we
- 14 have for this round.
- Ms. Brown.
- MR. FROST: Let me just say when I was
- 17 talking about the -- I was talking about the permit
- 18 recording. What you're talking about is the canister
- 19 monitoring, which was -- is being done by the County.
- 20 We're not the same agency and we --
- MS. TANAKA: You're not the same, but you're
- 22 acting pretty similarly. You're covering for
- 23 industry.
- MR. ROMAINE: Let me just jump in.

1 You raise interesting questions about the 2 ambient monitoring that was conducted. When it comes 3 to ambient monitoring for ethylene oxide, we would 4 look to U.S. EPA because they have much more 5 experience than the Illinois EPA in the monitoring of ethylene oxide. 6 7 I'm not sure the extent to which U.S. EPA 8 was involved in any of the ambient monitoring that 9 was conducted for Lake County. 10 MS. TANAKA: I don't think that they did, 11 other than give them a -- where the wind is blowing, 12 I don't think that they did. 13 MR. ROMAINE: When it comes to ambient 14 monitoring for criteria like ozone and particulate 15 matter 2.5 and SO2, U.S. EPA is very particular about 16 the type of monitoring instruments, the quality 17 control procedures that are used, the quality 18 assurance procedures that are used. 19 MS. TANAKA: We used exactly the same lab, 20 exactly the same lab that Lake County Health 2.1 Department used. Same canisters. 2.2 MR. ROMAINE: Did U.S. EPA inspect that lab 23 and conduct quality control assurance audits? I don't know. 24

- 1 MR. TANAKA: Hey, if it was good for
- 2 Lake County Health Department, it was good for us.
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: I don't know. I would agree
- 4 with you certainly the number of no data seems high.
- 5 I absolutely agree with you.
- 6 MS. TANAKA: It does. It's very suspicious.
- 7 Oh, by the way, Larry Mackey, please ask
- 8 Mark Pfister to resign. Thank you. And you too,
- 9 actually.
- 10 Thanks.
- MR. MORGAN: Ms. Brown.
- 12 MS. BROWN: Yes, I have a couple of
- 13 questions for you, Mr. Frost, about your comments
- 14 about how it takes 70 years of exposure to get
- 15 cancer. Does EtO cause childhood --
- MR. FROST: That wasn't my comment. I said
- 17 when you look at a chronic exposure, you typically
- 18 look at 70 years. There's certainly people that get
- 19 cancer before they're 70 years old.
- 20 MS. BROWN: How much EtO does one need to
- 21 breathe in order to get cancer?
- MR. FROST: I am not a public health
- 23 official. No one here at the Illinois EPA is a
- 24 public health official. We don't do --

1 MS. BROWN: Well, is it a mutagen? 2 MR. FROST: That's what the U.S. EPA tells 3 us. 4 MS. BROWN: So with a mutagen, really you only need one molecule. It's kind of like Russian 5 roulette. I could breathe it my whole life and never 6 7 have anything happen, or I could breathe it and 8 happened to be the unlucky one that the bullet was in 9 the chamber. Is that true? Is that how mutagens 10 work? 11 MR. FROST: Again, none of us are public health officials. We don't -- we're not 12 13 epidemiologists. We're not toxicologists. We're not 14 the public health officials. We are -- at the 15 Illinois EPA, we take the laws and we apply them, and 16 in this case, we're applying them to a permit and 17 we're using the -- we're using tools that were developed by U.S. EPA and then laws that were passed 18 19 at the state level. We're not public health 20 officials, and I'm not telling you anything about 2.1 anyone's individual health. 2.2 MS. BROWN: Okay. Because you were making 23 statements before. 24 MR. FROST: No, all I said was when you look

- 1 at a risk, a chronic risk, that is typically you're
- 2 looking at a 70-year average. That's all I was
- 3 saying about that.
- 4 MS. BROWN: Well, okay. I just wanted to
- 5 point out that, like, in my neighborhood I'm right
- 6 between the two plants, we have six extremely young
- 7 little kids who are all battling leukemia. And what
- 8 that involves is maybe you die, maybe you survive.
- 9 If you survive -- for example, a boy we know Samuel
- 10 who is three, he gets to go through chemotherapy for
- 11 three and a half years. Childhood leukemia is, in my
- 12 opinion, far worse than adult cancer. And I'm
- 13 surprised that we have an agency called Environmental
- 14 Protection Agency that isn't involved in protecting
- 15 us from things like Class 1 carcinogens.
- I can't believe that none of you here feel
- 17 that this is wrong. I'm sure that some of you must
- deep down inside think like, holy crap, why are they
- 19 letting them emit a Class 1 carcinogen right next to
- 20 schools, homes, and daycares.
- 21 And I just -- I'm going to ask you if you
- 22 could possibly try looking inside yourselves and do
- 23 something for us. If you guys lived where we lived,
- 24 if you guys saw these little kids suffering, I don't

- 1 believe that you would all sit there and talk about
- 2 how we can't really get the fugitive emissions and
- 3 we're not going to do air monitoring and this average
- 4 and that average.
- 5 This is serious. These companies should be
- 6 just shut down. We know it's a Class 1 carcinogen.
- 7 I know in ten years they're going to be gone. How
- 8 many kids have to die before our government gets
- 9 their act together and takes care of this? Please
- 10 help us.
- MR. MORGAN: Moeller, Diane Moeller.
- MS. MOELLER: So, again, my name is
- 13 Diane Moeller, M-O-E-L-L-E-R.
- I was just wondering if you guys knew the
- 15 emissions before the permit, like how much they would
- 16 emit last year on an annual pound basis?
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: I don't have that number with
- 18 me.
- MR. FROST: We can get it.
- 20 MS. MOELLER: Okay. I was just going to say
- 21 I know the Stop EtO website said it was over 90,000
- for both Medline and Vantage. So with this permit in
- 23 place, if they followed it, that would be a
- 24 98.8 percent reduction in emissions.

1	I also had a question about the
2	MR. FROST: I think we were going to say the
3	same thing. Go ahead.
4	MR. ROMAINE: I think that information is,
5	in fact, outdated information.
6	MS. MOELLER: Okay.
7	MR. ROMAINE: Both Vantage and I believe
8	Medline have looked more carefully at their emission
9	information and realized that they have been overly
10	conservative in reporting emissions and, in fact
11	(Whereupon, comments were made
12	from attendees of the public
13	meeting.)
14	MR. ROMAINE: That's right. That's exactly
15	what it means. And when you're reporting emissions,
16	it's much better to overstate what you tell us rather
17	than to understate. And when somebody runs into a
18	problem like these plants have done, the first thing
19	you do is look at it and say have I been excessively
20	conservative, how realistic are these numbers, and
21	they realized that they've been extremely
22	conservative in terms of overstating emissions.
23	(Whereupon, comments were made
24	from attendees of the public

1 meeting.) 2 MR. MORGAN: I'm sorry. We can't take 3 comments like that. If you want to make a comment, 4 please come up front and we'll get you at the 5 microphone to make those comments. MS. MOELLER: I had another question about 6 7 the dispersion modeling. Looking at ethylene oxide, 8 it has a very short half-life, anywhere from a few 9 hours to 15 days depending on environmental conditions. 10 11 MR. ROMAINE: I think the information we're 12 aware of suggests it has a moderate half-life and can 13 be in the atmosphere for 50 to 100 days. It depends 14 on how hot it is, how cold, whether there's a lot of 15 ozone. It sticks around, unfortunately. 16 MS. MOELLER: Okay. I was looking at 17 different peer-reviewed research. I don't know. 18 But my question was does the dispersion 19 model take into account the environmental fate and 20 decay rate of the contaminant? 2.1 MR. ROMAINE: I think the simple answer is 2.2 it doesn't have to because it's looking at maximum 23 concentrations close to the facility and those sorts 24 of chemical reactions and phenomenon that result in a

- 1 decay in the atmosphere aren't relevant in that short
- 2 area that you're examining for the maximum
- 3 concentrations.
- 4 MS. MOELLER: Okay. So a follow-up question
- 5 then, so these -- does the dispersion model only
- 6 account for a certain radius then?
- 7 MR. KING: Well, the first thing is you want
- 8 to make sure you capture the maximum, and, of course,
- 9 legislation is requiring out to one kilometer where
- 10 we put the receptors in, and we're certainly making
- 11 sure that the maximum is captured and because these
- 12 stacks are so low, the impacts are -- maximum impact
- is very close.
- 14 MS. MOELLER: Okay. So it would be almost
- 15 negligent past one kilometer?
- MR. KING: Oh, yes. Yes.
- 17 MS. MOELLER: Okay. All right. Thanks.
- 18 That's it.
- 19 MR. MORGAN: And would you state your name
- 20 and spell your last name.
- MR. SERENBETZ: Sure. Jim Serenbetz,
- S-E-R-E-N-B-E-T-Z.
- I think somebody mentioned that Vantage
- 24 doesn't do their own monitoring; that is a third

- 1 party. Do you know who that third party company is?
- 2 MR. MATTISON: I do not know the name of
- 3 that third party company.
- 4 MR. SERENBETZ: It probably would be a good
- 5 idea, wouldn't it?
- 6 The other question I have is so based on
- 7 that do you audit what they come up with? I mean,
- 8 how is that -- how is there a check and balance if
- 9 Vantage hires a company to monitor it and then
- 10 reports it through a third party, where you guys
- 11 apparently don't know who that third party is? So if
- 12 we're taking the word of a second party -- just a
- 13 question. It's not a --
- MR. ROMAINE: I don't know. Does U.S. EPA
- 15 routinely do audits of these third parties, Kevin?
- 16 MR. MATTISON: I don't know. I don't know.
- 17 MR. SERENBETZ: That's a big gap.
- MR. ROMAINE: Yes, thank you. That's a good
- 19 comment.
- 20 MR. SERENBETZ: The second -- I have two
- 21 more questions. One question has to do with the
- 22 permit.
- 23 So I think it's obvious or it's becoming
- 24 more obvious as these communities start learning more

- 1 and more about EtO that we don't want these companies
- 2 in the area if they can't perform as they say they
- 3 will, right. So it's up to you guys to monitor that.
- 4 But there's a lot of, shall we say -- people don't
- 5 believe, okay. We see too much of this going on
- 6 where a company says they'll live up to something and
- 7 then don't. Hence, we have this monitoring which has
- 8 gaps in it, right.
- 9 So what I'm curious about is I think I heard
- 10 the date of November 29 is when the permit
- 11 potentially could be approved. Is that true?
- 12 MR. FROST: I think we're -- so the 29th is
- 13 when comments -- the comment period ends then we are
- 14 going to have to review all those comments and --
- MR. SERENBETZ: Okay. So that's where I was
- 16 going with this. So we can comment on what the terms
- of the permit is. So the permit could potentially be
- 18 changed?
- 19 MR. FROST: Absolutely.
- MR. SERENBETZ: Okay. Great.
- 21 And then maybe this is a question for the
- 22 lawyer. I don't know how we would go about this, but
- 23 if we don't want these companies or we want these
- 24 companies sealed, what kind of action can we take?

1 Does it have to be something through the mayors of 2 Gurnee or Grayslake or Waukegan or whatever? 3 (Whereupon, comments were made 4 from attendees of the public 5 meeting.) MR. SERENBETZ: What does that mean? 6 7 MR. MORGAN: Okay. Again, comments shouted 8 from the audience won't show up in the record. 9 MR. SERENBETZ: I'm sorry. I caused that. 10 Okay. Those were the three questions I had. 11 Thank you. 12 MR. MORGAN: Jolanta. 13 MS. POMIOTLO: Thank you for sticking around 14 and allowing us to add additional questions, and we 15 appreciate it. 16 My question was about the audits. Are they 17 surprise audits or are they scheduled? 18 MR. FROST: They can be either depending on 19 the circumstances. 20 MS. POMIOTLO: So you do both? 2.1 MR. FROST: Yes. 2.2 MS. POMIOTLO: So in the last year how many 23 surprise audits has Vantage had? 24 MR. ROMAINE: As I said, we have not been

- 1 inspecting them regularly. We have had none in the
- 2 last several years I'm sad to admit.
- 3 MS. POMIOTLO: Really? Okay. So let the
- 4 record show in the last several years there was no
- 5 surprise audits on Vantage Specialties Chemicals.
- 6 Okay. So as you guys know, yesterday at the
- 7 executive committee, the Senate executive committee,
- 8 they basically killed House bill 3888, which was
- 9 supposed to put more stringent controls around
- 10 ethylene oxide. And I just want everyone to know
- 11 here that this movement is not going away. We are
- 12 going to be back in the spring session starting in
- 13 January and we're going to be fighting for additional
- 14 legislation. So we are hoping that the Illinois EPA
- can support that, but we're by no means defeated
- 16 because of yesterday just so everybody knows. We're
- 17 not going away because we are in the right and we are
- 18 parents and we care about our community and we care
- 19 about our children.
- I also wanted to point out Lake County is a
- 21 number one tourist destination in the state of
- 22 Illinois. We have millions of visitors visiting
- 23 daily, visiting to come to Great America, to go to
- 24 Great Wolf Lodge, to come to Gurnee Mills for

- 1 shopping. It is immoral to invite millions of people
- 2 from Illinois to come to a polluted city where the
- 3 air is infused with a known carcinogen and a mutagen.
- 4 It's immoral. It's jeopardizing other businesses in
- 5 this area, and we should not be putting any tourist
- 6 in danger. So think about that when you're issuing
- 7 permits.
- 8 And 40 percent non-detect, I do want to
- 9 comment on that. So both Vantage and Medline did
- 10 their own testing. They sent it to the lab. The
- 11 Lake County Health Department did their testing.
- 12 They sent it to the lab. Lake County Health
- 13 Department's was 40 percent ND's. The results from
- 14 Vantage and from Medline did not have 40 percent
- 15 ND's. I just want that to be clear, because I don't
- 16 know if that was that clear. And like Tea said, we
- 17 had canisters on the ground and we used the same
- 18 exact facility or the same exact lab for testing. So
- 19 fully independent, we outsourced it, and it was
- 20 concerning.
- 21 And thank you for coming.
- MR. MORGAN: Ms. Flores.
- MS. FLORES: So I just wanted to thank you
- 24 guys. I know we asked for you guys to put stuff more

1 on social media, and I saw that this event was 2 created on the Illinois EPA Facebook page. Taking it 3 a step further, you know, happy to talk to whoever I need to about having information bilingual, just a 4 request. But I appreciate that the Illinois EPA is 5 starting to post stuff on social media for people to 6 also get information. 7 MR. FROST: I think that we do have the vast 8 9 majority of the material in bilingual. 10 MS. FLORES: So I'm just talking about the 11 Facebook website, web page. I don't know what to 12 call it. 13 MR. FROST: Oh, okay. MS. FLORES: The Illinois EPA has a Facebook 14 15 page and it's been creating events, and, you know, if 16 you can add the information in Spanish. 17 MR. FROST: All right. 18 MR. MORGAN: Well, thank you very much. 19 That concludes our public comment tonight. 20 2.1 2.2 23 2.4

```
1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS )
 2
                        ) SS:
 3
     COUNTY OF L A K E
 4
 5
 6
              ERIN K. ECKENSTAHLER, being first duly sworn
 7
     on oath says that she is a court reporter doing
 8
     business in the City of Chicago; that she reported in
 9
     shorthand the proceedings given at the taking of said
     public meeting and that the foregoing is a true and
10
11
     correct transcript of her shorthand notes so taken as
12
     aforesaid and contains all the proceedings given at
13
     said public meeting.
14
15
16
                   ERIN K. ECKENSTAHLER, CSR
17
18
19
2.0
2.1
22
23
24
```

	60.22.407.24		02.42	60.2
<u>A</u>	68:22 107:24	advisement	92:13	60:2
A013 2:12	actionable	72:18	allowing 18:16	apparently
AB 36:11,15	88:17	affect 65:6	108:14	106:11
Abandoned	activity 62:15	aforesaid 112:12	allows 5:6	applicable 19:19
40:22	actual 29:24	afraid 64:11	altered 95:10	application
ability 4:22	74:17	agencies 41:9	ambient 26:7	16:20 79:13
64:12 84:12	actuality 34:21	46:5 95:23	27:20 34:6,7	applied 79:12
able 10:20	add 12:6 25:15	agency 1:1,17	87:24 98:2,3	apply 56:3
21:24 25:17	56:10,24	3:2 7:2,7,8,13	98:8,13	100:15
26:9,10 27:5	85:14 108:14	22:8,9,16	ambiguity 66:1	applying 100:16
55:12 67:14	111:16	23:3,9 24:16	America 109:23	appreciate 4:8
89:6,13 90:22	added 12:8	37:15 38:16	amount 2:7	108:15 111:5
absolutely 46:6	31:10	45:23 47:23	20:13 32:11	appropriate
63:19 99:5	additional 16:5	50:23,24	49:12 53:6	79:21
107:19	17:15 32:23	63:10 69:2	54:2,10 55:4	approved 88:11
absorber 38:3	34:15 38:10	71:17,18 83:7	58:19 81:13	88:12 107:11
absorption 8:10	74:21 108:14	83:20 89:19	and/or 23:12	April 8:11 30:15
8:11 17:15	109:13	90:1 96:2,3	Ann 91:6	30:17 74:21
accept 15:1	address 23:16	97:20 101:13	announce 4:15	area 8:16 33:24
acceptable	27:10 43:19	101:14	annual 7:4 9:12	34:4 37:12
9:24 10:1 36:7	50:5 58:7	Agency's 3:18	9:14,19 15:4	62:8 77:5
accepted 79:16	63:14 64:17,19	aggressive	49:8,9 81:19	90:15 105:2
accidents 97:2	75:17	20:22,23 21:1	81:24 102:16	107:2 110:5
account 20:3	addressed 5:6	agree 40:12	answer 5:5	areas 41:2 64:5
63:3 104:19	19:4,19 62:19	94:14 99:3,5	16:18 28:10	87:20 90:20
105:6	87:24	agreement	45:1,2,5 50:15	argue 76:1
accountable	addressing 9:19	23:8 52:4	50:16 59:10,19	argument 80:8
96:13	48:15,16	ahead 52:15	66:6 70:10	arrived 86:7
accurate 88:16	87:22	103:3	71:15 104:21	asbestos 21:23
accurately 2:19	adequate	air 3:17 21:12,12	answered 4:24	22:3 45:17,17
55:9	65:20	21:20 23:4	10:18 52:18	45:18,20
achieve 25:17	administrating	26:7 27:20	answering 30:3	asked 35:22
acknowledge	22:17	32:20,22	64:11	44:22,23,24
32:2	administration	34:6,7,8,17	answers 78:21	46:1 77:16
act 2:6 22:19	41:7	37:14 42:1,7,16	anti-explosion	96:17 110:24
25:19 26:13,18	administratio	45:9 76:6,22	18:20	asking 19:2
27:6,12 51:1	40:23	79:18 86:15	anti-failure	22:15 66:1
62:11 68:3,23	administrative	95:14 102:3	18:20	asks 73:3
69:15 83:4,17	17:2 22:23	110:3	anticipated 4:21	asleep 25:22
84:14 88:6	26:21,22	alkoxylation	86:14	aspect 19:18
102:9	admit 109:2	8:16	anybody 55:19	assess 21:14,20
acting 97:22	adult 101:12	allege 62:11	anymore 11:19	62:21
action 3:17 26:11	advance 16:10	allow 22:19	36:22	assist 4:1
26:12 36:11	advanced 38:17	35:24 39:8	anyone's 100:21	associated
52:4 61:20	38:19 39:1,5,7	45:10	anyway 17:13	15:23 29:12
67:24 68:2,7	adversely 26:19	allowable 49:12	apologies 16:10	assume 72:12
	61:17,18 62:12	allowed 12:18	apologize 52:15	79:22

	1	1	1	1
assuming 19:24	80:12 84:7,15	basically 86:12	billion 54:23	burden 41:22
assurance	authorizing	94:6 109:8	55:9,12,18,20	burdened 44:5
98:18,23	25:11	basing 94:4	56:8 87:8	burdens 64:21
assure 2:16	automatically	basis 13:11,17	bit 7:19 34:23	Burdette 10:12
25:21	29:3,4,16	15:3 24:9	52:15 62:8	18:12,14,14
ate 14:4,5,5	available 3:3,5	27:21 30:7	79:10 87:5,16	19:7,21 20:6
atmosphere	24:10 50:19	54:16 65:18,19	blaming 24:15	40:20 58:5
104:13 105:1	50:24 67:4	69:17 74:18	blocks 91:16	63:6,8,8,23
ATSDR 35:21	82:12 89:4	81:24 102:16	blowing 75:13	64:3,18 65:11
attached 54:1	average 33:11	battling 101:7	94:2 98:11	65:23 66:8,10
attacks 40:24	33:16 75:7,22	becoming	blue 13:20	66:14
attempt 62:5	94:7,15,16	106:23	board 13:21,22	burning 22:22
attend 36:21	101:2 102:3,4	bed 8:10,11 12:8	26:11,22 27:9	buses 36:17,18
attended 32:19	averages 54:21	17:15 18:21,24	68:24	business 83:9
attendees 48:2	76:5,14 93:23	38:3 59:1	bone 91:3	112:8
48:7 49:14,21	averaging 94:1	beds 17:18	born 37:12	businesses
103:12,24	94:5,8,19	began 31:12	boss 44:19	110:4
108:4	aware 21:19	behalf 5:10	45:10	buy 13:22
attention 24:6	36:10 63:10	43:13 52:7	boy 101:9	
43:20	104:12	behavior 65:21	Brad 1:13 3:8,12	C
attorney 1:17		believe 15:20	66:11 67:10	C-R-A-W-F-O
21:14 22:6,12	В	26:15,20,21	breakdowns	70:24
23:12,19 25:11	B-U-R-D-E-T	28:15 41:12	13:10,12	calculate 15:19
52:2 84:12	18:15 63:9	48:14,15 54:17	breaks 21:24	29:11 55:1,19
attorney's	babies 46:19	63:2 68:1,14	breathe 32:11,12	55:23 56:2
23:20 25:12	back 10:1,8 15:9	69:4 76:13,17	99:21 100:6,7	calculated
25:13	16:6 27:16	84:16 93:24	breathing 11:5	56:20 63:15
attorneys 22:8	47:17 59:16	95:5,9 101:16	71:6 76:7	calculation
audience 3:7	69:16 72:19	102:1 103:7	bring 2:4 25:15	15:23
4:16 5:16	78:23 82:2	107:5	26:10 37:3	calculations
10:22 22:6	84:11 95:12	believes 33:23	bringing 61:20	32:16 60:9
37:1 108:8	109:12	33:23	broke 13:21	61:3
audit 73:4 88:15	background	best 64:12	Broken 40:22	calibrated 27:3
106:7	20:1,4,5 33:16	better 18:2	brother 71:3	call 4:12,15 10:11
auditorium 1:8	33:18 74:24	37:14 66:6	91:13	12:21 69:8
2:10	75:1	80:11 82:7	brought 26:12	78:16,19,20
audits 72:24	backwards	103:16	27:11	93:17 111:12
73:14,19 74:14	59:4 60:23	beyond 43:8	Brown 18:13	called 32:18
98:23 106:15	86:9	44:3 80:2	20:7,10,10	44:20 48:5
108:16,17,23	balance 106:8	big 14:9 15:9	21:2,16,22	101:13
109:5	banning 42:1	96:23 106:17	24:3,12 26:1	campaign 30:10
augment 93:16	based 64:13	biggest 97:1,1	27:14,18 28:1	30:14,17,18,20
aunt 91:16	68:12 84:16	bilingual 111:4,9	97:15 99:11,12	39:20 43:14
authorities	106:6	bill 6:19 44:14,15	99:20 100:1,4	60:19,20
25:21	baseline 80:17	44:16,24 45:2	100:22 101:4	campaigns
authority 7:7	basic 20:12	46:8 73:2	bullet 100:8	31:16 60:11
22:17,24 80:8	80:10	96:16 109:8	bunch 10:18	cancer 11:4,4
22.17,24 00.0		30.10 103.0	Duriell 10.10	3,

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

				1
42:19 45:19	careful 21:7	chairs 93:17	citizen 25:19,23	20:7 28:3,5
46:2,3,16,18	carefully 20:21	chamber 100:9	35:7 67:21,22	31:22 35:2
47:4,5 71:8,9	103:8	chance 20:11	69:4	37:5,22 39:14
71:11 93:5	cares 53:5	72:23	citizens 25:15	39:16 54:3
96:19,20,22	carry 60:12	chances 70:8	75:15	55:4 58:7
97:1 99:15,19	case 6:14 27:12	change 60:17	city 110:2 112:8	70:9 75:3,4
99:21 101:12	38:6 51:20,20	changed 29:5	civil 24:10 26:13	80:14 110:21
cancers 43:7	61:21 62:4	29:17 107:18	61:21 69:5	commend 85:7
61:11 71:5	69:13 80:13	changes 12:4	class 21:23	comment 2:18
canister 33:3,5	100:16	changing 21:17	24:13,20 32:3	2:22 10:4 15:1
80:16 87:19	case-by-case	30:7	45:14,17 67:9	19:8 27:23
	•			
93:24 94:3,11	13:11,24 20:19	check 16:6	67:23 68:2,7	28:9,14 52:12
95:3,5 97:18	65:18	106:8	101:15,19	71:1 84:5,6
canisters 75:12	cases 43:22	chemical 7:22	102:6	93:14 99:16
76:18,20 94:5	70:16	64:7 104:24	classified 32:4	104:3 106:19
94:8,9,22	casualties 36:19	chemicals 30:5	classifies 32:8	107:13,16 110:9
95:7,8 98:21	catch 76:21	109:5	clause 34:14	111:19
110:17	caught 26:5	chemotherapy	CLC 85:23	commented
cap 7:4 9:12,14	cause 96:20	101:10	Clean 37:13	40:12
9:20 12:6 15:4	99:15	Chicago 43:10	39:22 40:21	commenter
18:5 28:9	caused 34:3	77:5 112:8	cleaning 42:21	27:16 39:10,12
29:6 34:14,16	71:5 91:2	child 36:21	clear 40:13	77:11
59:21	108:9	66:19	110:15,16	comments 2:3
caps 41:17	causes 42:19	childhood	clearly 5:14	2:11,16 3:3,16
capture 55:12	caution 42:4	99:15 101:11	close 14:13 20:9	3:23 4:1,4,10
56:10 105:8	CBI 83:9	children 34:1	29:6 85:19	4:24 5:2,7,21
captured 75:10	cease 62:15	76:10 85:12	104:23 105:13	5:21 6:9,12,17
105:11	Celeste 28:3	92:5 109:19	code 50:2	6:17 10:3,5
Car 97:2	37:5 39:22	chose 23:11	coded 48:17,17	18:8,10,18
carcinogen	Center 2:12	Chris 3:8,14	49:18 50:7,9	27:24 28:5
24:13,20 32:3	91:6	12:24 23:1	cold 104:14	31:10 48:1,6
40:10,13 45:13	certain 8:1	35:19 51:16	collected 94:21	49:13,20
45:15,16,17	22:19,20,21	65:4 66:21	95:20	66:12 93:13,16
67:9 81:15	30:20,21 31:14	67:10 73:1	College 1:7	99:13 103:11
101:19 102:6	52:23 61:11	Christine 2:13	color 41:1 42:5	103:23 104:3
110:3	86:23 92:20	Christopher 1:14	come 4:17 7:12	104:5 107:13
carcinogenic	105:6	Christy 10:11,15	7:19 27:16	107:14 108:3,7
39:23 40:4	certainly 26:24	chronic 75:6	61:19 63:10	commit 23:7
carcinogens	27:6,9,12	99:17 101:1	70:13 73:1,4	commitment
21:23 101:15	65:19 70:14	circuit 13:21,22	104:4 106:7	23:8 52:3
card 37:3 93:14	81:22,23	26:14,17	109:23,24	committee
care 7:23 44:1	94:15 99:4,18	68:23	110:2	44:19 109:7,7
48:19,19 65:10	105:10	circumstances	comes 29:19	communicate
91:7 93:5	certified 88:4	20:18 39:8	39:2 62:24	78:11,13
102:9 109:18		108:19		,
109:18	certifying 74:8		82:14 89:18	communicated 78:14
	cetera 35:9	citations 22:19	96:1,5 98:2,13	
career 91:8,9	CFR 19:20	cities 91:24	coming 18:13	communities

41:1,5 42:4	60:10,11,13	conservative	58:18 68:24	97:2,7,19 98:9
43:21,22 44:2	63:22	88:5 103:10	78:8,12 80:20	98:20 99:2
80:10 106:24	comport 53:16	103:20,22	98:17,23	109:20 110:11
community 3:13	comports 53:14	consider 17:24	controlled 38:8	110:12 112:3
26:6 40:20	compounding	27:20 53:1	controlling	couple 17:14
41:10,16,21	44:4	59:23 76:22	92:23	18:17 20:12
42:18 43:14,18	concentration	consideration	controls 16:16	66:18 67:16
47:7 52:8	28:22 54:23	3:16	17:3,4 32:24	81:22 99:12
53:5 62:12	55:17	considered	33:1 109:9	course 77:4
64:20 85:11	concentrations	13:14 65:24	conversation	105:8
109:18	9:23 60:10	72:2	53:3,9 83:21	court 2:13,18
companies	87:23 88:6	consistent	conversations	3:4 4:7 22:10
85:5 91:10	104:23 105:3	52:24	5:19	23:23 26:14
92:8 95:18,21	concern 19:5	constantly 82:6	convert 60:16	26:17 68:23
96:17 102:5	30:12	83:16	coordinator	84:10 112:7
107:1,23,24	concerned	construct 35:15	39:20	covered 67:20
company 29:19	14:15,16 39:21	construction	copy 5:12 42:14	covering 97:22
29:24 61:7	40:18 79:1,4	2:4 6:7,12,13	corporate 35:7	crap 101:18
79:12,22	concerning	9:10,11,14,18	correct 12:11	Crawford 63:7
88:13 106:1,3	48:11 110:20	12:10 18:1 41:13	23:1 28:24	66:16 70:21
106:9 107:6	concerns 41:10	67:10 80:22	29:2 30:8	70:23,24
comparing	43:19 58:8	consulting	54:3,4,4 57:9	71:20 72:7,15
48:21	80:1	29:19	58:11 59:14	72:21 73:10,13
complaint 61:22	concludes	consumed 8:7	65:3 68:5	73:17,21 74:1
68:9 69:1	93:12 111:19	contact 70:17	69:7,10 77:1	74:12,19 75:9
complete 4:10	condescending	containing 8:21	94:7 95:11	76:3,17 77:1,12
12:3	64:9	contains 112:12	112:11	create 59:5
completed	condition 38:5	contaminant	corrected 3:1	created 43:18
41:13	conditions 7:6	104:20	corrective 65:9	88:9,10 111:2
compliance 2:6	9:19 104:10	contemplated	correctly 49:18	creating 111:15
9:19 15:4,11	conduct 98:23	27:13 31:4	50:2 74:10	creative 83:15
23:7 31:15	conducted	context 20:17	cost 91:12	credible 27:7
41:20 52:3	79:19 98:2,9	22:23 23:11	counsel 25:17	criminal 23:13
66:4,5 70:9	Conference	27:7	count 76:8,9	criteria 98:14
comply 12:6	2:12	continually	country 33:15	criterion 9:5
15:6 17:2 21:10	confidence	54:22	county 1:7 31:24	CSR 112:16
34:18 35:11	88:20 91:23	continue 41:18	37:13 39:22	cubic 76:15
complying	92:1,2	continuing	40:21 41:4	94:11
34:19 35:8	confines 74:6	25:10	42:5,15 43:21	curious 10:24
74:6	confirmed	continuous	44:13,14	11:2 107:9
component 9:5	67:10	38:3,5,13	45:24 46:1,4	current 60:19
28:20 29:13	conflict 72:2	53:23 54:16	64:8 82:20	93:2
30:9,16 31:11	confusing 73:21	55:14 66:23	85:1 90:19	currently 38:12
31:13	connected 47:1	contributing	92:9,14,16	58:10 66:22
components	connector	42:6	93:11 94:21	69:12
8:17,21 19:12	60:15	control 19:24	95:20 96:2,18	cutoff 18:23
31:7 59:9	connectors 8:18	26:11 38:1	96:20,23	
	1			1

D-I-A-Z 10:16	64:20 96:23	Department's	16:1	79:24 92:18
daily 70:1	dealing 22:13	110:13	device 8:10,11	disparities 41:2
109:23	deals 23:3	depend 20:18	14:3 28:21	dispersion 18:5
damage 62:21	dealt 23:10,17	dependent	29:16 54:1	79:18,20
Dan 3:9,20,24	death 96:20	74:16	55:6,11 56:5,9	87:18,21 88:5
4:1 73:6 74:3	decay 104:20	depending	58:18	104:7,18 105:5
74:13,17 81:19	105:1	104:9 108:18	devices 8:18	dividing 94:12
Dan's 10:14	December 58:1	depends 51:22	13:10 28:17	doctors 71:7
danger 84:8	58:2 67:6	52:4 104:13	30:20 38:9	documents
110:6	decided 18:7	described	diagnosed 71:4	53:10 54:16
dangerous	20:17 28:13	51:22	Diana 40:20	DocuWare
40:9 42:18	decision 3:20	description	58:5 63:6,8	89:16,17,20
Daniel 1:14 3:8	13:24 38:24	40:5	Diane 10:12,13	dog 14:4,5,5,6
3:14 15:16	decision-maki	designated 4:13	16:8,13 102:11	doing 15:3,22
data 12:20 13:3	6:18	designed 61:20	102:13	15:23 19:23
13:18 29:23	decisions 66:5	64:14 88:4	Diaz 10:11,15,15	24:17 27:20
31:6,16 48:17	72:6	designs 59:21	11:20 12:1,9,12	30:1,1 36:13
48:22 50:11	dedicated	desires 91:8	13:2 14:7 15:12	45:23 53:7
50:22 54:18	83:19 84:2	desk 5:13	16:2	59:1,23 61:2
54:21,21 58:17	deemed 23:2	despite 32:23	die 101:8 102:8	76:23 85:8
58:18,19,20	deep 101:18	destination	difference 21:6	97:5 112:7
59:5 62:2	defeated 109:15	109:21	different 10:17	donations 85:16
77:18 82:14,23	defendant 27:11	detail 50:6,16	30:5 36:4	door 32:18 36:6
89:13,15 90:10	62:4 69:1	details 40:22	49:4 64:11	91:6
93:24 94:1,20	defending	50:7,16 67:5	73:23,24	dose 92:9
94:22 95:9,17	96:16	detect 56:5,6	87:20,20	double 92:9
95:18,19,21,22	deferred 70:7	detected 19:14	92:16 104:17	Douglas 79:7
96:3,4,6,23	91:8	95:2	differently 62:8	84:21 86:2,5
97:3 99:4	degree 28:19	detection 9:2,3	83:3	Dr 18:14
database 89:3	Delaware 64:5	9:7 19:18 55:8	difficulty 87:19	draft 2:3 5:3 6:7
89:8,12	delay 51:13	55:17 60:12	digitally 29:4	6:12,18 9:10,11
date 7:1 107:10	Delgado 3:9	95:4	direct 32:15	79:23
daughters	4:3 81:20	determine 38:9	direction 94:3	Drive 2:5
76:10	deliberately	59:20	94:10	drop 43:16
day 23:9 25:7	5:15	determined	directly 70:17	dry 8:10,10 12:8
25:10 30:15	demonstrate	9:20 88:24	82:16	17:15 18:21,24
36:17 54:8,11	27:6 68:7	determines 7:8	director 44:23	38:3 59:1
64:23 67:12	demonstrated	devastating	72:16 77:20	due 33:23
91:18 92:6	87:17	91:14	disaster 36:13	87:23
95:12	demonstration	developed	discrepancy	duly 112:6
daycares 24:21	88:14	29:11 100:18	50:10,11	dumping 22:21
101:20	deny 75:16	developments	discretion 80:12	Dylan 10:12,13
days 7:1 12:2	Department	81:13	discuss 53:22	18:12,14 36:9
38:19 75:10,11	46:1,2 82:21	deviation 12:21	70:12,13	
104:9,13	94:21 96:3	13:1,3,4 48:22	discussion	<u>E</u>
DBA 18:19	97:8 98:21	49:1,3	53:19 82:11	E 112:3
deal 14:6 62:23	99:2 110:11	deviations 15:14	discussions	e-mail 10:5
	1		1	

66:11	38:9 39:24	ensure 42:8	37:22 67:3	events 111:15
earlier 36:9	41:20 58:21	73:20 74:5	69:23	everybody
40:12 51:16	60:6 62:24	ensuring 74:9	Erin 4:8 112:6,16	109:16
58:9 66:22	63:21 64:13,15	entire 41:21	erroneously	everyone's 2:16
74:20 93:16	69:24 77:19	93:4	52:7	evidence 27:5
93:23	79:2 87:23	entirely 8:6	especially	27:7,8 62:1
easier 4:9 6:23	88:6,7 92:15	48:15 50:6	40:20 42:17	exact 12:15
easy 51:4,7	102:2,15,24	environment	essentially	42:14 56:18
Eckenstahler	103:10,15,22	37:14 85:9	26:23	110:18,18
4:8 112:6,16	emit 63:17	environmental	established	exactly 28:12
economic 91:12	101:19 102:16	1:1 16:14 22:18	65:1,3,13,14	35:1 41:17 47:1
education 16:15	emits 56:8	40:24 42:6	establishes	47:3 66:24
effect 57:22	emitted 2:8	68:3,22 69:14	34:12	83:8 96:24
effective 6:19	54:12	71:17 85:10	et 35:9	98:19,20
6:24 7:1 11:12	emitter 81:12	101:13 104:9	ethics 72:3	103:14
59:9 70:16,17	emitting 20:14	104:19	ethylene 2:7	examined 13:16
87:21	43:15 58:14	EPA 7:14 11:3,16	7:4,9,9,24 8:3	examining
efficiency 17:23	employee 3:2	21:11,19 29:11	8:6,19,21 9:13	105:2
efforts 4:8	17:5 29:15,18	32:4,5,7,13	9:15,20,23	example 53:21
either 5:13	employees	33:14,14 34:6	10:23 11:5	56:5 89:7
95:10 108:18	62:19,22 63:1	36:3,3,10 40:7	19:23 20:2	101:9
elect 21:15	63:3 69:21	40:12 41:9,24	28:23 31:3,7	exceed 63:22
Elementary	71:16 72:4	43:17,20 44:1	31:13 32:3	Excellent 72:21
32:19 33:22	empty 4:17	44:23 47:19	36:14 39:24	excessively
elements 62:9	encourage 17:4	70:3,8,8,12,14	40:3,5,15 41:3	103:19
elevated 26:8	18:3	72:5,8,8 78:11	41:16 42:3,18	excite 80:6
elevating 39:23	endangerment	81:6 85:6	43:1,15,17 44:3	excuse 49:23
embodies 9:18	23:19	86:15,18,24	47:1 54:2,9	85:9
emergencies	ended 95:15	88:4,8,12 92:1	55:4,21 60:14	executive 44:19
64:8,21	ends 107:13	97:9 98:4,5,7	71:6,12 81:14	44:23 109:7,7
emission 5:3	enforce 45:3	98:15,22	98:3,6 104:7	exercised 84:15
15:23 23:4	63:11,12 69:14	99:23 100:2	109:10	exhaust 26:21
32:24 38:3,6	enforceable	100:15,18	EtO 31:24 32:10	existing 44:4
38:13 47:18	28:16	106:14 109:14	33:16 34:4	expand 12:24
49:8,9 60:18	enforcement	111:2,5,14	44:12,14	34:22 87:16
66:23 94:4	20:17 22:12	EPA's 9:24	45:22 61:11,13	expect 25:6
103:8	26:12 52:1	88:17	85:3,7,22	83:8
emissions 3:18	enforcing 45:8	EPA.Vantage	86:16 91:1	expected 67:7
7:4,8,10 8:12	engage 5:20	10:6	95:2 99:15,20	expecting 66:19
8:19 9:9,13,15	engineer 16:15	epidemiologi	102:21 107:1	experience
9:17,20 12:14	engineering	100:13	evaluated 13:11	98:5
12:17 15:19	16:15 17:3	equate 75:24	evening 2:1 6:3	experiencing
26:4 28:10,13	engines 17:12	equation 60:16	28:4 37:7,8	64:7
28:15 29:8,12	English 3:4,22	equations 29:11	44:11 66:22	expert 3:19
34:8,14,23,24	enhanced 9:2,3	equipment	86:4	85:3
35:2,3 37:20	9:7 15:22,24	12:19 13:5,9	event 25:13	experts 35:23
37:21,22 38:4	61:2 80:9	20:2 30:6,11	26:23 111:1	36:2 73:2

expire 16:6	104:23 110:18	filing 68:11,18	focus 23:14	55:15 81:8,15
explain 25:3	facing 44:2	fill 25:24 71:13	FOIA 51:12	frequent 9:4
74:175:2	fact 25:19 26:19	filled 71:23	52:20 53:7,15	frequently 81:11
exploded 36:19	33:24 38:12	filling 44:1	82:6,12 89:12	friend 42:21
explosion 36:8	40:8 45:12	final 3:17 27:19	90:1,9	friends 42:23
36:9	61:18 72:1	finalized 41:13	FOIAs 82:13	front 3:9 4:14
explosive 36:15	96:23 103:5	41:14	folks 40:19 43:6	89:16 104:4
exposure 14:22	103:10	finally 36:8	85:7,10 93:13	frontline 85:8
33:19 43:23	factor 87:3	find 26:7 78:14	follicular 71:4	Frost 1:13 3:8
70:1 75:7	factors 70:7	82:6 90:19	follow 19:21	6:1,3 11:9,23
87:22 91:1	failure 13:14	fine 21:8 28:11	24:2	12:5,23 18:10
99:14,17	15:17,18 64:16	76:9,10,11	follow-up 105:4	32:4,7,13 33:2
extend 42:4	fall 25:22	fines 21:12,24	followed 8:10	33:7 34:10,21
extent 98:7	familiar 22:2,5	finishes 4:14	10:11 38:2	35:13 38:23
extra 95:20	50:6	first 4:12,13 10:11	44:9 47:11	39:2,7,15
extreme 56:4	families 91:11	15:5,10 16:17	66:15 70:22	48:14,21 49:1
extremely 55:4	family 35:24	16:19 18:18	102:23	49:3,7,16 50:5
101:6 103:21	36:5 90:23	20:13 26:22	food 7:24	50:15,22 51:3
extremists 85:9	91:14	32:1 40:1,1	force 31:2	51:6,11,15,21
85:10	far 43:20 101:12	58:9 64:1	foregoing	52:23 53:8,12
extremity 51:23	fate 104:19	80:24 81:22	112:10	53:22 57:21
eye 85:19	fault 20:20	84:11 92:17	Forest 91:19	57:24 60:4
	faulty 97:3	93:19 96:5	forget 61:4	62:23 66:2,9
F	featured 41:4	97:6 103:18	67:17	66:12 70:2,11
F-L-O-R-E-S	federal 19:19	105:7 112:6	forgot 6:20	71:16 72:1,11,17
37:9	40:23 41:7	five 4:18 16:5	47:23 81:7	73:5,11 75:5,17
F-L-O-R-I-A-N	43:20 90:21	42:23 65:16	form 33:22	78:1,3,8,10,15
58:7	fee 21:4	77:4 85:5	82:16 86:11	78:17,22 79:14
Facebook 111:2	feel 10:22 11:7	fix 13:13	formal 68:2	82:9,22 83:2
111:11,14	32:5 34:3	flange 60:14	former 33:21	83:11,18 84:1
faces 41:22	101:16	flares 8:18	formulate 5:2	86:8,13,17,24
facilitate 2:10	feels 12:24	flex 80:12	forward 4:17	87:4,14 89:14
facilities 24:14	14:23 52:9	floor 96:15	85:19	89:23 92:17
36:1 42:2	92:7	Flores 28:3	found 16:20	96:5 97:6,11
46:12 69:23	fees 25:13	37:5,8,9,11	33:21 42:24	97:16 99:13,16
73:9 81:5,8	ferociously	38:10,24	four 17:18 75:13	99:22 100:2,11
92:24	96:16	66:21 110:22	76:19 78:5,6,7	100:24 102:19
facility 2:5 6:6	field 3:21 74:3,4	110:23 111:10	fraction 59:2	103:2 107:12
9:13 17:21	fighting 109:13	111:14	frame 31:14	107:19 108:18
23:4 34:9	figure 18:5	Florian 44:10	65:20	108:21 111:8,13
35:3 37:19	24:17 60:23	47:12 58:4,6,6	Francesca 31:21	111:17
41:15 57:11	92:3	58:13 59:4,7	39:16 47:13,15	fudged 29:5,17
62:24 63:1,4	figuring 41:19	59:12,15,24	frankly 80:13	fudging 29:23
73:20 74:5	file 26:13 68:9	60:3,21 61:4	90:18 91:23	fugitive 8:19 9:8
80:18,20 82:8	69:12 82:6	62:16 63:5	Freedom 50:24	9:16 26:4
84:8,16 87:23	filed 68:23	flow 54:24	83:17	28:10,13,15
89:4 92:13,13	filer 53:14	55:22 56:2,18	frequency	37:19 57:2,6
	<u> </u>			

60:6 69:24	112:9,12	37:7,8 44:11	guys 10:18	10:21,24 11:3,8
102:2	gives 35:18	86:4 93:24	21:23 24:16	11:14 35:23
fugitives 57:14	47:5	94:18 99:1,2	24:22 27:19	36:2 40:9
fully 63:10	giving 23:5 39:1	106:4,18	36:10 47:20	41:11 44:4 46:1
72:23 110:19	go 12:21 23:4	gotten 46:13,14	47:22 48:9,12	46:2 82:20
function 70:2	31:5 32:7	89:18	50:19 71:13	84:9 94:21
functioning	35:16 39:9,11	government	72:24 73:3,13	96:2,19 97:7
27:4	47:1 59:4,16	25:21 27:1	85:4,18 86:10	98:20 99:2
further 8:12 9:8	60:24 69:16	102:8	92:4 97:9	99:22,24
41:22 42:6	73:8,16 74:4	grace 68:8,10	101:23,24	100:12,14,19
53:18 67:5	83:13 85:2,12	68:15	102:14 106:10	100:21 110:11
81:21 92:23	89:6,8,10,13	grandfather	107:3 109:6	110:12
111:3	89:16 91:12	91:7	110:24,24	healthy 71:6
future 14:17 18:1	92:6 93:19	grandmother		91:18
	101:10 103:3	91:9	H	hear 2:18 10:9
G	107:22 109:23	grandmother's	H-A-S-S-E-T-T	41:10
gap 43:24	go-around	91:2,17	39:20	heard 27:9
106:17	60:13	granting 7:7	Haley 79:7	107:9
gaps 107:8	goal 89:21	Grayslake 1:8	84:21,22,23	hearing 10:18
gas 19:24 32:11	goes 6:6 43:8	84:24 108:2	half 36:6 101:11	40:2 43:1,9
45:13,14 47:4	82:16 90:4	Great 65:11	half-life 104:8,12	44:21,21
47:4	96:9	66:14 80:24	hall 2:12 35:21	hearings 40:14
general 14:1	going 6:5 10:1	107:20 109:23	90:18	held 96:12
21:2,14 34:13	11:11 12:20	109:24	hand 2:24	Hello 44:11 58:6
34:23 50:22	16:23 17:1,8	greatly 81:15	happen 36:12	70:23 77:15
56:2 62:23	19:10,10,11	Greenbelt 91:19	64:4 100:7	90:13
81:5 86:8	21:5,7,9 23:16	grew 42:22	happened	help 53:1,6 78:9
92:19	26:5,22 27:3	ground 110:17	36:16,17 43:13	102:10
General's 23:12	28:20 35:1,11	group 26:6	64:5,7 95:10	helped 24:22
23:19 25:11	37:20 46:17	94:15	100:8	helpful 18:7
52:2 84:12	46:24 50:18	groups 17:18	happening 35:2	helping 48:4
generally 33:12	56:11,24 60:6	growing 71:3	64:22 91:21	Hey 99:1
33:13,17 39:2	60:6,22	guess 6:20	95:15	Hi 18:14 20:10
51:6 77:4 81:9	65:23 71:10	22:4 23:10	happens 14:19	47:15 52:14
87:4,6 88:3	74:9 81:15	50:3 52:6,20	48:11	66:17
generate 78:3	82:2 84:10	59:18 73:21	happy 24:3	hidden 79:3
generated	90:21 91:16	guessing 21:4	111:3	high 32:23 42:3
50:23	92:3 93:8	24:13 55:3	harmed 61:8,9	46:6 74:23
getting 2:10	95:13 101:21	guide 7:15	61:14	75:2,15,24
16:10 27:2	102:3,7,20	guidelines 4:11	Hassett 37:6	76:2,8 85:22
29:6 43:7	103:2 107:5,14	7:15	39:18,19,19	88:20 99:4
69:8 71:8	107:16 109:11	Gurnee 1:2 2:5	HB 71:14	high-ranking
89:19,19 96:3	109:12,13,17	34:2 37:11	head 56:19	43:17
give 21:2 39:7	good 2:1 6:3	42:11 43:9	83:2	higher 23:22
42:13 98:11	13:14 14:2,2	66:18 71:11	headset 2:23	46:19 56:6
given 38:17	28:4 31:1	90:15,16 108:2	37:23	77:6 92:21
39:14 81:12	33:20 35:7	109:24	health 7:5,11,13	highlight 40:17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>

			I	
41:3 42:12	41:9,24 44:1	20:4	inspect 98:22	57:24
43:12	44:23 47:19	increased 11:4	inspected 81:6	issues 23:17
hires 106:9	70:3,12 71:16	14:22 81:16	81:9,11	27:10 41:8
historical 77:3	71:23,24 72:5	incredibly 36:14	inspecting 109:1	44:5
Historically	72:8 91:4 92:1	independent	inspections	issuing 110:6
81:10	98:5 99:23	85:14 95:14,15	73:19 74:5,13	iterations 79:20
hit 29:3	100:15 109:14	95:16,23	74:15	
holy 101:18	109:22 110:2	110:19	inspectors	J
home 91:14	111:2,5,14 112:1	independently	35:16 73:6,7	Jacklyn 58:5
92:10	imagine 92:7,10	63:18	install 38:14	63:7 66:15,17
homes 24:21	immediate	indicates 11:24	58:21 66:24	Jaime 86:3
101:20	84:13	individual 33:10	67:1	90:12,14
homework 14:4	immediately	60:11 67:23	installed 8:11	James 1:13
14:5,5	14:19 16:1	100:21	installing 35:8	January 109:13
hope 52:16	imminent 84:8	industrial 7:24	instance 94:10	jeopardizing
hopefully 71:14	immoral 110:1,4	16:15	instructed	110:4
hoping 81:17	impact 41:1	industry 46:5	59:12	Jim 2:9 6:8,10
109:14	76:22 91:10	97:23	instruments	10:8 68:3
hot 104:14	105:12	inert 19:23	98:16	105:21
hour 54:10 55:1	impacted 61:17	inform 4:20	interest 6:15	job 4:9 25:22
55:13 59:2,2	impacts 77:3,6	informal 82:18	72:2	25:22 71:21
59:22,24	105:12	informally	interested	87:22 91:5
hourly 54:18	implemented	82:22	53:10	jobs 91:10,20
hours 54:6,7	9:2	information 5:2	interesting 98:1	John 44:22
104:9	implementing	40:2 48:10	interpolated	72:15,20 77:21
House 44:15,17	61:1	50:20,24 51:9	6:22	j oin 68:6
44:21 96:15,16	important 17:3	51:14 52:21,23	INTERPRETER	Jolanta 20:8
109:8	41:3,9 70:7	53:13 77:23	37:7,9,17,24	31:19,23
huge 21:5 36:9	impose 7:3	78:21 82:19	38:15,21 39:4	108:12
43:11 56:11	21:12,24 22:17	83:10,17 103:4	39:13	jump 46:5,6
human 71:22	imposes 9:14	103:5,9 104:11	introductory 4:1	97:24
hundred 7:17	imposing 9:12	111:4,7,16	4:4	jumped 46:4
10:2 11:15,17	improve 6:18	informed 15:9	investing 26:6	June 6:19,24
11:22 32:14	inability 41:7	informing 43:22	invite 110:1	11:13 32:21,23
95:19,21	incidents 65:18	infraction 65:7	involved 3:15	74:22 94:22
hydrogen 36:15	include 7:6	infractions 65:1	3:20 71:19	95:9
	47:22,24	65:2,5,8	72:5 74:7	justified 62:6
<u> </u>	48:19 76:24	infused 110:3	89:9 98:8	
idea 21:3 106:5	77:20,21	ingredients	101:14	K 442-2 C 4C
identify 5:10	included 5:23	7:23	involves 101:8	K 112:3,6,16
8:23 61:22	31:16 48:18	inhaled 14:21	IRIS 40:7	keep 5:19 14:4
IEPA 52:22	includes 8:17	injunction 23:18	issue 26:1	64:14 85:19
53:6 82:18	including 9:21	23:21 62:7,10	29:23 39:23	86:15,23
illegal 52:21	income 41:2	62:14 84:13	40:15 41:4	88:21
Illinois 1:1,8 11:3	incorrectly 96:8	injunctive 26:16	42:14 43:11	keeping 86:19
13:23 21:11,19	96:10	26:20 61:18	96:19	keeps 87:13
32:5 36:3,10	increase 17:22	inside 101:18,22	issued 22:20	Kevin 1:15 3:9
	!	!	I	

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

3:18 73:1,8,14	47:4 49:5,6	42:5,15 43:21	14:10,16,24	levels 26:8
106:15	50:2 51:19,24	44:13,14	19:14,14,18	29:12 42:3
kick 57:19	52:4 53:14,16	45:24 46:1,4	60:12 63:15	43:15,17 87:13
kids 35:24 36:5	53:19 54:6,12	82:20 85:1	63:23 64:2	90:21 92:20
101:7,24 102:8	54:23 55:3	92:9,14,16	leak-free 59:7,9	licensed 16:14
Kiley 91:6	55:22 56:1,6	93:11 94:21	59:21	life 43:8 90:15
killed 44:18	56:7,9,18,18	95:20 96:2,18	leaking 9:5	93:4 100:6
109:8	58:13 60:7	96:20,23	63:21	lifelong 84:24
killer 46:3 97:2	61:10 62:3,19	97:2 98:9,20	leaks 8:23 14:8	lifetime 33:9
kilometer 105:9	64:2 66:6	99:2 109:20	19:22 20:2	75:24
105:15	70:15 73:1,16	110:11,12	63:15 64:15	light 41:3,6
Kim 44:22 72:15	74:19,20,23	language 6:21	leaky 19:12,15	likewise 84:14
77:21	75:5,15,16,19	35:15	learn 14:12	limit 2:7 5:3
kind 19:21,23	76:4 77:2	large 22:22	learning 43:6	19:13,16,17
54:1 60:21,23	80:7,11,11 82:8	23:2 65:18,21	106:24	55:2 57:5,6
68:15 74:19	82:15 83:22	81:11	leave 5:12 91:4	57:10,13 69:11
84:3 86:9	86:20,20,21	larger 92:12	Lee 1:13	95:4,4
87:5 100:5	86:21 87:6,7,9	Larry 99:7	left 4:19 24:1,22	limitation 69:13
107:24	89:3,8,9 90:4	Lastly 64:24	24:23 62:17	limitations
kindergarten	92:4,18,19,22	late 52:15	93:17	63:13 68:16
36:5	93:4 98:24	laugh 64:4,8	legal 23:22	69:9
kinds 7:15 11:17	99:3 101:9	law 6:24 7:3,5	25:17 35:15,15	limited 4:22
43:7 72:6	102:6,7,21	9:12 11:10,12	35:18 84:17	limits 14:16
King 1:15 3:10	104:17 106:1,2	12:7 21:24	legislation 6:14	line 4:16
75:18 76:12	106:11,14,16,16	34:11,11 59:11	63:13 71:19	lines 50:10
76:20 77:2	107:22 109:6	59:17 69:13	93:2 105:9	link 47:2
78:6 88:12,22	109:10 110:16	73:6 86:12	109:14	linked 61:11
94:14 105:7,16	110:24 111:3,11	laws 34:20	legislatively	listen 28:5
knew 96:22	111:15	41:21 85:6	63:12	little 6:23 7:19
102:14	knowing 6:15	92:23 100:15	legislators	10:17 34:1,22
know 3:1,24	67:8 91:13	100:18	59:16	36:5 48:10
11:16 12:6,22	known 110:3	lawsuit 68:6	legislature 45:7	52:8,15 56:7
13:21 14:20,22	knows 44:15	69:12	length 13:5	62:8 79:10
15:4 17:9,20	109:16	lawsuits 67:23	Lenkart 3:11	83:15 87:5,16
17:23 18:4	kosher 96:4	lawyer 67:19	let's 30:14 54:7	101:7,24
19:9 21:5 24:1		107:22	91:21	live 24:13 43:10
25:24 27:7	L	Layman 3:10	letting 101:19	47:7 71:2 80:3
28:12 30:5	L 112:3	22:7,11 24:8	leukemia 34:1	107:6
31:5 32:15	L-A-Y-M-A-N	25:2 26:10	91:16 101:7,11	lived 34:4 43:3
33:9,15 34:13	22:11	61:15 67:18	level 7:18 9:24	90:16 101:23
34:17,19,22	lab 98:19,20,22	68:1,10,14,17	10:2 11:20,21	101:23
35:10,16,19	110:10,12,18	68:21 69:16	20:1,4,5 55:10	lives 43:4
37:19 38:16	ladies 5:13	84:10	58:24 60:13	living 14:13
39:4 40:8	lag 51:8	LDAR 19:9	74:24 75:1	29:20 36:1
41:16,17 42:16	Lake 1:7 31:24	leadership	86:18,21,23	85:11
42:16,24 43:5	37:13 39:22	90:19 91:24	87:1,7 88:17,21	loading 8:15
43:8,8,9 46:12	40:21 41:4	leak 9:2,3,7	88:23 100:19	located 2:5
1		I	I	I

			_	_
location 3:6	75:23 76:1	77:15,16 78:2	56:21	met 43:18
locations 87:20	104:14 107:4	78:5,7,9,13,16	measured 38:5	meteorology
	loved 91:5	78:19,24	measurements	77:5
_	low 55:4 105:12	Marie 44:10	29:13	meter 76:15
	lower 41:1	47:12 58:4	measures 74:21	94:11
46:23 65:16	Lyman 1:18	Mark 96:13,14	measuring 54:2	method 55:8
	lymphoma	96:17,21 99:8	54:6 55:16	microgram
77:21,23	33:22 42:24	massive 89:7	mechanism	94:11
long-term 33:19	46:21,23,23	material 8:1,7	25:23	micrograms
75:7 87:22	46:24 47:3,3	111:9	media 111:1,6	76:15
longer 32:20	71:4	matter 20:16,19	Medline 10:17	microphone
65:15,22,24	Lynn 44:10	21:13 23:8,18	11:1 14:14,15	5:15,22 49:24
91:5 93:10	47:12 58:4,6	23:21 25:7	38:18 42:5	104:5
look 7:13,18		98:15	46:1 71:3 79:2	midwest 39:20
11:14,18 20:4	M	matters 5:1,24	96:18 102:22	mile 36:6 71:2
11.20 30.21	M 91:6	22:5,14	103:8 110:9,14	92:10
51:2 54:8	M-O-E-L-L-E-R	Mattison 1:15	meet 23:22	miles 14:14 71:2
73:16 75:6,6,7	16:13 102:13	3:9 29:18,22	59:21	million 7:17 10:2
, 0.0,22 , , .0	Mackey 99:7	54:4,15,20	meeting 1:2,13	11:15,18,22
00000	Maggie 3:11	55:7,14,22	2:2,9 6:4,7,11	32:14 46:22
89:13 92:5	magnitude	56:1,13,17 57:2	23:6 48:3,8	75:21
93:3,9 98:4	64:16 92:21	57:6,10,13	49:15,22 70:6	millions 109:22
99:17,18	maintenance	67:6,14 73:15	72:19 90:19	110:1
100:24 103:19	17:6,8,11	73:18,24 74:3	103:13 104:1	Mills 109:24
1001100.0	major 14:24	74:15 80:16,19	108:5 112:10	mine 42:22
,	majority 111:9	81:2 106:2,16	112:13	minimizing
11:14 12:15	making 3:13	maximum 25:6	meetings 6:16	45:15,22 47:5
15:16 17:19	15:7 56:7	76:22 104:22	38:18 70:9	minimum 5:20
22:4 33:15	58:20 82:11	105:2,8,11,12	Meghan 37:6	minor 21:8
52:24 65:14	100:22 105:10	Mayfield 96:15	39:18,19	minus 57:9
00.5 52.1	male 71:6	Mayo 71:7	Melanie 18:13	minute 4:19
	man 71:9	mayor 82:20	20:7,10	54:9 55:13,16
	managing 36:4	mayors 108:1	member 62:12	62:17
looks 32:13	manner 2:17	mean 11:7 17:1	members 31:24	minutes 4:18
LOQ 95:4	83:6	35:9 47:7	43:18 44:12	16:6
,	manually 29:15	50:2,3 51:3	memorandum	mischieveme
, , , , ,	manufacture	61:10 68:10,12	17:20	46:13
losing 48:21	7:23	71:21 75:3	men 46:22	missing 72:10
31.20	manufacturer	78:10 83:12	mention 6:20	misunderstood
lost 47:19 48:10	7:22 67:14	89:2,7 96:9	40:4 70:5	25:2
, ,	manufacturing	106:7 108:6	mentioned	model 11:23
48:24 49:17	69:22	means 5:4	20:21 52:20	34:22 35:4
00,.2 00	map 48:9 72:9	34:16 64:2	58:9 61:10	79:18,20 88:2
91:10,11	77:19,21,24	94:23 103:15	63:20 67:20	88:9,11,11,13
lot 26:4 43:5	78:1,3 79:4	109:15	67:21 70:6	104:19 105:5
02.0 00.0,10	March 66:20	meant 25:20	82:9 84:18	modeling 18:5
70:16 75:22	Maria 66:16	measurable	87:18 105:23	34:24 56:19
1	!	!		1

63:2,3 86:10	55:14 56:5,9	moving 66:19	nebulous 51:19	normally 38:5
86:11,12,13	58:10,17,21,23	85:19 89:20	necessarily	northeast 77:8
87:18,21 88:13	60:9 61:2	90:2,6 92:10	61:13,19 73:5	northwest
104:7	62:2 66:23	multiple 64:21	77:9	76:24 77:9
modelings 88:5	67:9,12 74:8	67:23 70:6	necessary 5:2	notes 112:11
models 88:3,4	80:5,9 82:2	91:2,17	12:3,24 27:5	notice 23:6
moderate	87:24 97:19	muscles 80:13	62:9	38:17 39:6,8
104:12	98:2,3,5,8,14	mutagen 24:20	need 23:13,17	52:19 68:21
moderator 1:13	98:16 102:3	32:3 100:1,4	42:7 43:24	69:1,3
2:10,14	105:24 107:7	110:3	45:18 46:18	noticed 40:2
,	monitors 26:7	mutagens	55:22 57:16	notices 39:1
2:15	33:13,17 38:11	100:9	59:15 61:17	notification
Moeller 10:12	76:14,24	myeloma 91:2	91:23,24 92:1	14:24
16:8,12,13	87:20	91:17	99:20 100:5	November 1:6
	month 67:12	myriad 41:22	111:4	10:5 107:10
102:11,11,12,13	94:22		needed 3:22	NRC 89:7
	monthly 31:6	N	40:6	nuclear 89:9,11
	months 66:19	name 5:8,9	needing 67:22	number 4:21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Morgan 1:13 2:1	10:15 16:12	needs 19:15	7:16,17 28:12
molecule 100:5	2:9 4:6 10:9	22:10 28:6	29:15 30:10,11	29:1,4,5,7,8
mom 46:16	16:3 18:12	31:23 37:10	31:10 34:12	46:3 49:9,18
moms 34:3	20:7 27:15,22	39:19 44:11	negligent	54:8 56:11,13
monitor 34:7,8	28:2,6 31:19	46:23 52:14	105:15	60:17,17 68:5
34:17 35:1	36:24 39:9,16	61:4 66:17	neighborhood	75:20,23,24
37:20 38:3	44:8 47:11	67:17 70:23	101:5	76:2 78:15
42:7 54:5	49:23 52:11	77:15 79:8	never 53:24	79:17 86:7
55:6 60:11,12	57:15 58:4	84:22 86:4	100:6	87:19 94:8
94:16 106:9	63:6 66:15	90:13 102:12	new 9:11 11:10,12	96:19 97:1,2
107:3	68:5 69:7,11	105:19,20	11:20,21 12:7	99:4 102:17
monitored	70:21 77:10,14	106:2	13:22 31:13	109:21
30:10,17,21,24	79:6 84:4,21	names 16:11	34:11,11 41:21	numbers 21:3
31:15 32:15	86:2 90:12	NATA 48:9	59:1,8,11 73:6	29:10 56:11
54:22 60:10	93:12 97:13	72:9 77:19,21	74:11 80:20	72:9 75:22
monitoring 3:19	99:11 102:11	national 86:15	81:13,23	77:19 85:4
5:4 8:23 9:4	104:2 105:19	87:7	92:23	92:3 103:20
9:21 12:14,19	108:7,12	nationwide	nice 45:3	
13:8,12,14 14:2	110:22 111:18	76:16	niece 91:15	0
	mother 42:22	nature 22:22	night 36:16	o'clock 36:16
18:22 19:11,22	42:22	50:12 51:22	42:20	O-W-E-R 79:9
	motivation 21:9	52:5,24 61:22	non-detect	86:5
	motives 97:4	62:13 72:4	94:23 110:8	oath 112:7
1	move 27:16	ND 94:23,23	non-Hodgkin's	object 24:7
32:20,22	57:16 77:10	95:1,17	42:23 47:3	objection 24:9
, ,	moved 90:15	ND's 95:7,8,11	non-negligible	obtain 2:2
45:9 46:9	91:13	95:24 110:13	7:9	obvious 106:23
	movement	110:15	nonstop 85:13	106:24
54:15 55:3,5	31:24 109:11	near 20:1 24:14	normal 73:12	obviously 8:13
34.13 33.3,3	31.24 103.11	110di 2011 2 111 1	110111101 / 3.12	

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

16:23 23:14	70:4 72:7 74:1	option 21:17	19:23 20:2	40:19
31:2 44:20	74:12,19 80:4	order 22:17,23	28:23 31:3,7	parts 54:23
53:4 56:18	81:4 83:14,24	61:6 62:14	31:13 32:3	55:8,12,18,20
65:7 70:11	84:19 86:22	70:10 92:21	36:14 39:24	56:8 87:8
81:12 83:18	87:2,11 88:1,8	99:21	40:3,5,15 41:4	party 29:19,24
occur 13:9	89:1,21 90:8	orderly 2:17	41:16 42:3,18	30:1 106:1,1,3
65:19	93:20 94:18	organization	43:1,16,17 44:3	106:10,11,12
occurred 13:18	100:22 101:4	5:10,11	47:154:2,9	pass 36:19
occurrence	102:20 103:6	organizations	55:4,21 60:14	44:17 70:18
20:24 65:9	104:16 105:4	37:13 93:6	71:6,12 81:14	passed 19:6
occurring 13:19	105:14,17	original 93:12	98:3,6 104:7	44:17 96:17
74:8	107:5,15,20	OSHA 63:1 70:3	109:10	100:18
October 35:22	108:7,10 109:3	outage 38:7	ozone 98:14	passes 45:2
70:6	109:6 111:13	Outages 13:8	104:15	path 94:6
office 3:12	old 71:4,8 99:19	outbursts 5:20		pattern 13:12
23:12,20,20	once 75:11 78:4	outdated 103:5	P	23:15 64:24
25:12,12 39:3	83:14 87:11	outreach 6:16	p.m 1:6 36:19	65:3,5,7,13,20
52:2 84:13	one-point 33:4	outside 18:22	page 40:2	patterns 65:14
officer 72:3	one-time 20:24	37:19	89:16 111:2,11	pay 24:5 47:9
official 77:22	65:9	outsourced	111:15	85:12
99:23,24	onerous 82:14	110:19	paid 43:20	peer-reviewed
officials 43:18	ones 90:2	overall 26:4	painful 91:2	104:17
100:12,14,20	online 16:20	57:7 92:11	pairs 17:17,18	penalties 22:17
offset 6:22	82:16 89:15	overburdened	Panel 1:12	23:13 24:4,10
oh 12:16 28:7	90:4	80:10	paper 29:2	25:6 26:24
46:17 47:13	open 10:4 22:21	overly 103:9	paperwork	61:21
75:18,21 76:8	22:21 53:16	overpredict	47:19	penalty 21:15
99:7 105:16	82:23,24 83:4	88:6	parallel 17:16,18	21:20 24:18
111:13	91:6	overstate	parameters	25:1 51:19
okay 11:20 12:12	operate 54:7	103:16	18:22	69:5
13:2 14:7 15:12	operates 54:7	overstating	parent 36:20	people 4:21
16:2 17:19 19:7	operating 12:16	103:22	parents 43:10	40:11,16 42:8
20:6 26:1	20:21 41:15,18	Ower 79:6,7,8,8	109:18	47:5 66:3
27:18 28:1	42:2 58:24	79:15,22 80:4	part 19:15 62:4	68:6 71:18
29:14,21 30:2	operation 17:5	80:24 81:4	63:19 73:11	78:23 85:16
31:9 32:17	operational	82:2,18 83:1,8	92:18 93:6	87:18 91:20
34:5 35:6,20	8:22 38:8	83:14,24 84:6	participation	93:5 97:4
37:23 49:11	58:18,19	84:19 86:4,5	10:7	99:18 107:4
50:13 51:4	operations 3:21	86:11,14,22	particular 24:15	110:1 111:6
52:6 54:5,12	7:21 8:2 42:8	87:2,11 88:1,8	79:3 94:16	people's 43:10
55:2,7,11,19	73:12 74:4	88:20,23	98:15	percent 15:5,6
55:24 56:23	opinion 5:17	89:21 90:8	particularly	17:2 43:16
57:12 58:3,23	101:12	oxide 2:8 7:4,9	82:13	94:22 95:7,11
59:7 61:4	opportunity	7:9,24 8:3,6	particulate	95:16,22,24
63:23 64:18	16:4 23:6,7	8:20,21 9:13	98:14	95:24 102:24
65:23 66:2,8	62:5	9:15,20,23	parties 106:15	110:8,13,14
66:9 69:19	optimal 18:22	10:23 11:5	partnership	Perfect 32:9

perform 73:14	permitting	points 19:12	88:21	proceedings
107:2	22:14 96:6.7	95:19,21	Power 37:13	112:9,12
period 10:4	person 4:16,16	policy 71:18	39:22 40:21	process 3:20
27:23 33:11	28:18 49:23	72:6	practice 13:14	8:7 18:4 23:5
60:19 65:22	71:22 91:18	polluted 110:2	14:2	30:16,19,22
65:24 68:8,10	personal 7:23	polluter 25:5	pre-enforcem	51:7 53:1 79:11
68:15 69:17	Pfister 96:13,14	pollution 21:12	23:6 69:3	89:14 90:5
107:13	96:17,21 99:8	21:13,20 26:11	pre-notice 68:11	processed
periodic 8:22	phenomenon	41:22 42:17	precious 76:10	37:22 53:13
74:18	104:24	44:3 68:24	predominant	processes 30:6
periods 76:13	physically	Pomiotlo 20:8	77:7	30:13
permit 2:4 3:14	72:24	31:22,23 32:5	preliminary	processing
3:17,20 5:3,18	picturing 54:1	32:9,17 33:3	5:24 79:24	84:2
6:8,12,13 7:2,3	piece 12:19 29:1	33:19 34:16	presentation	produce 30:5
7:6,6,8 9:10,11	piping 8:15	35:6,20	3:13 6:2,5	product 7:22
9:12,14,18	place 17:1,24	108:13,20,22	60:4	58:19
10:18,21 11:2,6	18:21 32:24	109:3	Preserve 91:19	products 7:24
11:7,11,21 12:2	41:18 58:17	poor 65:20	pressure 8:17	professional
12:5 13:15	87:12 93:3	Porett 2:5	pressurized 8:4	16:14
14:18 15:2	102:23	pose 7:10 10:23	8:4	profiled 41:5
16:20,20,23	places 62:20	posed 10:21	pretty 26:3 51:6	profiles 42:14
17:1,5,9,10	placing 2:7	81:14	76:15 97:22	profit 41:11
18:8 19:1,16,18	Planning 3:18	poses 84:8	prevent 36:13	program 9:3,4
25:8 27:9	plant 43:15 77:8	possibility 27:14	preventative	9:8 15:22,24
28:18 30:8	89:9,11	possible 2:3,11	17:8	60:12 61:2
31:11 34:5,10,11	plants 101:6	19:12 56:12	prevented	prohibited
34:12,13,20	103:18	possibly 22:16	20:20	62:15
35:12,13,14,17	play 61:20	23:7 62:21	previous 31:12	promise 95:13
35:18 37:16	please 3:24,24	101:22	45:6 60:20	Promises 40:22
39:14 41:12,14	5:8,10 28:24	post 52:22	67:21 70:5	pronounce 16:11
45:8,14 46:9	37:3 64:8	53:15,18 111:6	81:2	46:24
50:20 54:17	74:2 77:11,20	posted 53:6	principal 33:21	proof 61:12
55:7 57:21,24	78:13 80:12	83:23	46:20	proper 93:2
58:8 59:8,13	85:20 96:18	potential 30:20	prior 90:17	properly 27:3,4
61:24 62:20	99:7 102:9	potentially 87:9	prioritize 41:10	83:5
63:14 64:17	104:4	107:11,17	proactively	proportion
66:3 70:12,13	plenty 46:13	pound 28:12	35:8	36:14
72:23 73:3,10	pocket 85:15	54:12 59:2,2	probably 20:9	proportionally
74:6 79:12,23	podium 37:4	102:16	106:4	41:1
80:2 81:23	point 18:23 31:1	pounds 9:15,16	problem 15:9	proposed 3:14
87:11 92:11	33:20 55:5	11:21 18:6	65:21 85:22	5:17
97:17 100:16	64:6,6 86:1	37:18 55:1,20	103:18	prosecutorial
102:15,22	89:1,18 94:18	57:1,2,4 59:22	problems 13:13	25:21
106:22 107:10	94:20 95:11,12	59:24 63:16	procedures	protect 7:5 41:8
107:17,17	101:5 109:20	63:20,22	30:13 64:14	44:16 46:8
permits 3:15 6:18 110:7	pointblank	64:3,15 86:6 86:22 87:13	98:17,18	47:8,8 62:21 69:24 90:22
0.10 110./	35:22	00.22 07.13	proceed 6:1,9	09.24 90.22

protected 42:9	purpose 2:2	62:18 65:12	rare 33:22	105:10
protecting	6:6,10,11 35:14	66:24 67:11	46:21	recipient 90:1
101:14	80:21	69:20 71:13	rate 17:22	recognition
protection 1:1	purposes 84:13	72:7,11,22	54:24 55:23	68:2
22:18 24:24	pursuant 26:12	77:17 84:7	56:2 60:18	recognize 91:11
24:24 25:1	pursue 13:15	86:6 92:11,12	104:20	recognized 91:1
58:24 68:3	19:4 23:21	93:22 96:1	rates 56:19	reconstruct
68:22 69:14	26:16	103:1 104:6,18	raw 8:1,7	58:16,20
71:17 101:14	pursued 72:3	105:4 106:6,13	re-opener 12:7	record 4:10
protections	put 17:21 28:16	106:21 107:21	34:14	29:3 37:2
93:3	32:24 40:21	108:16	reactions 8:8	108:8 109:4
prove 61:8,9,13	57:13 59:13	questionable	104:24	recorded 29:7
61:15	74:21 80:21	97:4		29:16 54:22
	87:12 105:10	- '	reactors 8:6,14	
provide 6:17		questions 4:23	read 6:23 20:11	recording 97:18
provided 69:23	109:9 110:24	4:23,23 5:1	readily 82:12	recordkeeping
provides 21:19	putting 16:24	10:19,19 16:16	reading 5:11	9:21 17:7 80:5
27:13	31:14 89:15	16:18 17:14	30:11 47:20	80:9 82:3
providing 83:19	110:5	18:17 20:12	readings 33:10	records 29:4,5
provision 15:2	Q	32:2 37:15	75:15 76:8	53:16 54:16
19:3 21:18		43:6 67:16	readout 28:22	82:16,23,24
30:23	quality 3:17	70:10 71:1	reads 56:13	83:4,13,18,19
provisions 22:3	37:14 42:1,7	79:10,15,17	real 43:8 61:12	83:22 84:1
22:18 25:11	79:18 98:16,17	98:1 99:13	85:20	recover 25:12
69:14	98:23	106:21 108:10	realistic 103:20	26:23 61:21
public 1:2 2:6	quantification	108:14	realized 103:9	rectified 52:3
6:15 7:5,11,13	95:5	quick 36:24	103:21	redress 61:6
14:12 40:9	quantify 55:10	57:17	really 12:5 14:8	reduce 8:12 9:8
42:17 48:2,7	quantity 32:10	quickly 13:13	18:7 33:20	41:19
48:20 49:14	quarter 15:5,10	23:24 90:22	41:8,10 43:10	reduced 9:24
49:21 77:22	65:13,15,15	91:1	43:24 44:4	reducing 94:6
82:5,7,20	90:9	quite 24:8,18	70:2 76:9	reduction
83:20 84:8	quarterly 12:22		85:24 90:8	102:24
99:22,24	13:17 14:11,20	R	92:11 100:4	reenforce 77:17
100:11,14,19	15:3,12,17	Racette 31:21	102:2 109:3	refer 21:13 23:11
103:12,24	30:10,14,18,19	47:15,15 48:4	reason 14:3	referring 49:7
108:4 111:19	31:12,16 50:19	48:9,18,23	34:11 45:8	49:17
112:10,13	53:20 65:19	49:2,5,11 50:1	94:1	reflect 72:9
publically 93:8	89:5	50:13,18 51:2	reasons 13:9	reflected 90:22
PubMed 47:2	question 14:8	51:4,8,13,18	rebut 62:5	reflection 75:14
pull 51:10	16:19 19:8	52:6	recall 47:20	regard 15:15
pump 28:21,23	26:2,17 27:19	racism 42:7	receive 2:16	79:18 81:13
59:21 60:15	28:11 30:4	radius 105:6	6:11 51:11	regarding 3:14
pumped 8:5	32:22 38:15	railcar 8:14	53:12 82:19	5:17 14:8 18:18
pumps 8:17	45:1 47:16	railcars 8:4	89:24	28:14 52:19
30:21 59:9,20	49:24 50:1,14	raise 2:24 16:5	received 8:3	65:12
punishment	52:16,17 57:18	98:1	50:23	regardless
20:15	58:9 61:5	ran 43:14	receptors	23:10
20.13			Teceptors	23.10

regards 28:9	49:3,9,10	requirements	retrieving 82:14	38:1,12,20
registered	50:9,12,19	2:6 5:5 8:22	return 65:17	54:19 57:20
94:23	67:22 82:4	8:23 9:22	review 2:20	58:2,12,16
registration 3:11	83:9 89:5,10	11:10,12 28:17	3:16 72:23	59:6,10,14,18
5:13	reportable	34:6,15 35:18	88:14 107:14	60:1,8,24
regular 17:11	15:24 50:8	53:17 69:4	rice 17:12	63:19 64:1,10
27:20 30:7	reported 15:15	80:6 87:15	right 4:2,17	65:5,17 66:21
regularly 109:1	16:1 47:17,21	requires 7:1,3,6	10:10,14 12:12	67:2 79:17,24
regulated 63:17	48:23 72:19	9:12 15:2	16:2,8,12 20:6	81:10,21 87:17
regulations	72:19 82:8	30:23	24:23 32:18	88:3,10 97:24
19:20	96:7,8 112:8	requiring 34:7	33:13 36:6	98:13,22 99:3
Relations 3:13	reporter 2:13,19	105:9	38:11 42:1,9,10	102:17 103:4,7
relatively 20:24	3:4 4:7 22:10	research 42:13	45:8,18 48:24	103:14 104:11
released 37:18	112:7	104:17	56:16 57:5	104:21 106:14
releases 41:15	reporting 9:21	reside 84:23	58:3 59:6	106:18 108:24
relevant 105:1	14:18 15:13,17	resident 37:11	62:16 67:18	room 2:12 3:4
relied 62:3	80:6 82:3	66:18 71:23	69:16 71:20	52:10 53:14
relief 8:18 26:16	87:15 96:11	84:24	73:17 80:12	roses 77:3
26:20 61:19	103:10,15	residents 43:23	85:21 88:15	roughly 95:19
rely 46:9	reports 14:20	71:24	90:11 94:19	roulette 100:6
relying 38:7	53:20 82:5	residents' 43:19	96:4 101:5,19	round 31:12
46:10	89:3 106:10	resign 99:8	103:14 105:17	93:13 97:14
remainder 8:9	representative	respect 25:5	107:3,8 109:17	routine 64:15
remains 10:4	12:17,20 13:3	42:4 61:17	111:17	81:18
remedies	70:9 96:15	respectful 2:17	risk 7:11 9:24	routinely 106:15
26:22	representatives	5:18	10:1,21,24 11:3	row 3:9 4:14
remember	35:21	respond 4:22	11:4,8,14 32:14	Rowell 1:14 3:8
44:21 47:20	representing	27:23	33:8,9 36:4,8	15:20
reminder 36:24	37:12	respondent	41:23 42:19	rude 64:9
removal 17:22	request 70:14	27:11 62:4	45:16 46:19	Rukstales 86:3
17:23	70:18 72:12	69:2	75:21,24 81:14	90:13,14 93:1
reopen 7:7	77:17,20 82:12	response 2:20	86:18,24	rule 25:9 61:24
10:21 11:7	83:7 95:14	4:24 5:7 13:10	88:17 101:1,1	rules 7:14
reopening 11:6	111:5	20:23 21:1	risks 45:18,22	run 32:16
repair 8:24 9:2	requests 51:1	27:23 28:11	47:6	running 35:4
9:3,7 19:19	82:6 84:3	50:17 51:23	risky 40:9	runs 103:17
repeat 5:8	90:1	65:2,6,6	Rita 96:15	Russian 100:5
repeated 25:13	require 54:17	responsibility	road 36:18	S
repeating 52:16	80:9	2:15	Robb 1:18 3:10	
52:17	required 6:13	rest 37:20	22:4,11 51:22	s 17:24 91:21
replace 59:20	12:7 13:15	result 60:9	Romaine 1:14	S-E-R-E-N-B-E
replaced 19:15	38:4 74:17	67:23 104:24	3:8 12:11 13:8	105:22
replied 66:21	96:6	resulted 43:16	15:1,14,21 17:17	sad 109:2
report 12:22,22	requirement	results 23:23	19:3,17 20:3	safe 32:10,12
14:10,11,21	9:11 14:1 25:8	25:18 32:21	20:16 21:11,18	safety 87:3 samples 75:23
40:21 42:13	59:11 61:23	32:22 89:5	22:2 29:7,10	80:17
47:23 48:22	68:11	110:13	31:1,18 37:21	00.17

sampling 75:20	screened 51:12	76:16 85:23	sets 69:11	2:21
76:13,23	83:5	88:17,18	setup 30:6	single 30:24
Samuel 101:9	screening 90:5	92:22	share 82:19,22	65:7 75:8,20
Santa 66:16	screenings 11:17	seek 84:12	83:6 93:8	75:24 89:10
77:15,16 78:2	scrubber 8:9	seeking 5:1	shared 83:16	96:24
78:5,7,9,13,16	12:8 18:19	seen 53:24	shenanigans	sir 76:4 77:12
78:19,24	38:2 59:1	self-monitoring	52:10	sister 91:17
Sarah 63:7	scrubbers	82:3	shocked 90:18	sit 4:13 93:17
66:16 70:21	18:22,24 19:6	self-report	shopping 110:1	102:1
70:24 77:16	35:8	34:18	short 6:5 104:8	site-specific 7:4
satisfied 46:17	seal 84:16	self-reporting	105:1	sited 76:21
79:21	sealed 8:5	46:10 62:2	shorthand 112:9	sites 89:2
saw 17:10 32:20	92:14,19	82:4	112:11	situation 31:4
77:2 101:24	107:24	Senate 6:19	shout 37:1	65:8 86:9
111:1	search 89:6	44:18 109:7	shouted 108:7	situations 23:15
saying 11:22	searchable	Senator 96:21	shouting 37:1	six 85:5 101:6
14:4 15:13 37:7	89:2,12,22	96:22	show 37:2 54:9	size 81:5,8
38:22 45:4	90:4	send 66:11	62:1,9 65:20	slap 21:7 46:14
49:1 50:19	searched 16:19	83:16	77:24 108:8	slash 18:17
73:22 75:5	seat 4:17	sense 24:9	109:4	slide 60:5
81:18 83:11	seats 4:14 10:13	sent 79:12	showing 62:6	slides 6:22
85:2 88:1	second 2:11 3:6	110:10,12	88:16	7:20
101:3	11:5 38:15	separate 53:9	shown 60:8	slips 71:14
says 13:18 30:8	56:8 60:5	Serenbetz	shows 54:11	slowly 22:13
46:5 63:21	92:8 93:14	105:21,21	56:9	slug 13:17
69:13 96:24	94:20,24	106:4,17,20	shut 42:8 43:14	small 20:24
107:6 112:7	95:12 106:12	107:15,20	63:24 84:7	smaller 2:12
scared 60:3	106:20	108:6,9	102:6	smarter 92:2
schedule 81:18	seconds 55:16	series 17:16,22	shutdown 18:23	SO2 98:15
scheduled	section 3:15,18	38:2	sick 61:12	social 111:1,6
108:17	3:21 10:20	serious 24:5	signal 4:19	Soh 44:9 47:11
school 32:17,19	12:13 23:5,21	42:17 65:8	signed 79:23	52:14
32:19 33:22	26:13,14,18	85:17 96:8,10	significant 6:15	somebody
36:17,18,18,21	62:10 66:3	102:5	Silicones 36:11	21:24 44:24
36:22 46:18	83:20 84:14	seriously 24:19	36:15	103:17 105:23
46:21 85:12	84:16	serve 27:5	similar 40:11	somewhat 30:7
85:22 92:6	see 3:1 6:21 14:8	68:21,24	92:14	86:20
schools 24:21	19:1 30:8,23	served 9:8	similarly 23:23	son 32:19
101:20	39:14 47:2	service 30:9	97:22	soon 19:14 51:11
science 40:22	48:24 53:12	31:7,13,14	simple 56:7	SOPs 17:6
40:24	54:13 64:20	services 3:5	59:10 82:15	sorry 28:7 47:13
scientific 28:19	64:21 77:6	session 109:12	104:21	47:14 57:4,15
scientist 85:3	80:14 82:11	set 7:5 12:5	simpler 61:1	57:17 67:17,22
Scientists 39:21	85:4 89:10	24:4 27:4	simplistic 59:19	73:3 96:14
40:18	93:2 95:6	35:14 55:7	simply 85:2	104:2 108:9
scope 81:12	107:5	56:14 71:18	sims 87:15	sort 14:23 21:9
screen 12:1	seeing 12:1	setbacks 41:6	simultaneous	28:21 87:2
	•	•	•	•

sorts 104:23	57:10 58:11	sterilization	43:14	108:23 109:5
source 8:12	60:22 73:7,8	69:22	sudden 15:8	surprised 15:8
34:23,24 94:4	73:15,16 74:7	Steve 1:15 3:10	95:19	101:13
sources 7:10	74:11,17 80:16	3:17 75:17	sue 26:9,19	surrounding
8:19 23:4 44:2	80:18,19 81:1,1	93:22	suffer 93:10	34:9 86:15
south 77:9	81:2	sticking 83:2	suffered 91:3	90:20
southwest 77:7	stacks 105:12	108:13	suffering 93:7	survive 101:8,9
Spanish 2:22	staff 66:3,4,5	sticks 104:15	101:24	suspicious 99:6
3:3,22,23 4:5	70:10 83:19	stock 13:23	sufficiently 27:4	switch 30:13,15
6:21 111:16	84:2	stop 11:18 12:16	suggest 64:18	30:19
Spaulding	stage 3:7	31:24 44:12,14	81:22	sworn 112:6
32:18 33:4,21	standard 23:22	85:7 102:21 [°]	suggests 26:18	system 18:2
46:20	64:14 84:17	storage 8:5,14	27:8 104:12	19:9 27:2 38:2
speak 3:24 4:18	86:18 88:12	8:16	suit 25:16 26:13	38:6,7 53:24
4:21 5:8,14,14	standards 7:14	stored 8:4	27:1 67:22	54:15 55:3,15
18:16 37:3	40:24 86:16	story 36:4	68:9,18 69:4	58:22,23
speaker 4:14,15	standpoint 13:1	stream 19:5	suits 25:19,23	66:23 67:10
4:18 5:19 10:11	89:24	streamlined	summary 50:17	67:13 74:8,11
67:21	start 30:16 31:2	51:7	summation	80:20,23
speakers 4:12	40:183:24	street 1:7 28:19	54:17	systems 12:14
4:13 16:4	106:24	stresses 17:5	Sunset 36:18	18:19 38:8
speaking 5:9,21	started 22:12	strife 91:12	supplemented	
52:7	33:14	strike 45:5,6	95:17	T
speaks 71:10	starting 109:12	stringent 9:5	supply 67:15	T-A-N-A-K-A
special 44:1	111:6	28:17 109:9	support 44:24	93:21
Specialties 1:2	state 25:19 41:9	studies 47:2	45:4 71:14	table 3:11
2:4 6:8 7:21	41:21 66:2	studio 42:20,21	109:15	take 2:19 3:4
16:22 109:5	68:22 90:1,21	stuff 17:4 110:24	suppose 24:1	4:17 10:13
specific 22:2	91:4 100:19	111:6	supposed 20:14	34:24 54:24
53:10 82:10	105:19 109:21	stumbling 87:5	44:16 46:8	56:17 58:14
95:14	112:1	sub-limit 63:21	63:16,17	63:2 65:15,16
specifically	State's 23:20	subject 8:22	86:22 109:9	72:17 75:20
65:4	25:12	14:1 82:23	sure 2:23 4:9	77:23 78:22
specified 13:6	statement 5:12	83:4	7:12 15:7 24:8	78:23 82:5
specify 59:8	statements	submission	24:18 25:20	84:11 85:17,18
speed 53:1	100:23	51:17	30:5,12 44:15	87:6,8 94:15
spell 5:9	states 25:20	submit 48:12	48:15 53:14	100:15 104:2
105:20	status 40:7 68:7	62:3 83:7	55:15 56:21	104:19 107:24
spent 43:3 93:4	statute 25:9	88:13	59:16 66:6	taken 36:11
sponsored	61:24 68:16	submitted 47:18	75:18 82:15	112:11
44:14	69:8,13	47:22 51:9	87:13 88:10,14	takes 57:21
spots 75:13	stem 60:15	88:18 95:22	88:15 96:21	65:10 99:14
spring 109:12	stemmed 25:8	subsequent	98:7 101:17	102:9
SS 112:2	step 25:24 111:3	2:19	105:8,11,21	talk 10:20 40:8
stack 34:8	Sterigenics	substantial	surface 28:23	43:1 78:18,23
37:21 38:1	42:2 79:2	23:18	60:14	91:21 102:1
54:1,3 57:5,8	84:15 92:13	successful	surprise 108:17	111:3
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

talked 40:5,6	76:4,5,6	Thanks 99:10	106:1,3,10,11,15	78:20
60:5	100:2	105:17	Thomas 16:9	tonight 4:7 6:7
talking 18:6	ten 91:3 102:7	theory 56:12	28:2,8	10:7 12:2 16:18
33:7,8,9,11	tend 86:19	thing 15:18	thought 15:7	18:16 31:22
37:24 40:14	term 5:4 33:8	30:24 34:7,18	49:16	66:7 79:10
42:21 51:16	terms 21:3,6	38:13 40:1,17	threat 42:17	111:19
60:22 64:10	28:13 42:19	42:1,9,10	three 4:13 14:14	tonight's 6:4
64:13,17,24	55:20 103:22	60:21 62:7	38:18,20,21	tool 89:16
73:18,19 76:5	107:16	75:19 94:1	38:22 67:8	tools 100:17
91:9 93:23	terrifying 66:20	103:3,18 105:7	75:10,11 78:4	top 13:19 56:19
96:9 97:7,17	test 2:23 74:7,11	things 8:13 11:9	91:15 101:10,11	90:1,2
97:17,18 111:10	74:17 80:20	13:19 22:15,22	108:10	total 17:18 54:10
Tanaka 39:17	80:22 81:1,1	32:14 51:21	three-hour	63:21 64:15
44:9,9,11,12	tested 31:11	53:17 64:11	54:20	76:12
47:11 52:13,14	88:2 95:7,18	73:23,24	three-point	totally 76:9,11
52:14 53:4,11	tester 73:8	81:12 82:10	33:5	touch 45:20
53:20,23	testing 27:20	87:16 93:7	three-year-old	78:17
54:5 55:2,11	30:1,10 73:7,15	101:15	46:16	touched 36:9
55:19,24 56:4	74:22 75:1,10	think 10:22 11:3	three-year-olds	74:20
56:16,23 57:4	75:14 80:18,19	12:23 18:6	34:2 46:20	tourist 109:21
57:8,12,17,23	85:15 87:12	21:5,8 25:9	tied 43:2	110:5
58:1,3 93:15	95:6,14,15,16	26:4,8 27:15	tight 80:2	town 35:21
93:18,20,21	110:10,11,18	27:22 31:4	time 4:20 5:5	90:18
94:18 96:12	tests 73:16	40:11,15 41:2,6	10:10 13:5,6	Township 85:21
97:9,12,21	74:23 75:2	41:14,21,24	14:21 15:18,19	toxicity 81:14
98:10,19 99:1	81:3	43:23 44:3	16:7 19:13,16	toxicologists
99:6	Texas 64:6	47:16 50:5,7	19:17 30:9,18	100:13
tanks 8:5,14,16	thank 4:6 10:7	50:10 53:2	31:14 33:11	track 15:6
Tatyana 66:16	16:2,3,17 18:10	65:17 69:7	39:5 45:20	training 17:5
70:22 77:14,16	18:16 20:6	70:15 77:23	49:24 51:9	trains 17:15
taxes 85:12	22:7 28:1,4	78:6 82:7	52:11,15 55:23	transaction
Tea 39:17 44:9	30:2 31:8,17	84:17 85:16	56:15 57:15	70:13,14
44:12 110:16	31:18,22	87:14 89:23	60:19 65:20	transcript 5:23
teach 92:5	36:23 39:13	89:24 92:7	65:22,24	112:11
technically	39:15 44:6,8	93:1,10 98:10	69:17,20	transitioned
94:12	47:10 52:11	98:12 101:18	70:20 77:10	22:13
technologies	58:3,7 62:16	103:2,4 104:11	80:14 84:4	translate 3:3
18:21	63:5 69:19	104:21 105:23	91:7 97:13	translating 3:21
technology	70:4,20 72:21	106:23 107:9	timely 8:24	translation 2:21
59:7	77:12,12 78:24	107:12 110:6	51:16	3:5
tell 35:1,4 46:17	79:5,9 80:4	111:8	times 70:6 80:7	transmit 70:15
76:1 92:6	81:4 84:20,22	thinking 61:16	tired 80:13	transparency
103:16	90:11 93:20	83:15	today 54:12	18:4
Tellez 3:12	99:8 106:18	thinks 38:22	64:23 90:24	transparent
telling 80:14	108:11,13	third 29:19,24	93:9	40:16 77:22
84:1 100:20	110:21,23	30:1 31:20	told 35:6 63:12	Travis 79:7
tells 28:22 76:3	111:18	60:5 105:24	tomorrow	84:21,23
	1	1	<u> </u>	ı

treated 63:18	32:4,7,13	unsafe 43:15	105:23 106:9	W
true 30:8 75:14	33:14,14 40:7	unsettling 52:8	108:23 109:5	W-A-L-T-O-N
94:17 100:9	40:12 70:8,8	unusable 95:17	110:9,14	66:18
107:11 112:10	70:14 72:8	update 40:7	Vantage's 72:9	W-I-E-C-Z-O-R
truly 28:15	78:11 86:24	72:8	variety 13:9	28:8
95:23	88:4,8 98:4,7	updated 19:9	vast 111:8	wait 67:11 69:12
trust 35:11 96:2	98:15,22	upfront 24:11	vent 38:4	77:22
96:4 97:5,5	100:2,18	upset 38:6 45:4	vented 8:9	walk 91:18
try 5:5 39:7	106:14	45:10,12,23	verbiage 12:13	walls 69:22
62:14 76:21	ultimately 27:2	urge 31:9	49:6	Walton 58:5
101:22	52:1	urgency 23:19	Verena 77:14	63:7 66:15,17
trying 74:23	unacceptable	use 7:16,17	79:6,8	66:17 67:8,16
tumor 91:3	46:7 49:2	12:20 13:3,14	Veronica 3:12	67:19 68:8,12
turn 3:23 10:8	50:3	14:1 30:13,22	versus 18:7	68:16,18 69:10
37:3 84:3	unanswered	32:14 56:20	68:9	69:19 70:4,20
turning 51:13	43:5	61:7	view 85:22	want 25:6 31:2
turns 91:15	undergo 69:3		village 84:23	37:2 52:6
twin 71:3	underlying	V	90:16,20	56:2 61:7 70:5
two 11:9 16:20	61:24	V-E-R-E-N-A	91:24	81:7 85:7
17:10,15,17,18	understand	79:9	violate 17:9	87:16 89:1
17:18 22:15	2:18 41:13	validate 80:22	20:13	93:3,9,9 104:3
37:13,15 54:24	47:16 71:7,21	value 32:15	violated 26:7	105:7 107:1,23
64:10 71:2	76:23 78:2	33:16,18 55:8	violating 29:22	107:23 109:10
73:22,24	80:2 91:22	56:20,21,21	85:6	110:8,15
76:10 91:16	understanding	57:3	violation 20:23	wanted 16:17
94:24 95:1,2	28:18 30:22	values 12:17	21:21 23:2	40:1,17 42:12
97:2 101:6	33:12 50:4	35:5 55:1	25:7,10 26:19	43:12 101:4
106:20	68:19 69:6	valve 28:20,24	27:6,8 47:18	109:20 110:23
two-year-olds	92:20	60:16	49:6,8 51:15	wants 37:19
34:2	understands	valves 8:18	52:5 61:15,23	38:16
type 23:3 25:7	37:17	30:21	62:13 69:18	warning 38:19
46:21,22,23	understate	Vantage 1:2 2:4	96:10	warrant 92:15
46:24 47:2,4	103:17	6:8 7:2,10,21	violations 16:21	Warren 85:21
98:16	unfathomable	7:22 9:1,9	17:10 21:12,13	Washington 1:7
types 22:19,20	36:20	14:14 16:22	22:21 23:15	wasn't 11:1
22:21 23:14	unfortunately	19:5 24:5	25:14 35:9,12	44:20 47:21
26:24 72:24	104:15	32:18 33:13,17	35:17 48:12	48:18,22,23
83:22 93:7	unhappy 26:3	35:7 36:12	68:12,19 92:15	49:8,18 50:11
typical 77:5	unilaterally	41:18 42:5	violator 25:5	67:14 75:13
typically 6:14	21:20	47:17 48:11	violin 92:5	96:22,22
7:16 22:16	Union 39:21	54:7,12 56:8	visiting 43:22	99:16
78:4 99:17	40:18	68:13 71:2 73:1	109:22,23	Waukegan 34:3
101:1	unit 51:12 82:17	73:4 74:20	visitors 109:22	38:16 71:11
	83:13,18 84:2	75:4,12 76:14	void 25:24	90:14,17 108:2
U	unlucky 100:8	76:14,18 79:1	volumes 71:10	way 6:1 15:10
U.S 7:14 9:24	unpredictability	81:10 82:19 102:22 103:7	VVa 19:20	21:16,22 22:1
11:16 29:11				

	-	_		
23:18 27:19	weren't 14:3	80:23 85:13	0	2008 96:24
29:17 31:6	38:17 59:12	works 62:7	0.07 95:5	2014 47:17 79:1
32:6 44:22	west 1:7 77:9	worried 91:19	0.1 94:12	2015 16:21
45:3 82:7,18	wet 19:6 38:2	worse 101:12	0.1 54.12	35:10 68:13,19
89:20 90:6,7	wherewithal	wouldn't 72:13	1	2016 16:21
99:7	25:16	106:5	121:23 24:13,20	35:10 47:17
ways 40:23	Wieczorek 16:9	wrist 21:8 46:14	30:15,17 45:14	68:13,19
44:5 94:24	28:2,4,7,8	write 29:1 64:19	45:17 67:9	2018 97:1
95:1	29:9,14,21	82:1	101:15,19	2019 1:6 6:20
we'll 3:1 6:9	30:2 31:9	written 4:24	102:6	9:1
24:17 27:15	wife 33:23	5:11 28:11	1's 75:3	21 6:19,24 11:13
37:3 39:9,11,14	willing 83:21,21	29:15 64:22	1.28 74:23	58:1,2 74:10
50:15,16 70:18	Willowbrook	wrong 16:11	1.7 14:15	22 74:10
93:17 104:4	17:20 24:23	28:24 101:17	10 94:11	24-hour 75:19
we're 7:18 11:14	42:10 43:13		10,000 25:10	76:13
24:18 26:3	wind 75:13 77:3	X	63:24 64:2,3	25 15:5
33:7,8,9 45:12	77:6 94:2,6		100 17:2 94:9,13	29 10:5 107:10
64:17 66:12	98:11	Y	104:13	29th 107:12
67:2 72:4	wind's 94:3,10	yeah 41:24	101-0023 2:7	
76:16 79:4	winds 77:7	year 15:8,11 18:7	10A 10:20	3
83:4 85:23	wish 3:22 4:21	57:1,4,11 60:1,1	110 9:15,16 11:21	3 's 75:2
88:16,18	85:18,20	63:22,24	18:6 37:18	3.4 46:22
89:20 90:6,6	wishes 91:8	64:16 65:16	57:7,9,11,19	3.6 74:23
91:9 92:22	witness 71:14	79:3,3 96:24	63:16,20 86:6	30 55:16 71:4,8
96:9 97:20	Wolf 109:24	102:16 108:22	86:22 87:13	76:13 87:8
100:12,13,13,16	wonderful	years 22:13	88:21	95:8
100:17,17,19	90:15	33:24 45:18	13 38:20,23	30-day 75:10
102:3 104:11	wondering	46:18 65:16	14 1:6	31 23:5
105:10 106:12	12:18 18:19	67:8 71:4,8	15 12:13 104:9	31D 26:13
107:12 109:13	19:13 102:14	77:4 78:5,6,7	180 7:1 12:2	34 84:16
109:15,16	word 12:15 40:4	81:22 85:1,5,11	1854 6:19 45:7	35 85:1
we've 14:21,22	58:14 61:7	90:3,17 91:3	45:7,9	3888 44:15
24:23 35:6	73:22 81:7	99:14,18,19	19 8:11 56:8	71:14 96:16
61:8,9,14	106:12	101:11 102:7	90:17	109:8
web 83:23 111:11	words 61:23	109:2,4	19.08 56:6	3938 2:5
website 40:3,14	work 21:14 23:8	yesterday 44:19	19351 1:7	
43:19 45:15	39:23 40:18	44:20 109:6	1991 22:9	4
52:22,22 53:7	43:13 54:13,14	109:16	1A 32:3	4 76:15
53:18 89:17	60:23 86:8	yoga 42:20		40 19:20 94:22
90:6 102:21	95:3 97:9,10	young 101:6	2	95:7,11,16,24
111:11	100:10		2 35:22 70:6	110:8,13,14
week 67:12	worked 22:9		2.5 98:15	42A 26:14
weekend 91:15	91:4	Zeivel 2:13	2:00 36:19	43 23:21
welcome 2:1	working 2:24	zero 50:8,9	20 54:7 55:8,12	43A 84:14
5:12 6:3 10:3	33:1,6 39:22	56:9,14	55:18,20 56:6	45B 26:18
went 60:7 79:19	46:15 60:24	Zion 89:9,11	56:14,15,17,23	62:10
96:21	67:3 69:21	zone 90:23	2000 90:16	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

_	 IC WILLTING 11/15		
5			
50 15:6 57:4,5			
57:9 95:22			
95:24 104:13			
50,000 25:7			
6			
6:30 1:6			
60 9:16 19:20			
28:12 57:1,2,5			
57:6,9,14			
59:22,24			
63:22 64:15			
60-day 68:11			
7			
70 45:18 46:18			
99:14,18,19			
70-year 33:8			
101:2			
70-year-old			
71:9			
8			
9			
9:00 36:16			
90 43:16			
90,000 102:21			
98.8 102:24			
		-	-

Fax: 314.644.1334