

NPDES CAFO Nutrient Management Plan Review Checklist

Introduction

This checklist is a tool to guide the review of a nutrient management plan (NMP) submitted with a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit application or notice of intent (NOI). The checklist supports the permit writer's determination of whether the NMP adequately addresses each of the nine minimum practices required in the regulations. That determination should be based on an assessment of the following for each minimum practice:

- 1. Are the practices and procedures identified in the NMP sufficient to prevent discharges to surface water?
- **2.** Are the practices and procedures adequate to support identification of NMP terms for the permit?

The checklist is focused on the fundamental concepts necessary to evaluate whether an NMP addresses the regulatory requirements (e.g., NPDES minimum standards and effluent limitations guideline (ELG) requirements). The checklist is organized into three parts: (1) Part A – Basic Facility Information, (2) Part B – Nine Minimum Practices and Associated Information, and (3) Part C – Plan Adequacy. Associated information in Part B includes information associated with each minimum practice and is used to help to determine if the plan meets the requirements of the minimum practices. For example, crop information is necessary to review the protocols for land application of manure and wastewater minimum practice.

Using the Checklist

The checklist has been designed to serve as a tool for use in determining whether an NMP addresses the ELG requirements (where applicable) and NPDES NMP minimum practices. It also addresses the information needed to identify the terms of an NMP as defined by EPA. The checklist was designed to cover a variety of NMPs and operations; as such, it should cover most common situations a permit writer will encounter. However, specific operational characteristics can vary widely depending on animal sector, climate, state requirements, and other factors. Permit writers should be aware of the characteristics of a typical CAFO in their area and, if needed, revise the checklist to improve its utility in evaluating NMPs for a specific state or region.

Although the checklist is intended for use by permit writers in evaluating NMPs, the completed checklist for a facility should be saved in the permit file and be made available as a reference for the CAFO inspector to review before conducting a compliance inspection. The checklist information would enable the inspector to document changes that have occurred at the operation since the permit was issued and verify that they are reflected in the current NMP.

The determination of whether an NMP addresses the nine minimum practices often will be based on best professional judgment. Even where a plan appears to address each of the nine minimum practices, a poorly developed plan could be an indicator of a potential future permit violation. Further, as described in Chapter 4 of this Manual, broadly applicable permit could be captured as terms and conditions of the permit and therefore might not necessarily be addressed in the operation's NMP.

mpleted	By Name: _		Agency:	Date:	
NPDES	S CAFO N	MP Nine M	linimum Practices Re	view Checklist	
Part A		y Information location inform	nation and basic information about	ut the type and size of the	
Part B		critical information cluding inform	ation and terms specific to each of ation associated with or necessar		
Part C	Plan Adequa For use by the	•	er to document an overall determ	nination of plan adequacy.	
Note: Som regulation		ion in the checki	list might apply to Large CAFOs on	ly. For additional details, co	onsult the
Part A -	Basic Facilit	y Informatio	n		
1. Facili	ity Identification	on			
 Ope 	eration Name:			_	
2. Plan	Preparer Certi	fication			
• Did	the plan prepara	tion involve certi	fied technical specialists?	🗆 Yes	□ No
• Are	the name and ce	ertification creder	ntials of the plan preparer identified	in the plan? □ Yes	□ No
3. Type	of Operation				
• Is th	ne operation	☐ Large CAFC	☐ Medium or Small CAFO	☐ Other (non-CAFO)	
• Is th	ne operation	☐ Open lot	☐ Partially enclosed ☐ Fu	lly enclosed	
Note	es:				
					
			the plan reflect the description of the		□ No
4. Facili	ity Location				
• Stre	et Address (mail	ing):			
• City	, State, ZIP:				
• Doe	s the plan includ	e maps that ider	ntify		
	wastewater	handling and st	on area, including confinement areas orage areas, and raw material handl	ling and storage	□ No
	(2) All land app	olication areas ov	wned or under the ownership, rental, perator, including topography and s	, lease, other legal	□ No
			reas (sinkholes, wells, drinking wate ion and land application areas?		□ No
• Doe	s the plan identif	fy the latitude an	d longitude to the entrance of the pro	oduction area? □ Yes	□ No
• Doe	e the nlan identit	fy the waterched	(s) in which the operation is located	2 U Yes	П №

Is the watershed listed on the state's list of impaired	Is the watershed listed on the state's list of impaired watersheds? □ Yes			
If yes, what impairments are identified?				
Is this facility within a state-designated source water protection area? □ Yes Are there any other water quality concerns in this watershed? □ Yes				
Explain:				
5. Animals				
 What type(s) of animals are confined at the facility? 				
☐ Beef (slaughter/feeder)	☐ Chicken – Layer			
☐ Dairy	☐ Chicken – Broiler			
☐ Swine	☐ Sheep/Lambs			
☐ Turkey	☐ Horse			
□ Duck	□ Other			
What is the maximum number of animals confined,				
☐ Beef (slaughter/feeder)	☐ Chicken – Layer			
□ Dairy	☐ Chicken – Broiler			
□ Swine	☐ Sheep/Lambs			
□ Turkey	□ Horse			
□ Duck	□ Other			
 Is the plan based on the animal numbers listed abor 	ve? 🗆 Yes	□ No		
If no, on what capacity is the plan based?				

Part B – Nine Minimum Practices	
Minimum Practice: Ensure Adequate Storage Capacity	
Manure/Litter/Process Wastewater Generation	
What are the manure generation rates identified in the plan? Animal Type 1:	□ No □ No Other
Explain:	
Does the plan identify all sources of process wastewater and appropriate generation rates? □ Yes Storage Capacity	□ No
• Does the plan identify the volume and number of days of storage required for the facility? □ Yes	□ No
Does the plan identify the size (in acres) of the production area? ☐ Yesacres	□ No
Does the plan identify the number and type of storage structures? □ Yes	□ No
Does the plan document the source of the information to calculate available storage volume? □ Yes	□ No
 Does the storage volume in the plan account for manure and process wastewater generation (including silage leachate and other wastes) during the storage period in addition to the collection of runoff and direct precipitation on the surface of the storage structure from normal precipitation and the design storm event (25-year, 24-hour storm or other as required/appropriate for new source swine, poultry, and veal calf operations) for the CAFO location, a minimum treatment volume for anaerobic lagoons, and volume for solids accumulation? ☐ Yes 	□ No
Does the plan use the correct 25-year, 24-hour rainfall amount for the location of this operation to determine storage requirements (or other storm event as required/appropriate for new source swine, poultry, and veal calf operations)?□ Yes Vealure V	□ No
Note source of information:	
Are the evaporation rates used in the plan consistent with local data/guidance and appropriately applied? □ Yes	□ No
Does the plan include a schedule for cleaning out the storage structures or solids removal for liquid storage structures? ☐ Yes	□ No
Does the plan document that available storage volume is consistent with the plan's specified land application schedule? ☐ Yes	□ No
Does the plan require maintenance for all storage structures? □ Yes	□ No
Does the plan identify the specific maintenance actions and a frequency/schedule for those actions? ☐ Yes	□ No
Terms for Minimum Practice: Ensure Adequate Storage Capacity (identify below or reference NMP section(s)):

Minimum Practice: Ensure Proper Management of Mortalities		
Is the animal mortality addressed in the plan? If yes, what methods are identified in the plan to address animal mortality? □ Rendering □ Incineration □ Composting □ Disposal pits □ Landfill □ Other:	. □ Yes	□No
Does the plan include a schedule for collecting, storing, and disposing of animal carcasses?	. □ Yes	□ No
Does the plan address mortality storage before final disposition?	. □ Yes	□ No
Is the mortality rate used in the plan consistent with USDA expected values for the animals confined at the operation?	. □ Yes	□ No
Does the plan include contingency plans for unexpected but possible occurrences such as mass mortality or the loss of a rendering contractor?	. □ Yes	□ No
Does the animal mortality plan meet state and local requirements? □ N/A		□ No
Terms for Minimum Practice: Ensure Proper Management of Mortalities (identify below or reference	e NMP sec	etion(s)):
Minimum Practice: Divert Clean Water from Production Area		
Does the plan address the diversion of clean water from the production areas? If no, why?	. □ Yes	□ No
If no, is the runoff being collected and is storage of runoff adequate?		
(See the Minimum Practice: Ensure Adequate Storage Capacity section)	.□ Yes	□ No
Does the plan require periodic visual inspection to verify proper and functional diversion?	.□ Yes	□ No
Does the plan address the maintenance of diversion structures?	.□ Yes	□ No
Terms for Minimum Practice: Divert Clean Water from Production Area (identify below or reference	NMP sec	tion(s)):
Minimum Practice: Prevent Direct Contact		
Does the facility or topographic map identify any surface water in the production area?	.□ Yes	□ No
If yes, are measures in the plan to prevent direct contact?	.□ Yes	□ No
What are the measures identified in the plan?□ Fe	nces 🗆	Other
Does the plan address maintenance of the identified practices?	.□ Yes	□ No
Terms for Minimum Practice: Prevent Direct Contact (identify below or reference NMP section(s)):		

Minimum Practice: Chemical Disposal	
Does the plan include practices that ensure chemicals (including pesticides, hazardous and toxic chemicals, and petroleum products/by-products) are not disposed of in any storage or treatment system that is not specifically designed to treat those chemicals?□ Yes	□ No
Has the facility incorporated measures (in accordance with applicable laws and regulations) to prevent mishandling of pesticides, hazardous and toxic chemicals, and petroleum products/by-products?	□ No
Terms for Minimum Practice: Chemical Disposal (identify below or reference NMP section(s)):	
Minimum Practice: Conservation Practices to Reduce Nutrient Loss	
Does the plan specify a 100-foot setback or a 35-foot vegetated buffer or alternative setback for land application from downgradient surface waters and conduits in accordance with the Effluent Limitations Guideline? □ N/A □ Yes	□ No
If an alternative setback has been specified, what is the basis for the use of an alternative setback?	
Does the plan include the use of best management practices (BMPs) to control nutrient loss from the: Production area□ N/A □ Yes Land application area(s)□ N/A □ Yes	□ No □ No
If yes, identify:	
Land Application Areas □ Vegetated Buffers (Type of vegetation) □ Diversion □ Grassed Waterway (Type of vegetation) □ Strip Cropping □ Residue Management □ Terracing □ Conservation Tillage)
If BMPs are being used to control nutrient loss, does the plan specify how they are to be implemented?□ Yes	□ No
If yes, what does the plan require?	
What references are cited for the practices? □ USDA Practice Standards □ State Standards □ Other (Note: To be used to verify proper implementation)	
Does the plan include Operation & Maintenance requirements for practices used to reduce nutrient loss? Does the plan include Operation & Maintenance requirements for practices used to reduce nutrient loss?	□ No
Do the plan and facility maps identify the specific locations where the BMPs and setbacks are to be used?□ N/A □ Yes	□ No

Terms for Minimum Practice: Conservation Practices to Reduce Nutrient Loss (identify below or reference NMP section(s)):				
Scotlon(S)).				
Minimum Practice: Protocols for Manure and Soil Testing				
 Does the plan include specific protocols for the representative sampling of manure, wastewater, and soil for determining nutrient content?□ Yes 	□ No			
 Does the plan include appropriate frequencies for the sampling of manure, wastewater, and soil for determining nutrient content? ☐ Yes 	□ No			
Does the plan include specific protocols for the <i>analysis</i> of manure, wastewater, and soil for determining nutrient content?□ Yes	□ No			
Are the soil test results used to develop the plan less than 5 years old? ☐ Yes	□ No			
• Are the manure nutrient analysis results used to develop the plan less than 12 months old?□ Yes [Note: book values may be used for the first year of operation.]	□ No			
Terms for Minimum Practice: Protocols for Manure and Soil Testing (identify below or reference NMP section	n(s)):			
Minimum Practice: Protocols for Land Application of Manure and Wastewater				
Minimum Practice: Protocols for Land Application of Manure and Wastewater Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal				
	plan,			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the				
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.)	%			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) □ Land Application □ Composting □ Incineration	% %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) □ Land Application □ Composting	% %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) □ Land Application □ Composting □ Incineration	% %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) □ Land Application □ Composting □ Incineration	% % %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) □ Land Application □ Composting □ Incineration □ Does the plan address what is done with the remaining ash?	% % %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) □ Land Application □ Composting □ Incineration □ Does the plan address what is done with the remaining ash?	% % %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) □ Land Application □ Composting □ Incineration □ Does the plan address what is done with the remaining ash?	% % %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal • What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) □ Land Application □ Composting □ Incineration □ Does the plan address what is done with the remaining ash? □ Other □ Describe:	% % %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) Land Application Composting Incineration Does the plan address what is done with the remaining ash? Other Describe:	% % %			
Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Use and Disposal What manure utilization options are identified in the plan? (If more than one option is identified in the indicate the relative amount of the manure used or disposed of under this option.) Land Application	% % %			

 If the plan includes land a 	pplication of manure, litt	er, or process wastewater:		
		ervation management units (CN ries, field number, acreage)		□ No
Does the plan addres	ss rates of application us	ing the □ linear approach or the	e □ narrative rate ap	proach?
based on the NN specific exceptio	IP and generally do not ns. The questions in the	oaches primarily influence ident dictate the content of the NMP, sections below identify specific of terms under a particular appro	with a few information	
 How many acres under co agreement) are identified 		owned, leased, subject to an a cation use?	ccess	
acres owned	acres lease	d acres agreement	s total	acres
	•	roperly use all manure and was		□ No
If no:				
Does the plan identify Does the plan identify If yes, how?	y the quantity of excess y how the excess manur	manure being generated?e is to be used?	tons/year or ga □ Yes	llons/year s □ No
Terms for Minimum Practice: Pro Wastewater Use and Disposal (i			Manure, Litter, and	Process
Crop Production Information For use where the NMP includes		ure, litter, or process wastewate	er	
Does the plan identify who	at crops are produced fo	r each field?	🗆 Yes	□ No
What are they?				
Does the plan identify the	crop rotations?		🗆 Yes	□ No
What is the crop rotation?				
Does the plan identify cro	pping practices?		🗆 Yes	□ No
If yes, what are they?	☐ Ridge Till ☐ Other	☐ Conservation Tillage	☐ Contour Farmii	ng
 Does the cropping system If yes, what type: 		□ Center Pivot	🗆 Yes	□ No
ii yos, what typo.	☐ Flood ☐ Ridge and furrow	☐ Other Sprinkler ☐ Other		
		the plan identify alternative cro	ps for	□ No
[Note: Inclusion of alterna				

•		n the plan (including for alternative crops, if included ch)?	l Yes	□ No
•	☐ Farm records (Circle one: last yea Other:	determine the realistic yield goals for this operation? r's crop production, 3-year average, 5- year average,)	
	☐ USDA ☐ State data	abases (VALUES, MASCAP) crop insurance records		
•	Is adequate justification provided to sup	port the yield goal?	Yes	□ No
	s for Minimum Practice: Protocols for Lan ify below or reference NMP section(s)):	nd Application of Manure and Wastewater, Crop Product	ion Info	ormation
	Determination/Nutrient Applications where the NMP includes land applications	n Information ion of manure, litter, or process wastewater		
•	Does the plan clearly identify field-speci	fic maximum application rates, as follows:		
	For plans using the linear approach, the and process wastewater per crop, per y	e maximum pounds of N and P from manure, litter, rear? ☐ Yes ☐	l No	NA
		ach, the maximum pounds of N and P from all□ Yes □	l No	NA
•	Does the plan include the outcome of a	field-specific N and P transport risk assessment? \Box	Yes	□ No
•	Does the plan identify the basis/rational application rate for each field?	e for determining an N-based or P-based	l Yes	□ No
	What is the basis?			
	☐ Soil test method ☐	☐ Soil phosphorus threshold		
	☐ Phosphorus Index ☐	□ Other		
•	•	application is N-based and where it is P-based?		□ No
•	For P-based fields, does the plan includ	e the use of multi-year P application?□	Yes	□ No
	If yes,			
		elds that do not have a high potential for P runoff to	l Yes	□ No
	Is the application rate limited to the ar	nnual crop N requirement?	Yes	□ No
		lly after the amount applied in the multi-year application rvest?□		en □ No
•		rop N and P removal rates or nutrient recommendations ed in plans using the narrative rate approach)? \square		□ No
•		ources of nutrients used at the operation	Yes	□ No

•	For plans using the linear approach, does the plan clearly articulate the methodology used to account for the amount of N and P in the manure to be applied? Yes No			NA
•	For plans using the narrative rate approach, does the plan of used to account for the following?			NA
	☐ Soil test results	☐ The form and	source of manure	
	☐ Credits for all plant available N in the field	☐ The timing an	nd method of land applic	cation
	$\hfill\square$ The amount of N and P in the manure to be applied	☐ Volatilization	of N	
	☐ Consideration of multi-year P application	☐ Mineralization	n of organic N	
	$\hfill\Box$ Accounting for all other additions of plant available N	and P to the field	I	
•	Does the plan identify the application method?	☐ Injected	□ Yes	□No
•	Does the plan identify appropriate volatilization rates based	on the method of	application? □ Yes	□ No
•	Does the plan include the application of wastewater to fields	via an irrigation s	system? □ Yes	□ No
	If yes:			
	Does the plan identify the type of irrigation system? Does the plan include provisions to minimize ponding o		🗆 Yes	□ No
	wastewater on land application fields?		🗆 Yes	□ No
	Does the plan address the management of drainage was surface or groundwater contamination?		🗆 Yes	□ No
•	Does the plan include specific restrictions or adequate mana pollution from the application of manure/wastewater to flood covered ground?	ed, saturated, fro	zen, or snow-	□ No
•	Does the plan address inspection and maintenance of land a	application equipr	nent?□Yes	□ No
•	Does the plan require periodic calibration of manure application	tion equipment?	□Yes	□ No
•	Are the application rates identified in the plan appropriate?		□Yes	□ No
	Notes:			
	s for Minimum Practice: Protocols for Land Application of Man eation Information (identify below or reference NMP section(s)		ater, Rate Determinatio	n/Nutrient

Minimum Practice: Record Keeping	
Identify the records that the plan indicates will be maintained at the facility.	
☐ Production Area Records	
✓ Weekly inspections of stormwater and runoff diversion devices and devices for channeling contaminated stormwater to wastewater containment structures □ Ye	s □ No
✓ Weekly inspections of manure, litter, and process wastewater impoundments □ Ye	s □ No
✓ Weekly storage facility wastewater level, as indicated on a depth marker □ Ye	
✓ Daily water line inspections □ Ye	s □ No
✓ Actions taken to correct deficiencies identified as a result of daily and weekly inspections □ Ye	s □ No
✓ Manure/wastewater storage—date of emptying, level before emptying, and level after emptying, or quantity removed (dry manure)	s □ No
✓ The date, time, and volume of any overflow □ Ye	s □ No
✓ Records documenting that mortalities were not disposed of in any liquid manure or process wastewater system and that mortalities were handled to prevent the discharge	- ПМ-
of pollutants to surface water	
·	
✓ Animal Inventory □ Ye □ Land Application Records	5 LINU
✓ Manure and wastewater sample nutrient analysis test methods and results that will be	
used to calculate land application rates	s 🗆 No
✓ Soil sample analysis test methods and results that will be used to calculate land application rates □ Ye	s 🗆 No
✓ Manure and wastewater application equipment inspection log	s □ No
✓ Maintenance log of all equipment necessary to control discharge and meet permit requirements (e.g., maintenance of land application equipment)	s □ No
✓ Annual calculation of the maximum amount of manure or wastewater to be land applied, before application	s □ No
✓ Crop planting/harvest dates by field or CMU □ Ye	s 🗆 No
✓ Crop type and yield by field or CMU – bushels/acre (seasonally) □ Ye	s □ No
✓ For each land application event, the date, rate (tons of manure or gallons of wastewater/acre or pounds of N and P per acre), weather conditions during and for 24 hours before and after application, application method, and equipment used by field or CMU (daily during application)	s □No
✓ The total amount of N and P applied to each field, including calculations	
✓ Lease/Rental/Access Agreements for all land not owned by the operator	
☐ Off-site Transfer of Manure and Wastewater Records	
✓ Date of each transfer □ Ye	s 🗆 No
✓ The name and address of the recipient (for each transfer)	
✓ Quantity transferred (for each transfer)	
✓ Documentation that the most current nutrient analysis was provided to the recipient □ Ye	
Does the plan require that any additional records be maintained at the facility? □ Ye	
If yes, what are those records?	
Does the plan include an emergency action plan to address spills and catastrophic events? □ Ye	s 🗆 No

Terms for Minimum Practice: Record Keeping (identify below or reference NMP section(s)):				
Part C – Determination of Plan Adequacy				
[Note: This section is to be used by the NMP reviewer to evaluate the overall adequacy of the plan based on the information in Parts A and B and does not necessarily reflect information expected to be contained in the NMP.]				
Does the plan adequately address the storage, handling, and application of manure and wastewater to prevent the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States?□ Yes □ No.)			
 Is the plan consistent with the technical standards for nutrient management established by the Director with regard to protocols for manure and soil testing and land application protocols including nutrient transport risk assessment methods and methods and data used to determine application rates?)			
Have there been past discharges to waters of the United States from the facility? ☐ Yes ☐ No.)			
If yes, does the plan include sufficient measures to address the cause of the past discharge and prevent future discharges? □ Yes □ No.)			
Does the plan require revision? □ Yes □ No.)			
If yes, what specific components of the plan require revision?				

Additional Review Comments: