ILLINOIS STATEWIDE NUTRIENT LOSS REDUCTION STRATEGY DRAFT REVIEW

IEPA offices, Springfield 19 May 2014

AGENDA

- Welcome and Introduction
 - Meeting Structure
 - Commenting Policy
- Review Chapters I-VII
- LUNCH—ON YOUR OWN (1 HOUR)
- Review Chapters VIII-XIII
- Final Questions
 - Timeline and comments reminder

MEETING STRUCTURE

- Discuss chapter summary
 - Fill out missing elements
 - Collect Policy Working Group member input
 - Address attendee questions


COMMENTS POLICY

- Policy Working Group members:
 - Suggested changes in the Word document of the strategy draft
 - Track changes
 - Save with last name in file name
 - Send to <u>cmlay@illinois.edu</u> by <u>MAY 30!</u>
- Non-members:
 - Coordinate with Policy Working Group member to submit comments
 - Or send a letter to appropriate agency
- Please be specific and provide details for proposed changes

TIMELINE

- Comments to Corrie Layfield by May 30!
- IEPA and IDA will adjust strategy
- IWRC will edit and format
- Draft out to Public Comment period in July
- Final adjustments made
- NLRS to USEPA by early September
- Outreach and Implementation
- 45% nutrient load reduction achieved!

Strategy Overview



Chapter I: Introduction

- Lays out the structure of the working group
- Describes the federal and state motivation to develop a nutrient reduction strategy
- Administrative and regulatory grounding of the strategy, as based on Clean Water Act programs and statues, is explained

Chapter I: Introduction

- Changed name from Nutrient Reduction Strategy to Nutrient LOSS Reduction Strategy
 - Questions/concerns?

Chapter II: Science Assessment

- Has undergone peer-review and been updated
- Concerns about the SA should be addressed to Dr. Mark David

Chapter III: Watershed Prioritization

- Watersheds selected where nutrient reduction efforts are most likely to yield the greatest benefits
- Identified using Science Assessment and IEPA data
- Priority watershed status = funding and implementation activities may be more specifically targeted toward those locations
- Work to reduce agricultural non-point source losses in previously—identified priority watersheds will continue and inform actions to be taken in these new priority watersheds.

Chapter III: Watershed Prioritization

- New additions since previous meeting discussion: NRCS active watersheds
- Questions:
 - Are there any lingering questions about how the prioritization was developed?
 - Is the strategy clear about what priority watershed status means and how it will be applied?

Chapter IV: Goals and Milestones

- Guided by the federal Gulf Hypoxia Action Plan 2008, the Illinois Science Assessment, and the Policy Working Group.
- Reduce P by 25% by 2025
- N by 15% by 2025.
- Implementation will continue until loading of N and P is reduced by 45%

Chapter IV: Goals and Milestones

Are specific items still needed in this section?

Chapter V: Key Nutrient Reduction Programs

- Obviously some programs are missing.
 - What programs still need to be included?
 - (ex. The Nature Conservancy, Trees Forever)
 - Can you supply Corrie with a contact for those programs?
- Other questions, comments, concerns?

Chapter VI: Point Sources

- Point source permits are issued by the Illinois EPA to control pollution loads in discharges from wastewater treatment plants and some industries.
 - Water quality standards
 - Nutrient reduction feasibility plans
 - Watershed groups
 - Compliance options

Chapter VI: Point Sources

- General questions about this section?
- Don't forget: specific comments and changes should be noted in the Word document (saved with your last name in the file name, and send to cmlay@illinois.edu by May 30!)

- Nutrient loss from farm fields is a primary contributor to high nutrient levels in local waterways.
- This section recommends:
 - Increased collaboration among federal and state costshare programs to support voluntary implementation of BMPs
 - Outreach and education to involve individual farmers in addressing nutrient losses.
 - Research priorities
 - Economic strategies

- Implementation:
 - Cost-share Coordination Subcommittee of the NRCS State Technical Committee
 - Agriculture Water Quality Certification
 Exploratory Committee?
 - Outreach and Education Steering and Coordinating Committee?


- Agriculture Water Quality Certification Exploratory Committee?
 - Support for <u>additional study</u> expressed at Agriculture NPS subcommittee meetings
 - Concept: Producer implements various BMPs, reviewed by an independent certifier (i.e. Technical Advisor, Extension, CCA), if certified receives something like priority in cost-share/ regulatory certainty/public recognition for efforts.
 - Should this committee be developed and included in the strategy?

- Agriculture Water Quality Certification Exploratory Committee?
 - Who should be on this committee?
 - Ex. CBMP, NRCS, IDA, SWCD, Environmental NGOs
 - What timeline should they have (i.e., six months, two years, five years, etc.)
 - Should IDA be the convening agency?

- Outreach and Education Steering and Coordinating Committee?
 - Support expressed at Ag NPS subcommittee meetings
 - Concept: Improve collaboration among industry initiatives (N-Watch, KIC), CCA continuing education requirements, state initiatives, and other technical service providers to raise visibility of programs and help producers see value of their BMP implementation

- Outreach and Education Steering and Coordinating Committee?
 - Should this committee be included in the strategy?
 - Who should be on it?
 - Convening agency?
 - Timeline?

Strategy Overview



Strategy Overview

New funding sources?

Practices

1. Priority Watersheds

• Cost Share

Point Sources

Point Sources

- Cost-share Coordination อนอดอกักแนะe อ้า เก๋e
 NRCS State Technical Committee
- Certified Comprehensive Mgmt. Plan
 - Agriculture Water Quality Certification
 Exploratory Committee
- Outreach and Education
 - Outreach and Education Steering and Coordinating Committee


LUNCHTIME PLEASE BE BACK IN 1 HOUR

<u>(you may bring your lunch</u> <u>back with you)</u>

Chapter VIII: Stormwater

- Urban nonpoint source pollution is a small contributor to Illinois's total nutrient loading; it can be a primary source of waterway impairments in local areas.
- Gains in water quality may come through improved funding/support for:
 - Green infrastructure
 - Training for MS4 programs
 - Improved monitoring
 - Legislative gaps

Strategy Overview



Chapter VIII: Stormwater


- There is not an obvious implementation mechanism for urban NPS:
 - Should an urban stormwater workgroup be developed in the strategy?
 - Is there existing infrastructure on which the workgroup can build?
 - Who should be in this workgroup?
 - What should it be called?

Strategy Overview

Urban Bmp's

Coordination of Green infrastructure, Training, Improved monitoring, Legislative gaps


Urban Stormwater Working Group?



Chapter IX: Accountability and Verification Measures

- Track existing program reports and calculate nutrient load reductions using the approaches developed by the Illinois Science Assessment.
- This section identifies the data reported by Illinois agencies
- Proposes the establishment of a Nutrient Monitoring Council to collaborate on data collection, better share data across organizations, and track nutrient loading patterns.

Strategy Overview



Chapter IX: Accountability and Verification Measures

- Questions?
- Concerns?

Chapter X: Public Reporting

- Success and challenges in achieving nutrient reduction will be shared through:
 - annual reports published on the Illinois EPA website
 - annual meeting
 - Water quality information will also be shared in Illinois's biennial Integrated Report to the U.S. EPA

Chapter X: Public Reporting


- Are those reporting measures sufficient to apprise the public about nutrient loss reduction in Illinois?
 - If not, what should be added?
 - Why?

Chapter XI: Numeric Nutrient Criteria

A Numeric Criteria Science Advisory
 Committee is proposed to develop appropriate criteria, ideally at the watershed level with a proposed timeline

Dates	Action
September – December 2014	Selection of Science Advisory Committee Members
January 2015—June 2016	Science Advisory Committee Conducts Review
July 2016	Science Advisory Committee Presents Determination(s)
August 2016—November 2016	Outreach/Discussion Among Stakeholders
December 2016 –March 2017	Illinois EPA Develops Rulemaking Package
April 2017	Illinois EPA Files Rule(s) With Illinois Pollution Control Board

Strategy Overview



Numeric Criteria Science Advisory Committee

Chapter XI: Numeric Nutrient Criteria

- This proposal meets the Stoner Memo suggestions and moves Illinois in a direction to achieve specific criteria:
 - Are there any additional concerns about this proposed approach?
 - How would you propose to develop criteria in a scientifically defensible manner?


Chapter XII: Funding

- Clean Water Initiative was expanded to make non-point source projects eligible for loan funding
- Efforts are underway to include more nutrient reduction projects for funding consideration under existing programs.
- Additional collaboration among local, state, and federal organizations will help maximize current funding.

Chapter XII: Funding

- Funding possibilities also listed in Ag and Urban NPS Chapters
- What is the mechanism to continue exploring funding alternatives during the implementation phase?

Strategy Overview




Chapter XII: Funding

- Discussion about:
 - Environmental Utility
 - Legacy Fund (modeled after Minnesota's, which was a small, temporary increase to sales tax)
- Should these ideas be included as potential funding sources or possibilities to be explored by the PWG during implementation phase?

Chapter XIII: Adaptive Management

- Strategy reviewed every five years by the Policy Working Group participants, or their successors, to determine what adaptive management actions should be taken
- The Illinois EPA and Department of Agriculture will take responsibility for the implementation of the necessary updates


Strategy Overview



Chapter XIII: Adaptive Management

- All pieces of strategy are feeding into adaptive management
- Are there additional pieces that should be added to Illinois's model of adaptive management?
 - What are they?
 - Why?

Strategy Overview



Final Questions?

- If you didn't get to ask it, now's your chance:
 - Please keep it concise

Timeline Reminder

- Written comments due in Word document (track changes, your last name!) to Corrie Layfield (<u>cmlay@illinois.edu</u>) by May 30!!!!
- IEPA and IDA will review comments and make changes
- Formal comment period should open this July
- Strategy should be submitted to USEPA by September

Next Meeting

- No more meetings!
- Enjoy your summers!
- As always, any questions or comments can be directed to IEPA and IDA staff or Corrie Layfield (until July 25th)