	Page 1				
1	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY				
2	STATE OF ILLINOIS				
3					
4	IN RE:				
5	Revised State Implementation Plan				
	for a Variance Granted by the				
6	Illinois Pollution Control Board to				
	Illinois Power Holdings, LLC, and				
7	AmerenEnergy Medina Valley Cogen, LLC				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14	Public Hearing held, pursuant to				
15	Notice, on the 20th day of May, 2014, between the				
16	hours of 9:00 a.m. and 9:22 a.m., at 1021 North				
17	Grand Avenue East, Springfield, Illinois, before				
18	Mr. Dean Studer, duly appointed Hearing Officer.				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

Page 2 1 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HEARING OFFICER STUDER: I've got 9:00, 3 so we'll go ahead and go on the record. Good morning, my name is Dean Studer and I'm the hearing officer for Illinois EPA. 5 would like to welcome you to this morning's 6 hearing. This hearing is being held for the purpose of gathering public comment on the proposed 8 9 State Implementation Plan, otherwise referred to as SIP, S-I-P, revision submittal related to a 10 variance granted by the Illinois Pollution Control 11 12 Board to Illinois Power Holdings, LLC, otherwise referred to as IPH, and to AmerenEnergy Medina 13 14 Valley Cogen, LLC. That variance was granted in 15 PCB 2014-010 and that was granted on November 21st, 2013. The issues considered by the Illinois 16 Pollution Control Board, otherwise referred to 17 simply as the Board or IPCB, in the variance 18 19 proceeding, and again that's PCB 2014-010, are not 20 necessarily relevant in this proceeding. Illinois 21 EPA has no authority to reconsider the previously 22 granted variance by the Board, and as such, the 23 issues involved with the granting of a variance are 24 not relevant in this proceeding. What is relevant

Page 3

- 1 in this proceeding are the compliance requirements
- 2 for the SIP revision submittal to USEPA, the United
- 3 States Environmental Protection Agency.
- 4 The criteria for determining the
- 5 completeness of SIP submissions to USEPA are set
- 6 forth at Appendix V to Part 51 of the Code of
- 7 Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 51. In order for a
- 8 variance to be considered for approval as a
- 9 revision to the SIP, the State must submit it in
- 10 accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Section
- 11 51.104.
- 12 The Illinois EPA plans to submit this
- 13 proposal to USEPA for review and approval as a
- 14 revision to the SIP to address the visibility
- 15 protection requirements of Section 169A of the
- 16 federal Clean Air Act, 42 USC Section 7491, and the
- 17 Regional Haze Rule, as codified in 40 CFR Section
- 18 51.308. Copies of the draft SIP proposal are and
- 19 have been available for review at Illinois EPA
- 20 locations in Des Plaines, Collinsville, and here in
- 21 Springfield.
- 22 IPH, an indirect subsidiary of Dynegy,
- 23 Incorporated, has acquired ownership of the Ameren
- 24 operating merchant generation stations, namely the

Page 4

- 1 Coffeen Energy Center located in Montgomery County,
- 2 the Duck Creek Energy Center located in Fulton
- 3 County, the E.D. Edwards Energy Center located in
- 4 Peoria County, the Joppa Energy Center located in
- 5 Massac County, and the Newton Energy Center located
- 6 in Jasper County, under a transaction agreement
- 7 between Ameren and Illinois Power Holdings. Medina
- 8 Valley has acquired the shuttered generating
- 9 stations, the Meredosia Energy Center located in
- 10 Morgan County and the Hutsonville Energy Center in
- 11 Crawford County. On November 21st, 2013, the Board
- 12 granted the variance to IPH and Medina Valley from
- 13 the applicable requirements of the Illinois
- 14 Multi-Pollutant Standard, MPS, set forth at 35
- 15 Illinois Administrative Code 225.233(e)(C) --
- 16 excuse me, (e)(3)(C)(iii) for a period beginning
- January 1st, 2015, through December 31st, 2019,
- 18 and 35 Illinois Administrative Code
- 19 255.233(e)(3)(C)(iv) for a period beginning January
- 20 1st, 2017, through December 31st, 2019, and it's
- 21 subject to certain conditions in that variance.
- The MPS is a multi-pollutant
- 23 comprehensive approach to reducing emissions of
- 24 nitrogen dioxides, sulfur dioxide, and mercury.

Page 5

- 1 Section 225.233(e) is an approved rule of the
- 2 Illinois SIP. On July 6th, 2012, the USEPA
- 3 approved the Illinois plan submitted to address the
- 4 visibility protection requirements of Section 169A
- 5 of the federal Clean Air Act and the Regional Haze
- 6 Rule, which is approved. It's -- you can check
- 7 that out at 77 Federal Register 39943, and that was
- 8 on July 6th, 2012. The Illinois plan includes
- 9 Section 225.233(e). Accordingly, since the
- 10 petition for variance sought relief from the
- 11 provisions of the MPS and such relief was granted
- 12 by the Board, the variance must be submitted to
- 13 USEPA for approval as a SIP revision addressing the
- 14 visibility requirements under the Clean Air Act and
- 15 the Regional Haze Rule.
- This hearing is being held under the
- 17 provisions of 35 Illinois Administrative Code 164,
- 18 Procedures for Informational and Quasi-Legislative
- 19 Public Hearings. Copies of these procedures can be
- 20 accessed on the website for the Illinois Pollution
- 21 Control Board at www.ipcb.state.il.us, or if you do
- 22 not have ready access to the web, they can be
- 23 obtained from me.
- 24 Gina Roccaforte, sitting here on my

Page 6

- 1 right, will introduce the Illinois EPA staff
- 2 members present today. Following this, I will
- 3 allow the public to ask relevant questions or
- 4 provide comments and ask relevant questions. You
- 5 are not required to verbalize your comments at this
- 6 hearing as written comments are given the same
- 7 consideration and weight and may be submitted to
- 8 the agency at any time within the public comment
- 9 period, which ends on June 20th, 2014. Any person
- 10 who wants to make oral comments may do so as long
- 11 as the statements are relevant to the issues that
- 12 are addressed at this hearing and such person has
- 13 indicated on their registration card that they
- 14 would like to speak. If you have lengthy comments
- 15 or questions, it would be helpful to submit them to
- 16 me in writing before the close of the comment
- 17 period, and I will ensure that they are included in
- 18 the hearing record as an exhibit.
- 19 Please keep your comments and questions
- 20 relevant to the issues at hand. If your comments
- 21 fall outside the scope of this hearing, I may ask
- 22 you to proceed to another issue. Remember, all
- 23 comments made at this hearing or submitted in
- 24 writing before the close of the comment period will

Page 7

- 1 become part of the official hearing record and will
- 2 be considered. If you would like to comment at
- 3 this hearing, please complete a registration card
- 4 which can be found on the registration tables and
- 5 indicate on the card your desire to speak. Anyone
- 6 who completes a registration card or submits
- 7 written comments in this matter will be notified of
- 8 Illinois EPA's final decision in this matter. That
- 9 notification will also contain information as to
- 10 how you may access the agency responsiveness
- 11 summary. In this summary, Illinois EPA will answer
- 12 all relevant and significant issues raised at this
- 13 hearing or submitted to me in writing while the
- 14 record is open.
- 15 Again, the written record in this
- 16 matter will close on June 20th, 2014. And again,
- 17 all relevant documents, comments, and data will be
- 18 placed into the hearing record as exhibits. Please
- 19 send all written comments or data to me at the
- 20 following address and that is: Dean Studer,
- 21 Hearing Officer, Office of Community Relations, and
- 22 this is regarding IPH Variance SIP Revision,
- 23 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, 1021
- North Grand Avenue East, P.O. Box 19276,

Page 8

- 1 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276. And this address
- 2 is also listed on the public notice for the hearing
- 3 today.
- A court reporter is here today taking a
- 5 verbatim record of this proceeding for the purpose
- of us making our administrative record. For the
- 7 benefit of the court reporter, please keep general
- 8 background noise in the room to a minimum so he --
- 9 or, excuse me, so she can hear everything that is
- 10 said. And also, when you begin to speak, please
- 11 state your name and, if applicable, any
- 12 governmental body, organization, or association you
- 13 represent. If you are representing yourself, you
- 14 may simply indicate that you are a concerned
- 15 citizen. Also, for the benefit of the court
- 16 reporter, please spell your last name. If there
- 17 are alternate spellings of your first name, you may
- 18 also want to spell your first name so that an
- 19 accurate record of your comments can be made.
- 20 People who have requested to speak will be called
- 21 forward to come to the podium.
- 22 When your name is called, please come
- 23 forward and state your name. And again, if you are
- 24 representing a governmental body or organization,

Page 9

- 1 you may so indicate or should so indicate. If you
- 2 are representing yourself, you may simply indicate
- 3 you are a member of the general public.
- 4 And before I introduce Illinois EPA
- 5 staff here this morning, I'd like to record a few
- 6 preliminary documents into the official record as
- 7 exhibits. And for the record, Exhibit 4 is a
- 8 comment letter received from Bob Jorgenson, in East
- 9 Peoria, and that was received on February 18th,
- 10 2014, and Exhibit 5 is a request for a hearing in
- 11 this matter from Earthjustice, Environmental Law &
- 12 Policy Center, and the Sierra Club, dated February
- 13 14th, 2014.
- 14 Are there questions on how I'll conduct
- 15 the hearing this morning?
- 16 (No response)
- 17 HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. Gina.
- MS. ROCCAFORTE: Good morning. I'm
- 19 Gina Roccaforte. I'm Assistant Counsel on behalf
- 20 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.
- 21 And with me today is Mr. Rory Davis, Environmental
- 22 Protection Engineer with the Bureau of Air.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you.
- Okay. We have one person that has

Page 10

- 1 registered to speak and that's Andrew Armstrong.
- 2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes. Thank you,
- 3 Mr. Studer.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER STUDER: I will allow
- 5 you to sit at your seat as long as it can be heard,
- 6 if people in the back can hear. You're going to
- 7 have to project your voice because you do have
- 8 people behind you a little bit, so --
- 9 MR. ARMSTRONG: Will do.
- 10 My name is Andrew Armstrong. I'm a
- 11 Staff Attorney at the Environmental Law & Policy
- 12 Center. I am here today on behalf of ELPC,
- 13 Earthjustice, and Sierra Club to offer comments on
- 14 the proposed modification to the Illinois Regional
- 15 Haze SIP.
- 16 Illinois EPA is currently proposing to
- 17 request that the Regional Haze SIP be modified to
- 18 continue to recognize the Multi-Pollutant Standard,
- 19 MPS, to be a best available retrofit technology, or
- 20 BART, alternative for the Illinois coal plants
- 21 formerly owned by Ameren and now owned by Illinois
- 22 Power Holdings, even though the Illinois Pollution
- 23 Control Board has granted a variance from the
- 24 sulfur dioxide requirements of the MPS for the

Page 11 former Ameren fleet until 2020. 1 This variance will allow Illinois Power 3 Holdings to continue operating the former Ameren fleet without installing any additional pollution controls until well past the 2018 deadline by which 5 the Regional Haze Rule would have required that 6 BART controls be installed to address sulfur dioxide contributing to regional haze in downwind 9 national parks and forests. 10 Illinois EPA has never done a sourcespecific analysis of what BART would require at the 11 12 BART-subject units in the former Ameren fleet, but instead only evaluated "presumptive BART on" limits 13 that do not reflect the reductions in sulfur 14 15 dioxide emissions that would result from installing BART controls at BART-subject units. 16 The United States Environmental 17 Protection Agency did its own calculation based on 18 19 much more stringent assumptions about the level of 20 sulfur dioxide reductions that BART would require. 21 USEPA ultimately approved the Illinois Regional 22 Haze SIP based upon these calculations, which found that the total emissions reductions that the MPS 23 would require at the former Ameren fleet would 24

Page 12

- 1 exceed the emissions reductions that would result
- 2 from only requiring BART at the BART-subject units.
- First, we think this is the wrong
- 4 standard because we continue to believe, as we
- 5 commented originally on the Illinois Regional Haze
- 6 SIP when it was first submitted, that BART is a
- 7 mandatory Clean Air Act requirement that may not be
- 8 replaced by fleetwide standards such as the MPS.
- 9 Even were this the right standard, though, USEPA
- 10 needs to reevaluate whether it can lawfully approve
- 11 the Illinois Regional Haze SIP modification for the
- 12 former Ameren fleet by doing a new calculation of
- 13 whether the MPS as implemented with variances for
- 14 the former Ameren fleet is still "better than
- 15 BART."
- The Illinois plan contains separate
- 17 emissions limits for each fleet. The former Ameren
- 18 fleet has its own emissions limits specified in the
- 19 MPS and the variance applies to only the former
- 20 Ameren facilities. Since the Illinois plan
- 21 consists of fleet-specific emissions limits and
- 22 since the proposed SIP revision applies only to
- 23 Ameren, the BART alternative must be judged on the
- 24 same fleet-by-fleet basis. If the Illinois plan is

Page 13

- 1 not better than BART at the former Ameren
- 2 facilities, it cannot be approved by USEPA.
- Now, if Illinois EPA were to attempt to
- 4 make a showing that the MPS with the variance is
- 5 better than BART, it should not be allowed to rely
- on purported emissions reductions at the Meredosia
- 7 and Hutsonville units that have now been retired
- 8 for several years due to economic reasons, nor
- 9 emissions reductions from Edwards Unit 1 which is
- 10 now only being operated for grid reliability
- 11 purposes subject to a short-term System Support
- 12 Resource Agreement with MISO. These plants have
- 13 retired or are retiring before the MPS reductions
- 14 are implemented, such that the MPS is not driving
- 15 emissions reductions at those plants at all.
- 16 Moreover, the Meredosia and Hutsonville units were
- 17 not purchased by Illinois Power Holdings and should
- 18 not be included in any analysis of emissions
- 19 reductions at the former Ameren fleet.
- 20 If USEPA performs a new calculation of
- 21 the emissions reductions at the former Ameren fleet
- 22 from the MPS that excludes purported SO2 emissions
- 23 reductions from the Meredosia and Hutsonville units
- 24 and from Edwards Unit 1, then it will find that

Page 14

- 1 implementation of the MPS at the remaining Ameren
- 2 units is no longer better than BART, as will be
- demonstrated in the environmental groups' written
- 4 comments.
- 5 We also would note that the Regional
- 6 Haze Rule further requires that the burden is on
- 7 the State to demonstrate that any BART alternative
- 8 will achieve greater reasonable progress towards
- 9 national visibility conditions in Class 1 areas
- 10 than requiring BART controls to be installed at
- 11 BART-eligible units. That demonstration has not
- 12 been made here yet.
- 13 Finally, we further contend that USEPA
- 14 cannot approve the requested SIP revision because
- 15 Illinois has not conducted required visibility
- 16 modeling to show that the Illinois plan will
- 17 achieve greater reasonable progress than would BART
- 18 towards reducing visibility impairment. In order
- 19 to demonstrate that an alternative program is
- 20 better than BART, a state is required by 40 CFR
- 21 51.308(e)(3) to perform dispersion modeling unless
- 22 the distribution of emissions is not substantially
- 23 different under the alternative program relative to
- 24 BART. EPA conceded that the Part 225 rules reflect

		Page 15
1	substantial averaging across units and across	
2	facilities. So visibility modeling of the Illinois	
3	plan and its relationship with BART must be	
4	conducted.	
5	So thank you very much for the	
6	opportunity to offer these comments. We will	
7	submit written comments by the deadline.	
8	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Thank you,	
9	Mr. Armstrong.	
10	Is there anyone else that has comments	
11	or questions that they would like to ask on the	
12	record this morning?	
13	(No response)	
14	HEARING OFFICER STUDER: Okay. Let the	
15	record indicate no one raised their hand.	
16	I remind everyone that the comment	
17	period is open for 30 days and we will be accepting	
18	written comments as indicated on the public notice	
19	for this hearing.	
20	This hearing is adjourned.	
21	(The hearing adjourned at 9:22 a.m.)	
22		
23		
24		

		Page 16
1		
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS)	
) ss	
4	COUNTY OF SANGAMON)	
5	I, DOROTHY J. HART, a Registered	
6	Professional Reporter and Certified Shorthand	
7	Reporter within and for the State of Illinois, do	
8	hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were	
9	taken by me to the best of my ability and	
10	thereafter reduced to typewriting under my	
11	direction; that I am neither counsel for, related	
12	to, nor employed by any of the parties involved in	
13	this proceeding, and further that I am not a	
14	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel	
15	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or	
16	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	
17		
18		
19		
	Registered Professional Reporter	
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter	
	Illinois CSR No. 084-001390	
21		
22		
23		
24		

ability 16:9 accepting 15:17 access 5:22 7:10 accessed 5:20 accurate 8:19 achieve 14:8,17 acquired 3:23 4:8 Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	ppendix 3:6 oplicable 4:13 8:11 oplies 12:19,22 opointed 1:18 oproach 4:23 oproval 3:8,13 5:13 oprove 12:10 14:14 oproved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1 10:2,9,10 15:9	4:19 behalf 9:19 10:12 believe 12:4 benefit 8:7,15 best 10:19 16:9 better 12:14 13:1 13:5 14:2,20 bit 10:8 Board 1:6 2:12 2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	Coffeen 4:1 Cogen 1:7 2:14 Collinsville 3:20 come 8:21,22 comment 2:8 6:8 6:16,24 7:2 9:8 15:16 commented 12:5 comments 6:4,5 6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18 Community 7:21	4:6,10,11 16:4 court 8:4,7,15 Crawford 4:11 Creek 4:2 criteria 3:4 CSR 16:20 currently 10:16 D data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
accepting 15:17 access 5:22 7:10 accessed 5:20 accurate 8:19 achieve 14:8,17 acquired 3:23 4:8 Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	pplicable 4:13 8:11 pplies 12:19,22 ppointed 1:18 pproach 4:23 pproval 3:8,13 5:13 pprove 12:10 14:14 pproved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	believe 12:4 benefit 8:7,15 best 10:19 16:9 better 12:14 13:1 13:5 14:2,20 bit 10:8 Board 1:6 2:12 2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	Collinsville 3:20 come 8:21,22 comment 2:8 6:8 6:16,24 7:2 9:8 15:16 commented 12:5 comments 6:4,5 6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	Crawford 4:11 Creek 4:2 criteria 3:4 CSR 16:20 currently 10:16 D data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
access 5:22 7:10 accessed 5:20 accurate 8:19 achieve 14:8,17 acquired 3:23 4:8 Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	8:11 oplies 12:19,22 opointed 1:18 oproach 4:23 oproval 3:8,13 5:13 oprove 12:10 14:14 oproved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 reas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	benefit 8:7,15 best 10:19 16:9 better 12:14 13:1 13:5 14:2,20 bit 10:8 Board 1:6 2:12 2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	come 8:21,22 comment 2:8 6:8 6:16,24 7:2 9:8 15:16 commented 12:5 comments 6:4,5 6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	Creek 4:2 criteria 3:4 CSR 16:20 currently 10:16 D data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
accessed 5:20 accurate 8:19 achieve 14:8,17 acquired 3:23 4:8 Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	oplies 12:19,22 opointed 1:18 oproach 4:23 oproval 3:8,13 5:13 oprove 12:10 14:14 oproved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 reas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	best 10:19 16:9 better 12:14 13:1 13:5 14:2,20 bit 10:8 Board 1:6 2:12 2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	comment 2:8 6:8 6:16,24 7:2 9:8 15:16 commented 12:5 comments 6:4,5 6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	criteria 3:4 CSR 16:20 currently 10:16 D data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
accurate 8:19 achieve 14:8,17 acquired 3:23 4:8 Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	ppointed 1:18 pproach 4:23 pproval 3:8,13 5:13 pprove 12:10 14:14 pproved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	better 12:14 13:1 13:5 14:2,20 bit 10:8 Board 1:6 2:12 2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	6:16,24 7:2 9:8 15:16 commented 12:5 comments 6:4,5 6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	CSR 16:20 currently 10:16 D data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
achieve 14:8,17 acquired 3:23 4:8 Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	proach 4:23 proval 3:8,13 5:13 prove 12:10 14:14 proved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 reas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	13:5 14:2,20 bit 10:8 Board 1:6 2:12 2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	15:16 commented 12:5 comments 6:4,5 6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	currently 10:16 D data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
acquired 3:23 4:8 Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	proval 3:8,13 5:13 prove 12:10 14:14 proved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	bit 10:8 Board 1:6 2:12 2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	commented 12:5 comments 6:4,5 6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	D data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
4:8 Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7 A1	5:13 oprove 12:10 14:14 oproved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	Board 1:6 2:12 2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	comments 6:4,5 6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
Act 3:16 5:5,14 12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	prove 12:10 14:14 proved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	2:17,18,22 4:11 5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	6:6,10,14,19,20 6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	data 7:17,19 dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	14:14 oproved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	5:12,21 10:23 Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	6:23 7:7,17,19 8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	dated 9:12 Davis 9:21 day 1:15
12:7 action 16:16 additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	proved 5:1,3,6 11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	Bob 9:8 body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	8:19 10:13 14:4 15:6,7,10,18	Davis 9:21 day 1:15
additional 11:4 address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	11:21 13:2 eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	body 8:12,24 Box 7:24	15:6,7,10,18	day 1:15
address 3:14 5:3 7:20 8:1 11:7	eas 14:9 rmstrong 10:1	Box 7:24		
7:20 8:1 11:7 A	rmstrong 10:1		Community 7:21	
7:20 8:1 11:7 A		1 1 11 6	Community 7.21	days 15:17
	10:2,9.10 15:9	burden 14:6	complete 7:3	deadline 11:5
addi CSSCU 0.12		Bureau 9:22	completeness 3:5	15:7
addressing 5:13 As	ssistant 9:19		completes 7:6	Dean 1:18 2:4
adjourned 15:20 as	sociation 8:12	<u>C</u>	compliance 3:1	7:20
15:21 as	sumptions	C 4:16	comprehensive	December 4:17
administrative	11:19	calculation 11:18	4:23	4:20
	tempt 13:3	12:12 13:20	conceded 14:24	decision 7:8
8:6 at	torney 10:11	calculations	concerned 8:14	demonstrate
	16:14	11:22	conditions 4:21	14:7,19
6:8 7:10,23 au	thority 2:21	called 8:20,22	14:9	demonstrated
9:20 11:18 av	ailable 3:19	card 6:13 7:3,5,6	conduct 9:14	14:3
agreement 4:6	10:19	Center 4:1,2,3,4	conducted 14:15	demonstration
13:12 Av	venue 1:17 7:24	4:5,9,10 9:12	15:4	14:11
	eraging 15:1	10:12	consideration	Des 3:20
Air 3:16 5:5,14 a.1	m 1:16,16	certain 4:21	6:7	desire 7:5
9:22 12:7	15:21	CERTIFICATE	considered 2:16	determining 3:4
allow 6:3 10:4		16:2	3:8 7:2	different 14:23
11.2	В	Certified 16:6,20	consists 12:21	dioxide 4:24
allowed 13.5	ick 10:6	certify 16:8	contain 7:9	10:24 11:8,15
alternate 8·17 ba	ckground 8:8	CFR 3:7,10,17	contains 12:16	11:20
alternative 10.20 BA	ART 10:20	14:20	contend 14:13	dioxides 4:24
12:23 14:7.19	11:7,11,13,16	check 5:6	continue 10:18	direction 16:11
14.23	11:20 12:2,6,15	citizen 8:15	11:3 12:4	dispersion 14:21
Ameren 3:23 4:7	12:23 13:1,5	Class 14:9	contributing	distribution
10.21 11.1 3 12	14:2,7,10,17,20	Clean 3:16 5:5	11:8	14:22
11:24 12:12 14	14:24 15:3	5:14 12:7	Control 1:6 2:11	documents 7:17
$\begin{vmatrix} 12.17.20.23 \end{vmatrix}$	ART-eligible	close 6:16,24	2:17 5:21 10:23	9:6
13.1 19 21 14.1	14:11	7:16	controls 11:5,7	doing 12:12
AmerenEnergy BA	ART-subject	Club 9:12 10:13	11:16 14:10	DOROTHY 16:5
1:7 2:13	11:12,16 12:2	coal 10:20	Copies 3:18 5:19	downwind 11:8
analysis 11·11 ba	sed 11:18,22	Code 3:6 4:15,18	counsel 9:19	draft 3:18
13·18 ba	sis 12:24	5:17	16:11,14	driving 13:14
Andrew 10:1,10 be	ginning 4:16	codified 3:17	County 4:1,3,4,5	Duck 4:2
1110101111011110				

due 13:8	facilities 12:20	governmental	10:20,21,22	June 6:9 7:16
duly 1:18	13:2 15:2	8:12,24	11:2,10,21 12:5	
Dynegy 3:22	fall 6:21	Grand 1:17 7:24	12:11,16,20,24	K
	February 9:9,12	granted 1:5 2:11	13:3,17 14:15	keep 6:19 8:7
E	federal 3:7,16	2:14,15,22 4:12	14:16 15:2 16:3	т
e 4:16	5:5,7	5:11 10:23	16:7,20	L
Earthjustice	final 7:8	granting 2:23	impairment	Law 9:11 10:11
9:11 10:13	Finally 14:13	greater 14:8,17	14:18	lawfully 12:10
East 1:17 7:24	financially 16:15	grid 13:10	implementation	lengthy 6:14
9:8	find 13:24	groups 14:3	1:5 2:9 14:1	letter 9:8
economic 13:8	first 8:17,18 12:3		implemented	level 11:19
Edwards 4:3	12:6	H	12:13 13:14	limits 11:13
13:9,24	fleet 11:1,4,12,24	hand 6:20 15:15	included 6:17	12:17,18,21
ELPC 10:12	12:12,14,17,18	HART 16:5	13:18	listed 8:2
emissions 4:23	13:19,21	haze 3:17 5:5,15	includes 5:8	little 10:8
11:15,23 12:1	fleetwide 12:8	10:15,17 11:6,8	Incorporated	LLC 1:6,7 2:12
12:17,18,21	fleet-by-fleet	11:22 12:5,11	3:23	2:14
13:6,9,15,18,21	12:24	14:6	indicate 7:5 8:14	located 4:1,2,3,4
13:22 14:22	fleet-specific	hear 8:9 10:6	9:1,1,2 15:15	4:5,9
employed 16:12	12:21	heard 10:5	indicated 6:13	locations 3:20
16:15	following 6:2	hearing 1:14,18	15:18	long 6:10 10:5
employee 16:14	7:20	2:2,5,7,7 5:16	indirect 3:22	longer 14:2
ends 6:9	foregoing 16:8	6:6,12,18,21,23	information 7:9	
Energy 4:1,2,3,4	forests 11:9	7:1,3,13,18,21	Informational	M
4:5,9,10	former 11:1,3,12	8:2 9:10,15,17	5:18	making 8:6
Engineer 9:22	11:24 12:12,14	9:23 10:4 15:8	installed 11:7	mandatory 12:7
ensure 6:17	12:17,19 13:1	15:14,19,20,21	14:10	Massac 4:5
environmental	13:19,21	Hearings 5:19	installing 11:4,15	matter 7:7,8,16
1:1 3:3 7:23	formerly 10:21	held 1:14 2:7	interested 16:16	9:11
9:11,20,21	forth 3:6 4:14	5:16	introduce 6:1 9:4	Medina 1:7 2:13
10:11 11:17	forward 8:21,23	helpful 6:15	involved 2:23	4:7,12
14:3	found 7:4 11:22	Holdings 1:6	16:12	member 9:3
EPA 2:5,21 3:12	Fulton 4:2	2:12 4:7 10:22	IPCB 2:18	members 6:2
3:19 6:1 7:11		11:3 13:17		merchant 3:24
9:4 10:16 11:10	further 14:6,13	hours 1:16	IPH 2:13 3:22	mercury 4:24
13:3 14:24	16:13	Hutsonville 4:10	4:12 7:22	Meredosia 4:9
EPA's 7:8		13:7,16,23	issue 6:22	13:6,16,23
evaluated 11:13	gathering 2:8	15.7,10,25	issues 2:16,23	minimum 8:8
exceed 12:1	general 8:7 9:3	I	6:11,20 7:12	MISO 13:12
excludes 13:22	generating 4:8	iii 4:16	T	modeling 14:16
excuse 4:16 8:9	generating 4.8 generation 3:24	Illinois 1:2,6,6,17	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}}$ 16:5	14:21 15:2
exhibit 6:18 9:7	Gina 5:24 9:17	2:5,11,12,16,20	January 4:17,19	modification
9:10	9:19	3:12,19 4:7,13	January 4:17,19 Jasper 4:6	10:14 12:11
		4:15,18 5:2,3,8	_	modified 10:17
exhibits 7:18 9:7	given 6:6	5:17,20 6:1 7:8	Joppa 4:4	Montgomery 4:
E.D 4:3	go 2:3,3	7:11,23 8:1 9:4	Jorgenson 9:8	Morgan 4:10
F	going 10:6	9:20 10:14,16	judged 12:23	morning 2:4 9:5
-	Good 2:4 9:18	7.20 10.14,10	July 5:2,8	

0.15 10 15.12	annouturity 15.6	nmagant 6.2	maigad 7.10 15.15	nomoining 14.1
9:15,18 15:12	opportunity 15:6	present 6:2	raised 7:12 15:15	remaining 14:1 Remember 6:22
morning's 2:6	oral 6:10	presumptive	ready 5:22	
MPS 4:14,22	order 3:7 14:18	11:13	reasonable 14:8	remind 15:16
5:11 10:19,24	organization	previously 2:21	14:17	replaced 12:8
11:23 12:8,13	8:12,24	procedures 5:18	reasons 13:8	reporter 8:4,7,16
12:19 13:4,13	originally 12:5	5:19	received 9:8,9	16:2,6,7,19,20
13:14,22 14:1	outcome 16:16	proceed 6:22	recognize 10:18	represent 8:13
multi-pollutant	outside 6:21	proceeding 2:19	reconsider 2:21	representing
4:14,22 10:18	owned 10:21,21	2:20,24 3:1 8:5	record 2:3 6:18	8:13,24 9:2
N	ownership 3:23	16:13	7:1,14,15,18	request 9:10
	P	proceedings 2:1	8:5,6,19 9:5,6,7	10:17
name 2:4 8:11,16		16:8	15:12,15	requested 8:20
8:17,18,22,23	parks 11:9	Professional 16:6	reduced 16:10	14:14
10:10	part 3:6 7:1	16:19	reducing 4:23	require 11:11,20
national 11:9	14:24	program 14:19	14:18	11:24
14:9	parties 16:12,15	14:23	reductions 11:14	required 6:5 11:6
necessarily 2:20	PCB 2:15,19	progress 14:8,17	11:20,23 12:1	14:15,20
needs 12:10	people 8:20 10:6	project 10:7	13:6,9,13,15,19	requirement
neither 16:11	10:8	proposal 3:13,18	13:21,23	12:7
never 11:10	Peoria 4:4 9:9	proposed 2:8	reevaluate 12:10	requirements 3:1
new 12:12 13:20	perform 14:21	10:14 12:22	referred 2:9,13	3:10,15 4:13
Newton 4:5	performs 13:20	proposing 10:16	2:17	5:4,14 10:24
nitrogen 4:24	period 4:16,19	protection 1:1	reflect 11:14	requires 14:6
noise 8:8	6:9,17,24 15:17	3:3,15 5:4 7:23	14:24	requiring 12:2
North 1:16 7:24	person 6:9,12	9:20,22 11:18	regarding 7:22	14:10
note 14:5	9:24	provide 6:4	regional 3:17 5:5	Resource 13:12
notice 1:15 8:2	petition 5:10	provisions 5:11	5:15 10:14,17	response 9:16
15:18	placed 7:18	5:17	11:6,8,21 12:5	15:13
notification 7:9	Plaines 3:20	public 1:14 2:8	12:11 14:5	responsiveness
notified 7:7	plan 1:5 2:9 5:3,8	5:19 6:3,8 8:2	Register 5:7	7:10
November 2:15	12:16,20,24	9:3 15:18	registered 10:1	result 11:15 12:1
4:11	14:16 15:3	purchased 13:17	16:5,19	retired 13:7,13
	plans 3:12	purported 13:6	registration 6:13	retiring 13:13
0	plants 10:20	13:22	7:3,4,6	retrofit 10:19
obtained 5:23	13:12,15	purpose 2:8 8:5	Regulations 3:7	review 3:13,19
offer 10:13 15:6	please 6:19 7:3	purposes 13:11	related 2:10	Revised 1:5
Office 7:21	7:18 8:7,10,16	pursuant 1:14	16:11	revision 2:10 3:2
officer 1:18 2:2,5	8:22	P.O 7:24	Relations 7:21	3:9,14 5:13
7:21 9:17,23	podium 8:21		relationship 15:3	7:22 12:22
10:4 15:8,14	Policy 9:12 10:11	Q	relative 14:23	14:14
official 7:1 9:6	pollution 1:6	Quasi-Legislat	16:14	right 6:1 12:9
Okay 9:17,24	2:11,17 5:20	5:18	relevant 2:20,24	Roccaforte 5:24
15:14	10:22 11:4	questions 6:3,4	2:24 6:3,4,11	9:18,19
open 7:14 15:17	Power 1:6 2:12	6:15,19 9:14	6:20 7:12,17	room 8:8
operated 13:10	4:7 10:22 11:2	15:11	reliability 13:10	Rory 9:21
operating 3:24	13:17		relief 5:10,11	rule 3:17 5:1,6,15
11:3	preliminary 9:6	R	rely 13:5	11:6 14:6
	=			11.0 10

		I	I	I
rules 14:24	3:9 8:11,23	typewriting	wrong 12:3	4
	14:7,20 16:3,7	16:10	www.ipcb.stat	4 9:7
S	statements 6:11		5:21	40 3:7,10,17
SANGAMON	States 3:3 11:17	U		14:20
16:4	stations 3:24 4:9	ultimately 11:21	<u>Y</u>	42 3:16
scope 6:21	stringent 11:19	Unit 13:9,24	years 13:8	
seat 10:5	Studer 1:18 2:2,4	United 3:2 11:17	0	5
Section 3:10,15	7:20 9:17,23	units 11:12,16	084-001390	5 9:10
3:16,17 5:1,4,9	10:3,4 15:8,14	12:2 13:7,16,23		51 3:6,7
send 7:19	subject 4:21	14:2,11 15:1	16:20	51.104 3:11
separate 12:16	13:11	USC 3:16	1	51.308 3:18
set 3:5 4:14	submissions 3:5	USEPA 3:2,5,13	1 13:9,24 14:9	51.308(e)(3)
Shorthand 16:6	submit 3:9,12	5:2,13 11:21	1st 4:17,20	14:21
16:20	6:15 15:7	12:9 13:2,20	1021 1:16 7:23	
short-term 13:11	submits 7:6	14:13	1021 1.10 7.23 14th 9:13	6
show 14:16	submittal 2:10	$oxed{\mathbf{V}}$	164 5:17	6th 5:2,8
showing 13:4	3:2	-	169A 3:15 5:4	62794-9276 8:1
shuttered 4:8	submitted 5:3,12	V 3:6	18th 9:9	
Sierra 9:12 10:13	6:7,23 7:13	Valley 1:7 2:14	19276 7:24	7
significant 7:12	12:6	4:8,12	19210 1.24	7491 3:16
simply 2:18 8:14	subsidiary 3:22	variance 1:5 2:11	2	77 5:7
9:2	substantial 15:1	2:14,18,22,23	20th 1:15 6:9	9
SIP 2:10 3:2,5,9	substantially	3:8 4:12,21	7:16	9:00 1:16 2:2
3:14,18 5:2,13	14:22	5:10,12 7:22	2012 5:2,8	9:00 1:16 2:2 9:22 1:16 15:21
7:22 10:15,17	sulfur 4:24 10:24	10:23 11:2	2013 2:16 4:11	9.22 1.10 13.21
11:22 12:6,11	11:7,14,20	12:19 13:4	2014 1:15 6:9	
12:22 14:14	summary 7:11	variances 12:13	7:16 9:10,13	
sit 10:5	7:11	verbalize 6:5	2014-010 2:15,19	
sitting 5:24	Support 13:11	verbatim 8:5	2015 4:17	
sought 5:10	System 13:11	visibility 3:14 5:4	2017 4:20	
source 11:10	S-I-P 2:10	5:14 14:9,15,18	2018 11:5	
SO2 13:22		15:2	2019 4:17,20	
speak 6:14 7:5	T	voice 10:7	2020 11:1	
8:10,20 10:1	tables 7:4	\mathbf{W}	21st 2:15 4:11	
specific 11:11	taken 16:9	want 8:18	225 14:24	
specified 12:18	technology 10:19	wants 6:10	225.233(e) 5:1,9	
spell 8:16,18	thank 9:23 10:2	web 5:22	225.233(e)(C)	
spellings 8:17	15:5,8	web 5.22 website 5:20	4:15	
Springfield 1:17	thereto 16:15		255.233(e)(3)(
3:21 8:1	think 12:3	weight 6:7 welcome 2:6	4:19	
ss 16:3	time 6:8	we'll 2:3		
staff 6:1 9:5	today 6:2 8:3,4	we ii 2:3 writing 6:16,24	3	
10:11	9:21 10:12	7:13	3 4:16	
standard 4:14	total 11:23	written 6:6 7:7	30 15:17	
10:18 12:4,9	transaction 4:6	7:15,19 14:3	31st 4:17,20	
standards 12:8	TRANSCRIPT	*	35 4:14,18 5:17	
state 1:2,5 2:9	2:1	15:7,18	39943 5:7	
1 ' I			37773 J. I	