## 1 ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 2 Proposed Issuance of NPDES Permit No. IL0079481 to Peabody Gateway North Mining, LLC, for the Gateway North Mine in Randolph County 3 4 ORIGINAL 5 7 8 9 10 Report of the proceedings of the Public Hearing held on June 20, 2012, at 5:00 p.m., at the City Council Chambers, City Hall, Sparta, Illinois, before Sharon 11 Valerius, Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter #084-003349 for the State of Illinois. 12 Before Hearing Officer 13 DEAN STUDER Illinois EPA 14 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 15 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 16 17 EPA PANEL: 18 Mr. Larry Crislip Ms. Stefanie Diers 19 Mr. Bob Mosher 20 21 22 23 24 25

MR. STUDER: Good evening. My name is Dean Studer, and I am the hearing officer for the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. Can you hear me in the back? On behalf of Interim Director John Kim and Bureau Of Water Chief Marcia Willhite, I welcome you to tonight's hearing. I want to thank especially everyone for coming out this evening. We've got a full house here, so there will be a few changes in the way that we conduct this hearing to be able to do it in a timely fashion.

The Illinois EPA believes that the public hearings that we hold are a crucial part of the permit review process. My purpose tonight is to ensure that these proceedings run properly, according to rules, and in a fair but efficient manner. To that end, I will start by reading this opening statement into the record.

This is an informational hearing before the Illinois EPA in the matter of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit application for an underground coal mining facility of Peabody Gateway North Mining, LLC, for the Gateway North Mine, with discharges of treated wastewater into unnamed tributaries of Mary's River and of Coulterville Lake.

Issues relevant to the NPDES hearing include compliance with the requirements of the Federal Clean Water Act and the state rules set forth in 35 Illinois Administrative Code, Subtitles C and D. Illinois EPA is not the state agency authorized to permit the mining operations at this coal mine, so issues specifically concerning the operations at the mine are not relevant in this proceeding.

б

However, we are empowered to review and make a decision regarding the issuance, denial, or revisions of the NPDES permit, Permit No. IL0079481, and of issues and conditions in that permit. The Illinois EPA has made a preliminary determination that the project meets the requirements for obtaining a permit and has prepared a draft permit for review.

The Illinois EPA is holding this
hearing for the purpose of accepting comments from
the public on the draft permit prior to taking final
action on the permit application. The public hearing
is being held under the provisions of the Illinois
EPA's procedures for permit and closure plan
hearings, which can be found in 35 Illinois
Administrative Code, Part 166, Subpart A, and in
accordance with 35 Illinois Administrative Code, Part
309, Subpart A.

Copies of these regulations are available at the Illinois Pollution Control Board website at www.ipcb.state.il.us, or if you do not have easy access to the Web, you may contact me, and I can get a copy for you.

An informational public hearing means exactly that. This is strictly an informational hearing. It is an opportunity for you to provide information to the Illinois EPA concerning the permit. This is not a contested case hearing.

Again, I stress that the purpose of this hearing is for the members of the public to provide information to the Illinois EPA that we may not have had when we made our preliminary determination.

The authority for the Illinois EPA to issue this permit is contained in Section 39 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, 415 ILCS 5/39. In pertinent part, this section reads: It shall be the duty of the Agency to issue such a permit upon proof by the applicant that the facility, equipment, vehicle, vessel, or aircraft will not cause a violation of this Act or of the regulations hereunder.

The decision by the Agency in this matter will be based upon the technical merits of the

application as it relates to compliance with the statute and regulations promulgated under it. The Agency decision is not based on how many people desire for the permit to be issued or on how many people -- excuse me -- or on how many people desire for this permit not to be issued, but rather on compliance with the laws and regulations and the standards for permit issuance.

I'd like to explain how tonight's hearing is going to proceed. First, we'll have the Illinois EPA panel introduce themselves and provide a sentence or two regarding their involvement in the permit process. Then Mr. Bryce West, Director of Environmental Services for Peabody Energy, will be making a brief statement. After Mr. West, I will provide further instructions as to how statements and comments will be taken during this hearing and as to appropriate conduct during this hearing tonight.

Following these additional instructions, I will allow the public to provide comments. I will enforce a time limit for each speaker of four minutes. You may want to prioritize your comments, so that you can make the comments at this hearing that you desire to make. If you have lengthy comments, you should consider giving a

summary of your comments during the hearing tonight and then submitting the comments in writing in their entirety to me during the public notice comment period.

If you have not completed a registration card at this point, please see Barb Lieberoff in the registration area, and she can provide you with a card. You may indicate on that card that you would like to provide comments at this NPDES hearing. Everyone completing a card or filing written comments in this matter with me before the close of the comment, close of the hearing record, excuse me, will be notified when the Illinois EPA reaches a final decision in this matter.

A responsiveness summary will be made available at that time. In the responsiveness summary, the Illinois EPA will attempt to respond to all relevant and significant environmental issues that were raised at this hearing or submitted to me prior to the close of the comment period. The hearing record and the comment period in this matter will close on July 20, 2012. I will accept written comments as long as they are postmarked by July 20.

Comments can also be filed electronically by e-mail at epa.publichearingcom@

1 | illinois.gov. That's E-P-A dot

2 P-U-B-L-I-C-H-E-A-R-I-N-G-C-O-M at I-L-L-I-N-O-I-S

3 | dot G-O-V. Electronic comments must specify Gateway

4 | North Mine NPDES in the subject line. Please make

5 sure that these words are included in the subject

6 | line and are spelled correctly, as e-mails are

7 | electronically sorted and distributed and may not

8 | make it into the record if the subject line is other

9 than I specified.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

when your e-mail arrives, the system should send you an automated reply if the aforementioned protocol has been properly followed and if the e-mail was received before the comment period ends and the e-mail has been properly sorted and distributed. I note that the server can become quite busy in the minutes before the record closes, so you may want to take this into account when submitting your comments, as electronic comments received after midnight on July 20 going into July 21 will not be considered timely filed.

The comment instructions and information are also included in the notice for this hearing. If you require any further information after the hearing on filing of comments, you may contact me at 217-558-8280, or you may contact our

Community Relations Coordinator, Barb Lieberoff, who is in the back, and she can be reached at 217-524-3038. Either of us will be glad to assist you.

During this hearing and during the comment period, all relevant comments, documents, or data will be placed into the hearing record as exhibits. Please send all written documents or data to my attention at Dean Studer, Hearing Officer, Mail Code No. 5, Regarding Gateway North Mine NPDES, Illinois EPA, 1021 North Grand Avenue East, P.O. Box 19276, Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276. This address is also listed on the public notice for the hearing tonight.

Please indicate the NPDES permit number IL0079481 or reference Gateway North Mine NPDES on your comments, to help ensure that they become part of this hearing record. I note that everyone registering or submitting written comments to the Illinois EPA in this matter will be notified of the final decision of the Illinois EPA.

I now ask Illinois EPA staff present here with me to introduce themselves and give a brief sentence as to their role in the review of this permit application. Then Mr. West will make a brief

statement. Following this, I will provide more specific instructions as to how comments will be accepted this evening.

MS. STEFANIE DIERS: Stefanie Diers, legal counsel for Illinois EPA.

MR. BOB MOSHER: I'm Bob Mosher. I'm in the Water Quality Standards Section, and I did the anti-degradation review and the water quality based effluent limit review for the permit.

MR. LARRY CRISLIP: I'm Larry Crislip with the Mine Pollution Control Program, and our group compiled all the information from the Applicant and in-house from the Water Standards Unit and the Groundwater Section and drafted the permit we're here for tonight.

MR. STUDER: Thank you. Mr. West, did you want to make a statement this evening? I would ask that at the microphone, that you do speak up, since your back is toward the audience.

MR. BRYCE WEST: Okay. Thank you.

Peabody Gateway North Mining has applied for a permit to construct and operate an underground mine with surface facilities located in Randolph County. At maximum production, the mine would employ more than 340 full-time workers. Gateway North Mine will be

committed to conducting its mining operation in a responsible and ethical manner that protects the environment and restores the land, using best management practices.

2.0

The company and mine are further committed to continuous improvement efforts in all aspects of our operation, including environmental stewardship, utilizing both well-trained staff and the necessary resources to comply with all permit requirements.

Peabody Gateway North Mining has made significant investments to ensure that the mine will be designed, constructed, and operated using state-of-the-art engineering and operating systems and controls, and will continue pursuing environmental operating improvements throughout the mine life.

The management of Gateway North Mining and Peabody look forward to resolving any remaining issues regarding this permit and receiving the appropriate approval as soon as possible. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you, Mr. West.

While the issues raised tonight may indeed be
heartfelt concerns to many of us in attendance,
applause is not appropriate during the course of this

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

hearing. In a similar manner, booing, hissing, and jeering are also not appropriate and will not be allowed this evening.

Secondly, statements made tonight that are not -- excuse me -- statements that are made tonight are to be related to the issues involved with the NPDES permit. Specifically, statements and comments that are of a personal nature or reflect on the character or motive of a person or group of people are not appropriate in this hearing. If statements or comments begin to drift into this area, I may interrupt the person speaking.

As hearing officer, I intend to treat everyone here in a professional manner and with respect. I ask that the same respect be shown to those providing comments, as well as those responding to comments. If the conduct of persons attending this hearing should become unruly, or if members of the public do not follow these directives, I am authorized to adjourn this hearing should the actions warrant.

In such a case, Illinois EPA would continue to accept written comments through the time period indicated in the notice for this hearing, and would make a decision based on the record at the

close of the comment period.

Since we have a limited time in which to conduct this hearing, Illinois EPA staff members will be responding to issues only when necessary. We are primarily here tonight to listen to environmental issues under the administrative control of the Illinois EPA.

not appropriate and will not be allowed during this hearing. You may disagree with or object to some of the statements and comments made tonight, but this is a public hearing, and everyone present here tonight has a right to express their comments on this draft permit and issues related to it.

You are not required to provide your comments orally. Written comments are given the same consideration and may be submitted to the Illinois EPA at any time within the public comment period, which runs through midnight on July 20, 2012. Although we will continue to accept comments through that date, tonight is the only time that we will accept oral comments.

Any person who wishes to make an oral comment may do so, as long as the statements are relevant to the issues at hand and time allows. If

you have lengthy comments, please submit them to me in writing before the close of the comment period, and I will ensure that they are included in the hearing record as an exhibit. If your comments fall outside the scope of this hearing, I may ask you to proceed to another issue.

For the purpose of allowing everyone to have a chance to comment, and to ensure that we conduct this hearing in a timely fashion, I am imposing a time limit of four minutes per speaker. I will attempt to indicate when you have 30 seconds left, so that you can finish within the time frame. This should allow everyone that desires to speak to have the opportunity to do so.

In addition, I'd like to stress that we want to avoid unnecessary repetition. If anyone before you has already presented a statement or comment that is contained in your comments, I ask that you skip over those issues when you speak. If someone has already said what you intended to say, you may pass when I call your name to come forward.

Once a point is made, it makes no difference if the point is made once or whether it's made 99 times, it will only be reflected once in the responsiveness summary and is not given any more

weight simply because multiple people have commented on it.

All comments will become part of the official record. After everyone that has registered to speak has had an opportunity to do so, and provided that time permits, I may allow those who initially did not desire to speak to do so. In the event that we cannot accommodate everyone who wishes to make comments this evening, or if you run out of time before you complete your comments, you do have the option to submit your comments to us in writing.

Written comments, as I indicated, are given the same weight as comments made orally at this hearing, and the written comments do need to be filed within the comment period. All comments which are timely filed will be considered by the Illinois EPA in reaching a final decision in this matter.

We have a court reporter here, who is taking a record of these proceedings for the purpose of us putting together our administrative record.

Therefore, for her benefit, please keep general background noise in the room to a minimum, so that she can hear everything that is said. Illinois EPA will post a transcript of this hearing on the Web page in the same general place where the hearing

notice fact sheet and draft permit have been posted. It is my desire to have this posted in about two to two and a half weeks following the close of this hearing, but the actual time will depend on when I receive the transcript.

When I call your name, please come forward, state your name, and if applicable, any governmental body, organization, or association that you represent. If you are not representing a governmental body, organization, or association, you may simply indicate that you are a concerned citizen or a member of the public. For the benefit of the court reporter, I ask that you spell your last name. If there are alternate spellings for your first name, you may also spell your first name.

Once you spell your name, I will start timing you, and you will have four minutes to complete your comments. I ask that while you are speaking, that you direct your comments and attention to the hearing panel and to the court reporter, to ensure that an accurate record of your comments can be made. Prolonged dialogue with members of the hearing panel or with others here in attendance will not be permitted. Comments directed to other members of the public are not allowed.

Again, I remind everyone that the focus of this hearing is the environmental issues associated with the NPDES permit. Comments should be focused on issues relevant to this proceeding. If comments begin to move into areas not relevant to this proceeding, I will interrupt you and ask that you move to your next issue. People who have requested to speak will be called upon in the order that I have in front of me based on the registration cards.

Are there any questions regarding the procedures that I'll be using tonight for conducting this hearing? Let the record indicate that there were no hands raised. Okay. The first person to speak is Charles Norman, if you would come forward to the podium. And after Charles will be Richard Schultz. If you do not want to speak, please indicate when I read your name the first time that you pass, and we'll go to the next person. Go ahead, Mr. Norman.

MR. CHARLES NORMAN: Norman,

N-O-R-M-A-N, is my last name.

MR. STUDER: First name is Charles.

MR. NORMAN: First name is Charles.

25 | Sorry.

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

MR. STUDER: Go ahead.

MR. NORMAN: Like I said, my name is Charles Norman, and I'm here in support of this permit for Gateway Mine, Gateway North. I currently work for them, and I know I've never seen a company that is so committed to environmental issues as this company is. You know, a lot of times they send out newsletters saying, you know, the things they do at different mines after they're done mining, the way they reclaim it, and the way they turn everything back to the way it should've been. And it's very impressive to me the way that they take environmental issues into consideration.

You know, this is probably one of the best places I ever worked, and I truly enjoy working there and doing my job. And I enjoy being around this area. I enjoy the outdoors. And I know that a lot of times, you know, big companies get a bad reputation for destroying the environment and trying to, you know, I don't know, squash the little guy.

And one of the things Peabody does is, you know, they do take a lot of pride in the way that they do treat the environment, the way they try to make sure that they're in compliance with all the rules and regulations. And I truly enjoy working for

this company, and I am in support of this, that they 1 should be able to receive this permit. That's all I 3 have. Thank you. 4 MR. STUDER: Thank you. Richard 5 Schultz, did you want to speak this evening? 6 MR. RICHARD SCHULTZ: I agree with 7 everything he said. 8 Okay. I'm going to ask MR. STUDER: 9 that you not make comments from where you're seated, 10 simply because it's really difficult to hear what 11 they are, and so they won't be recorded. But it's my 12 understanding you agreed with what was said earlier 13 and that you did not want to say anything 14 additional? 15 MR. SCHULTZ: Yes. 16 MR. STUDER: Okay. Thank you. Mildred 17 Patton. 18 MS. MILDRED PATTON: I agree with 19 everything he said, and I would not like to speak, 20 and I think you can hear me. 21 MR. STUDER: Marlin Wilson is the next 22 person. And following Mr. Wilson, we'll have Larry Holder. 23 24 MR. MARLIN WILSON: My first name is Marlin, M-A-R-L-I-N. Last name is Wilson,

> SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

W-I-L-S-O-N. I'm a landowner to the north of the project. And from looking at page 5 on your fact sheet, I was concerned before I came to the meeting, seeing the fact sheet about the water flow coming off of the mine site. After looking at the map, I can see that my property will have less water flow than what it would have normally.

That doesn't upset me, because the amount of water that came off there, off the projected mine area is quite a bit. And with them diverting it to the Outfall No. 002, I feel I won't have as much erosion on my property from this. So I'm glad to see how this is laid out, and I'm in favor of the way the water discharge is going to be handled. That's all of my comments.

MR. STUDER: Thank you. Larry Holder.

And Mr. Holder will be followed by Nick Androvandi?

Did I say it right?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Close.

MR. STUDER: Probably not close enough for -- but close enough for government work. Go ahead.

MR. LARRY HOLDER: My name is Larry Holder. Last name is H-O-L-D-E-R. I am the compliance manager at the Gateway Mine. I just want

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

to go on record that I am in full support of the new 1 2 Gateway Mine, Gateway North project, so that's my 3 comment. 4 MR. STUDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Holder. 5 6 MR. NICK ANDROVANDI: Good evening. 7 MR. STUDER: John Brookshire will be 8 the next person. Go ahead. 9 MR. ANDROVANDI: Nick Androvandi, 10 A-N-D-R-O-V-A-N-D-I. I'd like to go on the record 11 that I do support and am very much in favor of the 12 Gateway North project. And as part of the management 13 team, I can state that Peabody as of right now do 14 abide and, uh, by all regulations, state and federal, 15 and we intend to continue doing that to the best of 16 our abilities. 17 And as I'd like to also state that 18 Peabody is a very good company to work for and very aware of the environment, and will do everything in 19 20 its ability to keep it the way it is and put it back 21 in its same condition, if not better. Thank you. 22 MR. STUDER: Thank you. Brookshire is next, and he'll be followed by Jim 23 24 Atterberry.

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

MR. JOHN BROOKSHIRE: My name is John

Brookshire, B-R-O-O-K-S-H-I-R-E. And I'm an employee of Peabody Energy, and I support this water quality discharge permit for the Gateway North project.

MR. STUDER: Thank you, Mr.

Brookshire. Jim Atterberry, and he'll be followed by

Brookshire. Jim Atterberry, and he'll be followed by Bruce Waldman.

MR. ATTERBERRY: Jim Atterberry,

A-T-T-E-R-B-E-R-R-Y. I do not work for Peabody or

Gateway. For every coal mine job that's created,

there's four support jobs that are created. I'm here

to represent the support jobs that are created. My

company, we sell probably half a million product

lines. Among them are safety items and environmental

protection products.

And I can tell you that Peabody, when it comes to safety and environmental issues, there's no dollar limit that is not acceptable, so I can testify to that firsthand. And I'd just like to say, I do support Gateway North.

MR. STUDER: Thank you, Mr.

Atterberry. Bruce Waldman, did you want to speak
this evening? Bruce will be followed by it looks
like, oh, boy, Tom Jeter, or I can't quite read the
writing. Go ahead.

MR. BRUCE WALDMAN: My name is Bruce

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

```
Waldman, W-A-L-D-M-A-N. I also work for Peabody
 1
 2
     Energy Gateway Mine as the safety manager at the
 3
            I deal with health and compliance issues, so
     I'm very aware of things underground, not as much
 4
     aware of things on the surface. So I appreciate the
 5
     Illinois Environmental Protection Agency working
 6
 7
     together with Peabody to ensure that the water
 8
     discharge is in compliance with all regulations, and
     I support this issue.
 9
10
                   MR. STUDER:
                                Thank you.
                                            Okay.
     looks like it's Tom, it might be Jeter?
11
12
                   MR. TOM JETER:
                                   I support everything
13
     that Bruce Waldman just said. My name is Tom Jeter,
14
     J-E-T-E-R.
15
                   MR. STUDER: For the record, is your
     name spelled J-E-T-E-R?
16
17
                   MR. JETER:
                               Yes, sir.
18
                   MR. STUDER:
                                And the first name is
19
           Joe Bullock, and he'll be followed by Suzanne
20
    Novoselac.
21
                   MR. JOE BULLOCK: My name is Joe
    Bullock, B-U-L-L-O-C-K. On the first paragraph of
22
23
    the paper that we were given tonight, it says
    tentative determination to issue this NPDES.
24
```

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

lived in Southern Illinois 35 years. I've worked in

the mines 23 years. I live in Franklin County. We have one mine operating there now, not to the issue of the EPA, but things that have went on in the coal industry.

I drive an hour every day to get to work. I would like to continue to drive that hour, so I'm hoping that this isn't a tentative determination, that this permit does come to fruition. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you, Mr. Bullock.

Suzanne, if I can say it, Novoselac? I probably

mispronounced that horribly. And that'll be followed

by James, it looks like Bowsher.

MS. SUZANNE NOVOSELAC: Okay. My name is Suzanne Novoselac. It's spelled N-O-V-O-S-E-L-A-C. I'm a member of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Coulterville. Nobody's addressed this yet, but I'm sure someone will, would be concerns about the water quality of Coulterville Lake, because that's where we get our water supply from.

On page 6 of this fact sheet, it says potential causes of public and food processing water supply impairment are given as atrazine and manganese. Our atrazine levels are practically zero

now, and as well as our manganese levels. We'd like to see it stay that way.

The potential causes of aesthetic impairment are aquatic algae, and we've taken steps to correct the algae problem. We are considering very strongly bringing a water line from Sparta to Coulterville, and so that would help with the potential water supply problems.

But I want to go on record as saying that the Village of Coulterville is very much in favor of this project. The mine has been a really good partner to the Village. They've contributed a lot to various civic organizations and to our school, and to the Village itself for various events that we sponsor and take care of. So we are very happy to have Gateway Mine, Peabody in our neighborhood. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you. James, is it Bowsher?

MR. JAMES BOWSHER: Yes.

MR. STUDER: That'll be followed by Daniel Meier.

MR. BOWSHER: My name's James Bowsher, B-O-W-S-H-E-R, and I've also been an employee for

Peabody since I was a teenager. And I have been

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

Certified in water impoundments as an inspector, and I've also got my surface reclamation papers. And in the 33 years that I've been involved in mining, I've seen tremendous leaps forward in the steps that are taken to prevent pollutants, even airborne or runoff, either one.

I mean, it's a totally different ball game now than it was years ago. And there's great, great detail in the things we do to prevent pollution and the steps we take to keep, even keep the dust down so the dust don't even leave our permit areas. So I'm definitely in favor of this permit. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you. Daniel Meier, and he will be followed by Ronald Hampleman. Go ahead.

MR. DANIEL MEIER: My name is Daniel Meier. My last name is M-E-I-E-R. Peabody Energy has been a front-runner in coal mine reclamation and environmental protection, and I feel that they can continue to do that in our future, especially at the Gateway Mine, and I am in support of the project.

MR. STUDER: Thank you. Mr. Hampleman will be followed by Ryan Hampton.

MR. SCOTT HAMPLEMAN: I'm Scott

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

```
Hampleman, H-A-M-P-L-E-M-A-N. I'd like to go on
 1
 2
     record saying that I support the project. I also
 3
     work for Peabody. I've worked at other mines, but I
     can say that this mine is probably the best one that
 4
     I've ever worked at. And I'd like to also say that I
 5
 6
     don't think they would intentionally and willfully
 7
     pollute the water in any way, or purposely anyhow.
 8
     That's what I'd like to say.
 9
                   MR. STUDER: Thank you. Ryan Hampton,
10
     and Mr. Hampton will be followed by Jim Wilhelm.
11
                   MR. RYAN HAMPTON:
                                       My name is Ryan
12
     Hampton, H-A-M-P-T-O-N, and I support it, me and my
13
     family.
14
                   MR. STUDER:
                                Thank you.
                                             Jim Wilhelm.
     Mr. Wilhelm will be followed by David Fletcher, I'm
15
16
     sorry, Dave Fletcher.
17
                   MR. JIM WILHELM: My name is Jim
18
     Wilhelm, W-I-L-H-E-L-M, and I'm a manager for Peabody
19
     Coal Company, and I support Northway Gate (sic.)
2.0
     project.
21
                   MR. STUDER: Dave Fletcher. And Mr.
22
     Fletcher will be followed by Bud, it looks like
23
     Lytle. Go ahead.
24
                   MR. DAVE FLETCHER:
                                       Hi.
                                             My name is
```

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

I'm a Peabody

Dave Fletcher, F-L-E-T-C-H-E-R.

1 employee, and I am definitely in favor of this whole 2 project. And I gotta' say that I've been at one 3 other coal mine, and it's been a pretty rough place. 4 And I've been here since this place has been here. 5 It's provided many things for me. I drive an hour to 6 work, and it's enabled me to have a lot of things. 7 And I see the brochures that I get in the mail from 8 them all the time about how they --9 MR. STUDER: Just a minute. Can you 10 hear in the back? 11 AUDIENCE MEMBER: No. 12 MR. STUDER: If you could speak up so 13 they can hear. 14 The things that I see in MR. FLETCHER: 15 the mail that they send me on the things that they 16 do, their projects, it's pretty impressive that I can 17 see, that they try to reclaim the land and everything. And, you know, that makes me feel even 18 19 more important to be a part of this. And like I 20 said, because of this company, I mean, I'm able to be 21 a foster parent now, and I have more time to spend 22 with my family because of this place, compared to 23 where I was at before. So I support the project.

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

Thank you. Looks like

MR. STUDER:

James or otherwise goes by Bud, is it Lytle?

24

1 MR. JAMES LYTLE: I agree with 2 everything Dave said. 3 MR. STUDER: Okay. For the record, the last name is spelled L-Y-T-L-E. First name is, you 4 go by Bud? 5 6 MR. LYTLE: Yeah. 7 MR. STUDER: Okay. Roger Bowlin. 8 He'll be followed by Tony Lehr. 9 MR. ROGER BOWLIN: My name is Roger Bowlin, B-O-W-L-I-N, and I'm a maintenance manager on 10 11 surface out at the Gateway Prep Plant. I've had the 12 privilege of living in Sparta all my life. I also grew up around that mine area. I started in '76 at 13 14 Spartan Mines, so I've seen a lot of technology and a 15 lot of change. 16 Peabody Energy has gone beyond, above 17 and beyond anything I've ever seen before to keep all 18 the standards, all the regulations, and the safety. 19 And I'm more than in support of this project. 20 MR. STUDER: Thank you. Tony Lehr. As 21 he's coming forward, the next person after him will 22 be Mike Fullerton will be --23 MR. TONY LEHR: My name is Tony Lehr. 24 That's L-E-H-R.

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

MR. STUDER: You're probably going to

have to speak up. That's got a real --1 2 MR. LEHR: Tony --3 MR. STUDER: It doesn't work real well. 4 MR. LEHR: -- Lehr, L-E-H-R. And I'm 5 an adjacent landowner to the proposed permit. 6 want to go on record as saying I'm against the 7 location of this permit. I feel that it's too close 8 to the city of Coulterville. It's too close to my 9 house, several neighbors, too close to the current 10 nursing home. Coulterville Care Center is located 11 probably within a half mile of this proposed 12 location. 13 And I've said it over and over, I think 14 it's too close to town. I think it should be moved 15 If there's a proposed 17,000 acres of coal 16 approximately from what I can read out of this, they 17 should go to the middle of it, not to the corner, and 18 that's my main concern. Thank you. 19 Thank you. MR. STUDER: After Mike Fullerton, we'll have Gary 20 Fullerton. 21 Wilhelm. 22 MR. MIKE FULLERTON: Mike Fullerton, 23 F-U-L-L-E-R-T-O-N. I'm also an adjacent landowner to 24 this proposed area for permit, and being concerned of

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

its location and possible detriment to the city lake

and the purity of the water. I know there's several steps shown in here and tests that will be required. But as we all know, even though not at this time, we can see some pretty large rainfall, way above the projected average here. And I'm greatly concerned that this man-made control could handle such a rainfall and keep these levels of runoff at a safe level for the city reservoir, which I am a user of that water.

not seen a full direct digging of sediment ponds and other, it's surprising that much water release would be changed, and if so, what detrimental effect could that be on the level of water for the city reservoir, which is not any too big for supplying the coal mine, as well as the businesses and residents of Coulterville.

In addition to that, I see in here, and I wasn't sure on the explanation of no stockpiles that runoff could evade from. My understanding is, there will be a stacker located on this 172 acre permitted area, and I wondered how that differs from what I assume I read here.

MR. CRISLIP: Could you tell me where it, where that, where you read that?

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

1	MR. FULLERTON: Uh
2	MR. CRISLIP: Heh, heh, heh. I don't
3	recall that being in there.
4	MR. FULLERTON: I can't stand here and
5	come right to it. I should've marked it.
6	MR. STUDER: That's okay.
7	MR. CRISLIP: There is proposed
8	stockpiles on the site. Those stockpiles will be
9	tributary to one of the sediment basins, but not to
10	the sediment basin that would discharge to the
11	watershed of Coulterville Lake.
12	MR. FULLERTON: Correct. So there
13	MR. CRISLIP: There will be a stockpile
14	there, yes.
15	MR. FULLERTON: There will be a
16	possible stockpile there?
17	MR. CRISLIP: If we indicated
18	otherwise, it was in error.
19	MR. FULLERTON: Okay. Is there any
20	designation by your department as to how large that
21	stockpile can become?
22	MR. CRISLIP: There's no set limit in
23	our permit, no.
24	MR. STUDER: We don't have the
25	authority to limit that.

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

MR. FULLERTON: Okay.

MR. STUDER: DNR may have that authority, but we definitely do not.

MR. FULLERTON: So the discharge, as I understood from this, would be in addition to the Mary's River discharge, which is already, in my opinion, past limits, because below the coal mine, it's obvious that there's no vegetation where this water flow is. And from time to time, there has been documented high levels in that area.

And I'm greatly concerned that the addition of another 25-year mine could be more than the Mary's River could withstand, because we obviously know that the sediment is going to be added to that, as well as adding water flow to the west, which I assume is Plum Creek area? Maybe that's not right, but --

And so I'm additionally concerned that those extreme levels from another 25 years of slurry and sediment could greatly affect not only our immediate area, but the area to the south, which has additional coal mine runoff from our south. So I'm greatly concerned about the location and the additional time that we're going to see residue and runoff. Thank you.

1 MR. STUDER: Thank you. We've gone the 2 time, so thank you. Gary Wilhelm. And following Mr. 3 Wilhelm will be Joyce Blumenshine. 4 MR. GARY WILHELM: Gary Wilhelm, W-I-L-H-E-L-M. Peabody --5 MR. STUDER: Can you speak up, so they 6 7 can hear you. MR. GARY WILHELM: Peabody has always 8 9 been an environmentally responsible company. 10 fact, they win numerous awards for reclamation and 11 for most of their properties in the way they treat the environment, so I'm in favor of this project for 12 Gateway North. Thank you. 13 Thank you, Mr. Wilhelm. 14 MR. STUDER: Joyce Blumenshine, and Joyce will be followed by 15 16 Cindy Skrukrud. MS. JOYCE BLUMENSHINE: Thank you, Mr. 17 Studer and members of the IEPA. I appreciate your 18 19 time tonight. I am Joyce Blumenshine, 20 B-L-U-M-E-N-S-H-I-N-E. I am a volunteer with the Illinois Chapter of the Sierra Club, and I receive 21 22 not one penny for being here tonight or for anything from Sierra Club. I am an individual concerned about 23 protection of our water and land resources for future 24

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

And I have seen communities such as

25

generations.

Coulterville that have been inflicted for decades with coal mines, and I am concerned about the future health and safety of this community.

б

I had a couple questions, please, and some comments. And first, I would like to ask Mr. Crislip, if possible, I noted regarding to follow up a little bit on the coal storage stockpiles. There's only a two-foot kind of compacted clay under that. Is it any standard with your agency to require a plastic liner and even more depth? I wondered how the two-foot compacted clay was determined, sir.

MR. CRISLIP: The liners are all site-specific evaluations and determined by our Groundwater Section, and we don't have any representatives from that section here tonight.

MS. BLUMENSHINE: That's too bad. I'm sorry. I just, I would just express a concern from my looking at the maps, and I'm no expert, but it looks like a lot of that area is ground that has a high water table. And even though the direct drainage might not be going directly into the Outfall 002 to Coulterville public water supply, if there's coal, and if the groundwater table's high, I just wonder if there is a concern that there could be seepage or other contamination at some point over the

long years that this project could well go that could affect the public water supply. So I appreciate your listening to my concern about that.

I appreciated hearing about the dedication of the Peabody workers. In this day and age, everybody needs a job, and it's something very special to have a job, and I fully respect that. I am concerned that the future of this mine jeopardizes the town of Coulterville, and here's a couple of reasons why.

When the original Gateway Mine was started in 1985, I think a lot of people thought it would not last this long. And in fact, it's not clear to me if the 340 workers listed in the anti-degradation comment on the purpose and social and economic benefits are just at Gateway North or if, more likely, that's for the site including the processing plant. Maybe somebody could clarify that at some point.

so I think if that's the case, we're not talking about just Gateway North, because that could not survive on its own. It looks to me like it depends on the coal processing plant from the old 1985 coal works. So what we're looking at is a kind of piecemeal situation, where an old mine that has

been around a long time is now being expanded for decades more.

And just to elaborate a little bit on the purpose and economic benefits, I had a couple items to turn in for the record, please, a "New York Times" article tallying coal's hidden cost. Harvard Medical School Center for Health and Global Environment tries to put a price tag on coal's hidden cost.

Most concerted estimate on cost of coal, 175 billion a year, and that would double the average of coal-fired electricity. We're talking about well-documented public health impacts, like lung and heart disease, the other costs attributed to climate change and other environmental impacts. Much remains unknown about the public health dimensions of coal mining processing and combustion.

I respectfully submit that this purpose and social and economic benefits is not a fair and just representation. And I hope in the future, Peabody Corporation, with their many dedicated employees, would reflect more fully the extent of options in addition to coal.

We are looking at a future here in Illinois. And I have a couple articles on the

increases in wind energy, which I won't take all your time to talk about. But in 2011, Illinois was second in the entire nation for most capacity of wind energy installed. And I have some data on that I'll turn in.

Also, Illinois wind farms and growth, from the Center for Renewable Energy, states: A number of factors have contributed to rapid growth of wind power capacity in Illinois from 50 megawatts in 2003 to 2,443 in 2011 and continuing to increase. Illinois is now seventh as of 2011 in the United States for wind capacity.

We have other options. We don't have to just look at continuing and continuing and continuing to mine coal for coal's sake. Wind power does not contaminate water with pollutants such as mercury. It generates electricity without emitting gases that may contribute to climate change. I add another comment for the environmental concerns, and that is the topic of environmental justice. That is an issue of concern to our state.

MR. STUDER: We are approaching the time limit.

MS. BLUMENSHINE: I have an article:
Governor Quinn signs bill creating Environmental

Justice Commission. Environmental justice is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies.

And related to that is the health score cord, the pollution indicator for Randolph County. .

I'm sorry it doesn't go specifically just to

Coulterville. But for Randolph County in 2002, the ranking here is that it is among the dirtiest and worst counties in the entire country, in the upper hundredth percentile for cancer risk and for air and water releases of pollutants.

Related to that is a statistic of concern that I don't know if people here are aware of, but Coulterville, I'm sorry, Randolph County is listed as one of the highest in the area for infant mortality, 11.19 deaths per thousand of children, versus the Illinois average 7.96. Something is wrong here. I don't know what it is, but I'm concerned about the environment, for the people and the future of this area.

MR. STUDER: Ms. Blumenshine, did you want to submit those?

1 MS. BLUMENSHINE: Yes, of course. I'm 2 so sorry. 3 MR. STUDER: We are at the time limit. 4 MS. BLUMENSHINE: Thank you very much. 5 I appreciate your time. And I will enter those as 6 MR. STUDER: 7 exhibits into the hearing record. Cindy Skrukrud. 8 Ms. Skrukrud will be followed by it looks like George, is it Dawe? Go ahead, Cindy. 9 I'm sorry. 10 MS. CINDY SKRUKRUD: No problem. 11 you for the opportunity to comment tonight. My name 12 is Cindy Skrukrud. My last name is spelled S-K-R-U-K-R-U-D. And I'm the clean water advocate 13 14 for the Illinois Chapter of the Sierra Club. 15 here tonight on behalf of myself and Sierra Club 16 members who have concerns regarding the impact of 17 this proposed new mine on water quality, both in 18 Mary's River and in Coulterville Lake, that I've 19 already heard is important as a drinking water source 20 for the Village of Coulterville. 21 And I've got some things I wanted to 22 commented on, and then I thought I'd start off with some questions I had about the public notice and 23 24 draft permit. First, on page 3 and 4 of the public 25 notice fact sheet, and then again on page 3 of the

draft permit, well, page 2 and 3, it states that both 1 2 Outfalls 1 and 2 will have dry weather discharges and 3 mine pumpage. And I'm especially concerned, and my question is, is that true, that there will be mine 4 5 pumpage to Outfall 002 to Coulterville Lake? MR. CRISLIP: I'll have to research 6 I don't recall that. I didn't think there was 7 that. 8 pumpage proposed to that outfall, but I'll need to 9 research that. 10 MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Good. I would recommend that to you, that this permit should not be 11 12 issued with mine pumpage to a drinking water supply. 13 MR. CRISLIP: Thank you for bringing 14 that up. 15 MS. SKRUKRUD: Then looking at, then looking at the anti-degradation assessment, I wanted 16 17 to again talk about mine pumpage. I guess my first 18 question is, looking at the assessment of 19 alternatives, what's the basis for the Agency 20 determining that these different treatment options are infeasible? Is it all based on what Peabody has 21 22 supplied to you, or is there, do you do independent 23 review of the literature? 24 MR. MOSHER: The Applicant is

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

responsible for the anti-degradation assessment,

25

including the alternatives analysis. The Agency reviews that and uses its professional judgment to agree or disagree with the conclusions submitted by the Applicant. In this case, we did agree that the treatment provided what was the reasonable alternative.

MS. SKRUKRUD: So I've been reading the literature. I was looking at a paper on review of sulfate removal options for mine waters, and that was one, in that paper they concluded that biological sulfate reduction was probably the most viable alternative. They suggest that can be employed in underground mines or in surface ponds. And so I had a question -- that's essentially a bioreactor.

So in the section under biological treatment and wetlands and reactors, it's stated that the intermittent nature of storm-water runoff is one of the obstacles to employing this type of treatment. And so again, getting back to mine pumpage, isn't, aren't the plans to pump out of the mine into Outfall 001, so couldn't this technique be employed for that outfall?

MR. MOSHER: Cindy, you're going to give us a copy of the paper you're referencing?

MS. SKRUKRUD: Yes, I can.

MR. MOSHER: Okay. And if you'd be kind enough to do that, we'll review it, and we'll answer your question in our responsiveness summary.

MR. STUDER: You can, you can, you know, if you need that for your purposes, you can submit a copy with written comments if you'd like, as long as we get it in the record at some point so we've got it to review.

MS. SKRUKRUD: Yes. I will do that.

So my point there is, you know, as I understand it,
that there won't be just intermittent discharges from
this mine, and certainly at the outfalls where
there's mine pumpage, that some of these alternatives
could be employed. Let's see. Next. Then turning
to page 14 of the draft permit, could you, could you
explain to me when special, what special condition 10
is referring to?

MR. CRISLIP: Special condition 10 refers to the status of the outfalls and the monitoring required that is listed on page 6 of the draft permit. And as I turn back to page 6, I see there's a typo. That page 6 references special condition 9. It should reference special condition 10.

MS. SKRUKRUD: Oh, okay. Thank you.

That helps. Then under special condition 12 talks 1 about sampling that's going to be, monitoring that's going to be required in both the tributary to Mary's River and the tributary to Coulterville Lake. would recommend, in addition to pollutants that are already listed here, that manganese, total phosphorus, and total suspended solids should be included in the list of parameters that are monitored for in the tributary to Coulterville Lake, since the lake is already impaired for those pollutants. And on that theme, looking back at the proposed limits for Outfall 2, I would recommend that the total suspended solids limit should apply under all discharge conditions, as the lake is already impaired for due to total suspended solids. MR. STUDER: We're approaching the time limit, too. Okay. Well, then let me MS. SKRUKRUD: -- I got one -- I've got more questions, but I can maybe come back later. MR. STUDER: Yeah. We've got another hour yet. MS. SKRUKRUD: I'll just skip back to the anti-degradation assessment. The anti-degradation assessment assessed that all public

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and food processing water supply water quality standards will be met in the effluent. Increases in lake concentration of chloride and sulfate will be in terms of a few milligrams per liter.

And I see while it's true that the limits for Outfall 2 are set at the chloride and sulfate standards for public and food processing water supplies, I question -- can you answer why the TDS limit is set at 500 milligrams, why the TDS limit isn't set at 500 milligrams per liter, which is the public water supply standard?

MR. MOSHER: It sounds like you may have found a discrepancy in our work. And we'll take a look at that, and we'll explain what happened in the responsiveness summary.

MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you, Cindy. George, is it Dawe? And he'll be followed by Kathy Andria.

MR. GEORGE DAWE: Good evening. My name is George Dawe. It's spelled D-A-W-E. And I, too, am an employee at Peabody Energy's Gateway Mine and fully support the Gateway North project. One thing that comes to mind, and it's just an opinion. We're concerned about water quality and everything. And we at the present time at the Gateway Mine

utilize water from Coulterville, and have intentions
of using that water in the future at the Gateway
North project.

And I think that the company is not going to do anything to jeopardize not only the employees of the Gateway Mine, but also the people of Coulterville as far as water quality's concerned. And they've proven in the past, you know, taken responsibility and stewardship for monitoring the environment. And again, I think that that will take place at Gateway North. And again, I do support the project. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you, Mr. Dawe.

Kathy Andria. And Kathy will be followed by Brian

Perbix.

MS. KATHY ANDRIA: My name is Kathy Andria, Kathy with a K, A-N-D-R-I-A. I'm Conservation Chair for the Kaskaskia Group of the Sierra Club and president of American Bottom Conservancy. I'm also concerned -- Mike Fullerton earlier talked about he was concerned about impacts to Mary's River. We have -- and others spoke about the impacts to Coulterville Lake. We have members who get their water from Coulterville and from Coulterville Lake and from the Kaskaskia River.

I'm also, I'm very confused, because there were a number of people earlier who spoke about the good environmental record and compliance of Peabody in the current mine. And I have today, that was downloaded from the Internet today from the EPA ECHO site. The current operations and the three-year compliance status by quarter, of the 12 quarters, they have been out of compliance in 11 of them, in the 11 most recent quarters.

They've been out of compliance for iron, for settleable solids, for total suspended solids, 200 percent over. With settleable solids -- that's hard to say, I couldn't believe that, 19,900 percent over the limits. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen any numbers so high. So I really dispute all of the claims of being in compliance.

And if they're out of compliance now, then when you have new operations, then you're gonna' have cumulative impacts, and that's going to impact the people who get the water out of the lake. And the woman from Coulterville said they're considering something else. But if they treat the current water, that's going to be a cost to the Village, which will go to the rate payers. Maybe they have a sophisticated water treatment plant. I don't know.

But whatever it is, their rate payers will pay higher costs for pollution of mining operations and their people who drink the water.

2.0

I'm also -- I don't think they
currently, they have no backup to the water supply,
and they're already impaired for manganese. And I
wondered, Cindy had brought up about alternative
treatments, and so I have a question. Other mines in
the state are using wetlands as treatment, and I
wondered whether, is it Peabody that says, 'cause you
say it's infeasible here. Is it Peabody who said
it's infeasible, or are you telling us it's
infeasible? And if it is, why?

MR. MOSHER: Peabody did conclude that other treatment alternatives were not feasible in their anti-degradation assessment. And I'll let Larry speak for himself here, but he's the mine engineer, and if an alternative treatment was preferred or required, I assume you would put that in the permit and require that of Peabody.

MR. CRISLIP: We would've added that in the permit and considered that. If you could provide any information on the other facilities in Illinois that's currently using that technology, we would appreciate it, and we could review that.

1 MS. ANDRIA: And then I have one last 2 question. I'm not a water expert, so I have to rely 3 on Cindy Skrukrud and other people who know much more 4 about these. But I've seen the special condition 5 one, no effluent from any mine-related facility area 6 under this permit shall, alone or in combination with 7 other sources, cause a violation of any applicable 8 water quality standard as set out by the Illinois 9 Pollution Control Board rules and regulations. 10 Okay. All of your permits say you can't violate the standards. Here I've got the 11 compliance status showing that they violated, had 12 13 violations 11 out of 12 times. They did. What do you do about it? What happens? How will you address 14 If they're already polluting, how do you address 15 the future? 16 17 MS. DIERS: You're talking about what 18 you found on ECHO? 19 MS. ANDRIA: Yes. 20 MS. DIERS: And are you looking at Is that the information? 21 Gateway? 22 MS. ANDRIA: That's the current mine operations is my understanding. 23 24 MS. DIERS: I would ask first that you submit that information, if you can, what you pulled 25

off ECHO, and we can compare what we're seeing on ECHO with what we put in our database, because they're not always the same when they catch up with each other, so we have to review that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And also, if we are finding they're out of compliance, we have enforcement that we can take So if we're seeing people are out of action on. compliance, we can look at it, we can go through violation notices, etc., through our statute. you're seeing that, we would ask that you submit it, so we can compare what ECHO's showing to what our stuff is showing, 'cause there have been discrepancies we're finding. So it shows people are out of compliance based on ECHO, but they're not really out of compliance when we compare with our database. So there's issues with people looking at ECHO and what we're doing. So we would need to see that.

MS. ANDRIA: It says the Permit IL0062189, Coulterville Coal Company, Gateway. I would assume that's this mine.

MS. DIERS: Okay. If you could submit what you have, that would be great. We can look at it. And like I said, we have violations and statutes that we can go after if they're violating. So there

are mechanisms to make sure they're in compliance with their permit.

MS. ANDRIA: It's just that I've seen this so many times. You say, well, they won't violate, and then they do. And then people have water, they have to replace the water. There are a lot of people in Coulterville who claim that they have high rates of cancer, they have high rates of multiple sclerosis and other diseases, and they believe that it's from water runoff from the acid mine drainage and from all kinds of things in their water.

So, you know, I think you guys, you have to really, really, really consider what you're doing to a community when you make these decisions, and not just look at it in coal with the legislation and the rules and what you're doing, but think about what you're doing to a community. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you, Ms. Andria. Brian Perbix.

MR. BRIAN PERBIX: Thank you for the opportunity to comment this evening, the IEPA for making the trip down here. My name is Brian Perbix. That's Brian with an I, P-E-R-B-I-X. And I'm here on behalf of the Prairie Rivers Network. Prairie Rivers

Network is the State of Illinois' affiliate of the National Wildlife Federation, and we're here on behalf of our members, who include folks in Randolph and Washington Counties who live, recreate in, and rely on the Mud Creek and Mary's River watershed for recreation, fishing, drinking water, and other uses.

We're concerned about the water quality discharge permit that's been proposed by Illinois EPA, specifically whether or not the additional pollution coming from the expansion at the Gateway Mine is necessary, first of all, and second, if the permit complies with the relevant regulations.

So considering the limited time, I'm just gonna' jump in with questions and comments.

First, I'd like to start with water quality standards, where Ms. Skrukrud left off. With regard to Outfall 002, considering the Coulterville Reservoir is considered impaired for manganese, why then is there no standard at all listed for manganese, or excuse me, effluent limit?

MR. CRISLIP: There's no limit for manganese on that outfall, because we determined there was no source material that was tributary to that outfall.

MR. PERBIX: So no earth-moving disruption, anything like that?

MR. CRISLIP: Well, there's disturbed earth, but no coal or coal-related materials or anything like that.

MR. PERBIX: Okay. Well, we would suggest that the permit include effluent limit on manganese, considering the impaired status of Coulterville Lake for that constituent. And then also I just had a question, I think this would be for Mr. Mosher, about how the iron limits were developed for the permit.

You know, I think Mr. Fullerton earlier alluded to the fact that downstream Mary's River often runs red. And I'll submit, not tonight, but with written comments, some inspection reports from IDNR that indicate, you know, downstream of these mining complexes here, you know, values as high as 58 milligrams per liter of iron in the stream bed. And so, you know, I see that there's a 3 to 6 milligram per liter total iron limit for both outfalls. But considering the high levels that we're seeing showing up downstream, how can we be permitting additional releases of iron from these sources?

MR. MOSHER: Well, those iron limits

1 | are not water quality standards based limits.

2 | They're effluent limits. Our water quality standard

3 | for iron is dissolved iron. There's no reason to put

4 | a dissolved iron limit in the permit, because pH is

5 | regulated, and iron won't become dissolved under the

6 | pH's required in the effluent, based on the permit

7 | limit. So Larry, you can describe where that iron

8 | limit comes from.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CRISLIP: The iron limit comes from our Subtitle D regulations in 406-106, and those limits are set as a new source standard.

MR. PERBIX: And then switching gears a little to talk about anti-degradation. You know, with such a small footprint for this proposed facility, in looking through the anti-degradation analysis, you know, we really question whether or not the Agency has assured that all technically and economically reasonable measures to avoid pollutant loading have been incorporated into the permit, specifically with respect to a no-discharge option.

Maybe I missed it, but I didn't see, were cost estimates provided of, you know, what size of a catchment basin would be required to, you know, capture all the runoff from this facility, and how much would that cost?

1 MR. MOSHER: Are you talking about a 2 catchment basin that would never discharge? 3 MR. PERBIX: Well, either never discharge or feed into what I believe already exists, 4 the closed loop slurry system where water is already 5 6 being recycled for washing coal and dust control, dust suppression on site at the existing facilities. 7 8 MR. MOSHER: So I think you're talking about transporting the water from the new outfalls 9 south to the existing coal processing area? 10 MR. PERBIX: Well, I'm wondering about 11 either a no-discharge option or possibly a limited 12 discharge, combined with, you know, I think that 13 Outfall 001 is already rerouting some drainage from 14 Coulterville Lake into Mary's River. And so that 15 water's going that way anyhow is my understanding 16 So if it's based on some overland flow diversions. 17 going that way anyways, I guess I wonder why it 18 couldn't go through the slurry loop first, as opposed 19 to straight into the Mary's River. 20 MR. MOSHER: You know, I don't think 21 22 that was an alternative that was considered, so now that you bring that up, we will consider it and will 23 give our findings in the responsiveness summary. 24

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

MR. PERBIX:

25

Thanks. And I would add,

	too, another alternative that we think ought to be
2	considered, because we do know of Illinois mines that
3	are beginning to implement biological treatment
4	methods, would be artificially constructed treatment
5	wetlands, which possibly could be used to attenuate
6	some of those high flow events if you were trying to
7	catch more and more precipitation but did have a
8	disturbance or some high rainfall events.
9	MR. MOSHER: Excuse me. Are your
10	examples of Illinois mines that are using wetlands is
11	I think what you said?
12	MR. PERBIX: Yes.
13	MR. MOSHER: Are they going to be the
14	same as Kathy's or
15	MR. PERBIX: Probably, yes.
16	MR. MOSHER: Okay.
17	MR. PERBIX: One more?
	MR. FERDIA. OHE MOLE:
18	MR. FERBIX. One more:  MR. STUDER: I'm sorry?
18	MR. STUDER: I'm sorry?
18	MR. STUDER: I'm sorry?  MR. PERBIX: Do I have one more
18 19 20	MR. STUDER: I'm sorry?  MR. PERBIX: Do I have one more  minute?
18 19 20 21	MR. STUDER: I'm sorry?  MR. PERBIX: Do I have one more  minute?  MR. STUDER: Yeah, I'll give you
18 19 20 21 22	MR. STUDER: I'm sorry?  MR. PERBIX: Do I have one more  minute?  MR. STUDER: Yeah, I'll give you  another minute.

require consideration of, you know, the scope of the proposed activity. Of course, you know, we're not looking at the full scope of the proposed activity on site. We're just looking at the portal. And so I'm wondering why, you know, this isn't being incorporated with the existing facilities.

But also, I know that DNR has on file a permit application for expanded refuse disposal area to the west of the existing facilities. And in particular, it seems like because that refuse will be coming from this mine portal, that the NPDES, the full sweep of, you know, NPDES questions ought to be answered about both of those activities at the same time.

You know, we're concerned that if the portal's permitted now, it might be five to ten years before we get to permitting for the refuse disposal area, unless I'm missing something.

MR. CRISLIP: We'll address that in the responsiveness summary.

MR. PERBIX: Thank you. Okay. Thank you so much.

MR. STUDER: Okay. That concludes the first round of going through those that have registered to speak. And as customary, is there

anyone in the room this evening that has not spoken that would like to do so? If you'd come forward, and state your name and spell it for the court reporter, I'd appreciate it.

MR. SCOTT RUST: Hi. My name is Robert Scott Rust, R-U-S-T. I am the current Village President of the Village of Coulterville, and I'm speaking on behalf of the Village. I wanted to repeat over some information that you were given a few minutes ago.

Currently our water supply is out of the Coulterville Lake. We are able to produce water, clean water. We pass all EPA standards. We do have a secondary water source currently, which is Washington County Water, in the case of an emergency. We, as the Village of Coulterville, don't see any, have any fear that this mine is going to impede our ability to provide our citizens with good, clean water.

Currently the coal mine accounts for roughly 8 percent of our total budget for the Village of Coulterville. With the loss of this coal mine, we would not be able to offer the services that we currently offer our citizens, mainly our police force. And somebody brought up the comment about

with the coal mine, we would have to increase our water rates. Without the coal mine, our water rates would go through the roof, because currently the coal mine provides for about half of our total water bill monthly. So the coal mine's a huge economic benefactor to the community of Coulterville.

We also currently are in agreement to, if they pass the permit, we have to supply them with sewer service, which would be another economic benefit. And that's not counting the amount of business that the employees give our community businesses and the jobs that they provide our citizens.

So the Village of Coulterville is a great, is in support of the coal mine, and they have been a great friend to us. Always, whenever we've asked them for help, they've assisted us in our parks and assisted us with people and equipment. And I would fully ask for you guys' support and allow this coal mine to take place.

MR. STUDER: Thank you.

MR. RUST: Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Is there anyone else in the room that has not spoken this evening that would like to make a comment on the record? Okay. Let the

record indicate that no one raised their hand.

Okay. I would like to see a show of hands of those that have already spoken but have additional comments they would like to make. Depending on the number of people, I will limit the comment, and it's going to be roughly just a summary of what, if there's anyone that wants to summarize, I'll give you up to two minutes to summarize.

Could I see a show of hands of those that have already spoken that would like to speak? There's one, two, three? Okay. Four. Okay. We'll go ahead and go in the order that I saw the hands. Cindy? I'll give you two additional minutes here. And there's a total of four people, so we should be concluded in about eight minutes or so. Go ahead.

MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. I think I have three questions, three questions. One, that there's a TMDL is currently being developed for Coulterville Lake. It's at stage 3. I think that the permitting section needs to take into account that TMDL and that this permit may well need to be limited to be in line with that TMDL.

I question why there is no total phosphorus limit at Outfall 2, given that the lake is already impaired due to phosphorus. Then I wanted to

1 give you a map. And this is a map that I printed out 2 from the Agency's Source Water Assessment Program, so 3 it was --4 MR. STUDER: Do you want this entered 5 as an exhibit? 6 Yes, please. MS. SKRUKRUD: 7 MR. STUDER: Okay. Go ahead. 8 MS. SKRUKRUD: So I printed out this map using the IEPA's on-line Source Water Assessment 9 10 Program tool. And as you can see, it shows not only 11 Coulterville Lake, but the watershed for the lake as a public water supply watershed. And so under the 12 13 Safe Drinking Water Act, I wanted to know, you know, what's the IEPA's policy for dealing with proposed 14 15 new sources of pollution within the watershed of a 16 public water supply. MS. DIERS: We'll have to look at it. 17 We'll look at it and do a responsiveness summary for 18 19 you. 20 MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Thank you. my last question is, looking at the anti-degradation 21

MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Thank you. Then my last question is, looking at the anti-degradation assessment, under the identification of proposed pollutant load increases or potential impacts on uses. In the second paragraph, it says that the impact, the input to the tributary to the lake was

22

23

24

25

modeled to show what the long-term overall effects 1 2 would be on lake water quality for seven parameters, 3 for several parameters. 4 And my question is, was that modeling done based on the proposed permit limits, or was it 5 done based on the projected discharge 6 7 concentrations? 8 So my argument would be, I think, from what I've seen in the files, that it was based on the 9 10 projected concentrations that are expected in the discharge. But that really to be looking at the 11 impact on the lake, that you should be modeling based 12 on the permit limits, because if granted, the permit 13 would allow pollutant levels up to the limits. 14 MR. MOSHER: We'll check that for you. 15 16 MS. SKRUKRUD: Okay. Thank you very 17 much. 18 MR. STUDER: Thank you. Yeah, yeah. 19 Go ahead, Brian. I'm sorry. MR. PERBIX: Thanks for the additional 20 two minutes. I just have two brief questions. 21 One, 22 could you verify how the waste, the sanitary wastewater will be handled from this facility? 23 24 MR. STUDER: Do you know? We do have information on

> SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

MR. CRISLIP:

25

that in the file, and I don't recall right off the 2 top of my head. 3 MR. PERBIX: Okay. And then second, since I put the idea in your head about transferring 4 5 the wastewater from North Gateway to existing facilities, I quess we'd also be, of course, 6 concerned about water withdrawals from Coulterville 7 Lake watershed and would be interested in --8 9 MR. MOSHER: So neither way will make 10 you happy? MR. PERBIX: Well, we're curious about 11 12 what you think of both and whether or not you've analyzed both impacts. So we're interested in what 13 14 you have to say on both points. I just wanted to 15 clarify that for the record. MR. STUDER: Thank you, Brian. 16 There 17 was someone in the --MR. FULLERTON: Mike Fullerton. And 18 19 that area located on page 7, in regards to my understanding, will not increase production or coal 20 stockpile areas at the Gateway North facility, and I 21 2.2 don't understand that in this statement, versus the fact that there will be. 23

1

24

25

SOUTHERN REPORTING (618) 997-8455

indicate that the production coming from Gateway

MR. CRISLIP: That statement was to

North will not increase the throughput of the prep plant at the main facility. It will not increase the stockpiles or production rate at the main Gateway Mine site through the preparation facilities.

MR. FULLERTON: So it doesn't really reference the stockpile on the permitted area?

MR. CRISLIP: Right.

б

MR. FULLERTON: Okay. And then additionally, in concern of water at the City Lake, do I understand that the review period simply was March to June of 2011? And I find it very surprising that all this information can safely be derived from that short period of time.

MR. MOSHER: Well --

MR. CRISLIP: I'm not sure.

MR. MOSHER: -- I can tell you a little bit about what was done. We asked the mine to model all of the water going into Coulterville Lake from its watershed, and specifically what changes would occur because of their new effluent that's added to that system. And they looked at that based on the watershed size and the rainfall, you know, average rainfalls and whatnot, and they calculated for several parameters, parameters that are limited in the permit, sulfate, chloride, total dissolved

solids, what exactly would be the end result in the Coulterville Lake water of the concentrations of those substances. And they completed that.

The findings were that it's a very small increase in concentration for those three substances, an increase that would not be noticeable by someone drinking that water. And certainly, all three parameters were well within the water quality standard applicable to Coulterville Lake as a drinking water source.

So when you say they just did it for certain months, that doesn't register with me, because it wasn't that kind of study. It was an overall study, all-encompassing type of model that they used.

MR. FULLERTON: Do you have any idea if that particular model implemented, per se, an 11-inch 24-hour rain?

MR. MOSHER: It would've been based on not just a single heavy rainfall event but overall averages.

MR. FULLERTON: Just the average?

MR. MOSHER: Right. So, you know --

MR. FULLERTON: Which is less than half of that, basically one-third.

MR. MOSHER: Yeah. You know, a really heavy rainfall -- everything's kind of proportional in this case, whether it's any given heavy rainfall or just the averages, it's all calculated on that entire watershed, and we have that study on file. We reviewed that study and found it to be accurate.

2.1

MR. FULLERTON: All right. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you, Mr. Fullerton. We had one more person over here that indicated they wanted to speak, and that concludes the four people then that had asked to speak.

(Audience member made a gesture.)

MR. STUDER: I asked once, and I've got four people, so --

MR. NORMAN: Charles Norman was my name. You don't need me to spell it again, do you? I just wanted to address one of the issues that was brought up as regard to the mine, the new mine. And then I believe it was one of the ladies from the Sierra Club that brought up that what it looks like is we're gonna' have a new mine and an old prep plant. And I can't remember how much we've put into that prep plant. How much did they budget to renovate the prep plant?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Several million

dollars.

MR. NORMAN: They are putting several million dollars into that prep plant. Basically they're redoing it. So you're basically gonna' have a new prep plant and a new mine. They're not just gonna' use the same old prep plant that's been there forever. They're upgrading it, making it better, so it can be more efficient. You know, it's not gonna' be the same prep plant that's been there forever.

They're using the structures, but they're redoing it, you know. And I don't know if that kind of maybe answers one of the questions that she had, you know, because of that, but it's not gonna' be new mine, old prep plant. I mean, the structure's gonna' be old, but they're redoing it and renovating it so that it will be better and able to keep up with the new mine.

I didn't have a lot of time to get to prepare, as obviously the Prairie Rivers and the Sierra Club both did, you know. I'm just a simple coal miner. And, you know, all I can say is that it is a great thing that they do what they do, because otherwise, companies wouldn't be able, wouldn't comply to the rules and regulations obviously. And that's a good thing.

But I do know that with this mine, if this mine doesn't go in, then it is going to be a great handicap to this area, you know. You're gonna' lose a lot of people who are here, who are good, honest people, who put a lot of money into this community. And yes, there do need to be strict rules and regulations on the water, and we need to make sure that it's gonna' be monitored and make sure that we're not polluting our water source for our generations. I've got a seven-year-old daughter at home. I don't want her to live in a polluted environment.

But at the same time, you have to look at the fact that in this economy, there aren't a lot of jobs out there. And if this mine doesn't go in -- this morning, for instance, I spent \$30 at the gas station in Coulterville. If this mine doesn't go in, then I'm not gonna' spend that money there, 'cause I'm gonna' have to go somewhere else and live, and I don't want to do that. This mine definitely needs to comply with the laws and regulations, but it needs to be thought, too, that if this mine doesn't go in and all of these workers have to leave, it's gonna' cripple this area. Thank you.

MR. STUDER: Thank you. For the

record, you were Charles Norman? 1 Charles Norman. 2 MR. NORMAN: MR. STUDER: Okay. There were two 3 4 people that had indicated yet that they wanted to 5 speak. Since I wasn't real clear up-front, I'm going to allow those two people to speak. Then I want to 6 make sure we have an accurate number of those, and I 7 believe Kathy wanted to speak and Joyce did. Joyce, 8 I'll let you come first, because I saw your hand 9 10 first. And Kathy, I'll allow you. But before Joyce starts, I want to make sure that we have no one else 11 12 here that wants to speak after these two are through. Go ahead, Joyce. And I'm sorry about the 13 14 confusion. MS. BLUMENSHINE: I forgot to ask this 15 question, and I'm so sorry. 16 17 MR. STUDER: That's okay. Go ahead. MS. BLUMENSHINE: Joyce Blumenshine. 18 Two quick questions. I didn't understand why that in 19 the information I read, that the mine will not be 20 replacing somewhat over a thousand feet of streams 21 that will be destroyed, and I wondered what will be 22 mitigation for that? Why are they allowed to 23 destroy, you know, about a quarter mile or so of 24

25

streams?

1	MR. CRISLIP: That would be a 401 issue
2	outside of the NPDES permit that's beyond the scope
3	of
4	MS. BLUMENSHINE: Of course. Not
5	appropriate for here. Thank you. My last question.
6	Thank you very much. I wondered if there's any
7	assessment on how much water would be diverted from
8	Coulterville Reservoir. I know there is a slight
9	change at one of the diversions that's then taken
10	toward Mary's River, and I wondered if that had been
11	assessed.
12	MR. MOSHER: I think someone asked that
13	question earlier
14	MS. BLUMENSHINE: Oh, I'm sorry.
15	MR. MOSHER: and we were going to
16	check and see what the
17	MR. STUDER: And if they didn't ask it
18	earlier, you've asked it now, and we'll address it.
19	MS. BLUMENSHINE: Thank you,
20	gentlemen. I appreciate it, and ma'am. Thank you.
21	MR. STUDER: Thank you. Ms. Andria.
22	MS. ANDRIA: It was a question Kathy
23	Andria. It was a question that you had mentioned or
24	you had, in explaining it, you said that you do
25	enforce. Well, this goes back, this period of

violation goes back three years, and I don't think that it's been enforced.

MS. DIERS: Again, we'll look at it for you. Like I said, we're going to have to take a look at ECHO and compare it to what we have and go back through the DMRs and everything. But we'll look at it, like I said. Please send that in so we can check on it and see what's going on. I don't know if they've had enforcement out there. We will look at it for you. If it's now coming to our attention, we can investigate. So yes, we have those mechanisms, and we do take them seriously, and we would enforce if it is an issue that we need to.

MS. ANDRIA: Okay. Good. Thank you very much.

MR. STUDER: Thank you. I want to thank everyone for coming out this evening. We've had a full house, which is a lot more people here than what we had anticipated, and we appreciate your interest and the comments that you have raised this evening. I remind everyone that the hearing record is open until the 20th of July, and we'll be accepting written comments through that date. Thank you for your attendance and your participation, and this hearing is adjourned.

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
2	)
3	COUNTY OF JACKSON )
4	
5	
6	I, Sharon Valerius, a Freelance Court
7	Reporter for the State of Illinois, do hereby certify
8	that I reported in machine shorthand the Illinois EPA
9	Public Hearing held on June 20, 2012, from 5:00 p.m.
10	till 6:35 p.m., at the City Council Chambers, City
11	Hall, Sparta, Illinois; that I thereafter caused the
12	foregoing to be transcribed into computer-aided
13	transcription, which I hereby certify to be a true
14	and accurate transcript of the same.
15	
16	Dated this 28th day of June, 2012.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Skaron Valereis
22	FREELANCE COURT REPORTER
23	
24	OFFICIAL SEAL SHARON VALERIUS
25	Notary Public - State of Illinois  My Commission Expires Aug 06, 2014

			Multi-Pa	ige	#084-003349	- 12-r-	1-9-14-3-14-0-1	1-1-14-E
#084-003349[1]	406-106 [1]	53:10	47:21 63:20		alluded [1]	52:14	48:5 54:10	56:8
1:12	415 [1] 4:17		adding [1]	32:15	alone [1] 48:6		56:18 62:19	63:6
\$30[1] 67:16	5 [4] 1:10	8:10	addition [6]	13:15	alternate[1]	15:14	67:3 67:24	
'76[1] 28:13	19:2 71:9	0.70	30:18 32:5	32:12	alternative [6]	41:6	areas [3] 16:5	25:11
00 [2] 1:10 71:9	5/39[1] 4:18		36:23 43:5		41:12 47:7	47:18	62:21	
001[2] 41:21 54:14	<b>50</b> [1] 37:9		additional [9]	5:19	54:22 55:1	17.20	argument [1]	61:8
		44.10	18:14 32:22	32:24	alternatives [4]	40·19	arrives [1]	7:10
002 [4] 19:11 34:22	<b>500</b> [2] 44:9	44:10	51:10 52:23	59:3	41:1 42:13	47:15	article [2]	36:6
40:5 51:18	58 [1] 52:18		59:13 61:20		always [3]	33:8	37:24	00.0
1 [1] 40:2	6 [6] 23:22	42:20	additionally [2]	32:18	49:3 58:16	33.0	articles [1]	36:25
10 [3] 42:16 42:18	42:21 42:22	52:20	63:9		American [1]	45:19	artificially [1]	55:4
42:24	71:10		address [6]	8:13		21:13	aspects [1]	10:7
1021 [2] 1:14 8:11	62794-9276 [2	] 1:15	48:14 48:15	56:19	among [2] 38:11	21:13		
11 [3] 46:8 46:9	8:12		65:17 69:18		amount [2]	19:9	assessed [2] 69:11	43:25
48:13	7 [1] 62:19		addressed [1]	23:18	58:10	19.9	1	40.16
11-inch [1] 64:17	7.96 [1] 38:20		adjacent [2]	29:5	analysis [2]	41:1	assessment [10] 40:18 40:25	40:16
[11.19 <sub>[1]</sub> 38:19	8 [1] 57:21		29:23		53:16	41.1	43:25 47:16	60:2
12 [3] 43:1 46:7	9 [1] 42:23		adjourn [1]	11:20	analyzed [1]	62:13	60:9 60:22	69:7
48:13	99 [1] 13:24		adjourned [1]	70:25	1		assist [1]	8:3
14 [1] 42:15	A-N-D-R-I-A	r11	administrative		Andria [14] 45:14 45:16	44:18 45:17	assisted [2]	58:17
166[1] 3:23	45:17	L~J	3:4 3:23	3:24	48:1 48:19	48:22	58:18	50.17
17,000 [1] 29:15	A-N-D-R-O-V-A	-N-D-I	12:6 14:20		49:19 50:3	50:19	associated [1]	16:3
17,000[1] 29.13	[1] 20:10		advocate[1]	39:13	69:21 69:22	69:23	association [2]	
	A-T-T-E-R-B-	E-R-R-Y		24:3	70:14		15:10 [2]	15:8
175[1] 36:11	[1] 21:8	1	affect [2]	32:20	Androvandi [4]	19:17	assume [4]	30:23
19,900 [1] 46:13	abide [1] 20:14		35:2	JL.20	20:6 20:9	20:9	32:16 47:19	49:21
19276 [2] 1:14	abilities [1]	20:16	affiliate[1]	51:1	answer [2]	42:3	assured [1]	53:17
8:12	ability [2]	20:20	aforementione		44:8		atrazine [2]	23:24
1985 [2] 35:12 35:24	57:18	20.20	7:12	<b>L</b> [x]	answered [1]	56:13	23:25	23,24
2 [5] 40:1 40:2	able [7] 2:10	18:2	again [9] 4:11	16:1	answers [1]	66:12	attempt [2]	6:17
43:12 44:6 59:24	27:20 57:12	57:23	39:25 40:17	41:19	anti-degradation	<b>)n</b> [11]	13:11	0.17
2,443 [1] 37:10	66:16 66:23		45:10 45:11	65:16	9:8 35:15	40:16	attendance [3]	10:24
20 [6] 1:10 6:22	above [2]	28:16	70:3		40:25 43:24	43:25	15:23 70:24	10.27
6:23 7:19 12:19 71:9	30:4		against [1]	29:6	47:16 53:13	53:15	attending [1]	11:17
E .	accept [4]	6:22	age [1] 35:6		55:25 60:21	<b>=</b> 0.40	attention [3]	8:9
200 [1] 46:12	11:23 12:20	12;22	agency [11]	1:1	anticipated [1]	70:19	15:19 70:10	0.9
2002 [1] 38:10	acceptable [1]	21:17	2:3 3:5	4:19	anyhow [2]	26:7	attenuate [1]	55:5
2003 [1] 37:10	accepted [1]	9:3	4:24 5:3	22:6	54:16		Atterberry [5]	20:24
2011 [4] 37:2 37:10	accepting [2]	3:17	34:9 40:19	41:1	anyways [1]	54:18	21:5 21:7	21:7
37:11 63:11	70:23		53:17		applause [1]	10:25	21:21	
2012 [5] 1:10 6:22	access [1]	4:4	Agency's [1]	60:2	applicable [3]	15:7	attributed [1]	36:14
12:19 71:9 71:16	accommodate	<b>11</b> 7	ago [2] 25:8	57:10	48:7 64:9		audience [5]	9:19
20th[1] 70:22	14:8	L-3	<b>agree</b> [5] 18:6	18:18	applicant [4]	4:20	19:19 27:11	65:12
21 [1] 7:19	accordance [1]	3:24	28:1 41:3	41:4	9:12 40:24	41:4	65:25	
217-524-3038[1]	according [1]	2:14	agreed [1]	18:12		2:21	authority [3]	4:15
8:3	account [2]	7:17	agreement [1]	58:7	3:19 5:1	8:25	31:25 32:3	
217-558-8280[1]	59:20	,	ahead [14]	16:19	56:8	0.21	authorized [2]	3:5
7:25	accounts [1]	57:20	17:1 19:22	20:8	applied [1]	9:21	11:20	
23 [1] 23:1	accurate (4)	15:21	21:24 25:16	26:23	apply [1]	43:13	automated [1]	7:11
<b>24-hour</b> [1] 64:18	65:6 68:7	71:14	39:9 59:12 60:7 61:19	59:15 68:13	appreciate [8]	22:5	available [2]	4:2
<b>25</b> [1] 32:19	acid m 50:10		68:17	55.15	33:18 35:2 47:25 57:4	39:5 69:20	6:16	
25-year[1] 32:12	acre [1] 30:21		air[1] 38:13		70:19	07.20	Avenue [2]	1:14
28th[1] 71:16	acres [1] 29:15		airborne [1]	25:5	appreciated [1]	35.4	8:11	
3 [5] 39:24 39:25	Act [4] 3:3	4:17	aircraft [1]	4:21	approaching [2]		average [5]	30:5
40:1 52:20 59:19	4:22 60:13	7.1/	algae [2] 24:4	24:5	43:16	21.42	36:12 38:20	63:22
30 [1] 13:11	action [2]	3:19			appropriate [7]	5:18	64:22	
309 [1] 3:25	49:7	5.17	all-encompass 64:14	ւոցլե	10:21 10:25	11:2	averages [2]	64:21
33 [1] 25:3	actions [1]	11:20	allow [7]	5:20	11:10 12:9	69:5	65:4	10.16
340 [2] 9:25 35:14	activities [1]	56:13	13:13 14:6	5:20 58:19	approval [1]	10:21	avoid [2] 53:18	13:16
35 [5] 3:4 3:22	activity [2]	56:2	61:14 68:6	68:10	aquatic [1]	24:4		22,10
3:24 22:25 71:10	56:3	50.2	allowed [4]	11:3	area [22] 6:7	11:11	awards [1]	33:10
39[1] 4:16	actual [1]	15:4	12:9 15:25	68:23	17:17 19:10	28:13	aware [4]	20:19
<b>4</b> <sub>[1]</sub> 39:24	add [2] 37:18	54:25	allowing [1]	13:7	29:24 30:22	32:10	22:4 22:5	38:16
401 [1] 69:1	added [3]	32:14	allows [1]	12:25	32:16 32:21	32:21	B-L-U-M-E-N-S- [1] 33:20	H-I-N-E
	auucu [3]	32.14			34:19 38:18	38:23	[1] 33:20	
		·	l				T., 1-	v Page 1

					Multi-P	agu	,,,	O 11	L-I-N - consid	uviation
B-O-W	-L-I-N	Γ11	Bottom[1]	45:19	certified [2]	1:11	36:17 36:23	37:15	compared [1]	27:22
28:10			Bowlin [3]	28:7	25:1		49:20 50:16	52:4	compiled [1]	9:12
B-O-W	-S-H-E	-R m	28:9 28:10	20.7	certify [2]	71:7	54:6 54:10	57:20	complete [2]	14:10
24:24	W 11 12	**[1]	Bowsher [5]	00.10	71:13	, ,	57:22 58:1	58:2	15:18	14:10
B-R-O-0	Λυσι		DOWSHEE [5]	23:13 24:23	Chair [1] 45:18		58:3 58:5	58:15		
1		1-1-K-E	24:19 24:20 24:23	24:23	1 "		58:20 62:20	66:21	completed [2]	6:5
[1]	21:1	~~	í		Chambers [2]	1:11	coal's [3]	36:6	64:3	
B-U-L-	r-o-c	- <b>K</b> .[1]	Box [2] 1:14	8:11	71:10		36:8 37:15	20.0	completing [1]	6:10
22:22			boy [1] 21:23		chance [1]	13:8	coal-fired [1]	36:12	complexes [1]	52:18
backgro	ound [1]	14:22	Brian [7]	45:14	change [4]	28:15			compliance [19]	
backup		47:5	50:20 50:21	50:23	36:15 37:18	69:9	coal-related [1]		5:1 5:7	17:24
bad [2]		34:16	50:24 61:19	62:16	changed [1]	30:13	Code [4] 3:4	3:23	19:25 22:3	22:8
		34.10	brief [4] 5:15	8:23			3:24 8:10		46:3 46:7	46:8
ball [1]			8:25 61:21	0.23	changes [3]	2:9	color [1] 38:3		46:10 46:16	46:17
Barb [2]		8:1			30:10 63:19		combination [1]	148.6	48:12 49:6	49:8
based [1	.6]	4:25	bring [1] 54:23		Chapter [2]	33:21	combined [1]	54:13	49:14 49:15	50:1
5:3	9:8	11:25	bringing [2]	24:6	39:14				complies [1]	51:13
16:9	40:21	49:14	40:13		character [1]	11:9	combustion [1]			
53:1	53:6	54:17	brochures [1]	27:7	Charles [9]	16:15	coming [8]	2:7	comply [3]	10:9
61:5	61:6	61:9	Brookshire [5]	20:7	16:16 16:21	16:23	19:4 28:21	51:11	66:24 67:21	
61:12	63:21	64:19	20:23 20:25	21:1	16:24 17:3	65:15	56:11 62:25	70:10	computer-aide	d [1]
basin [3]	131:10	53:23	21:5		68:1 68:2		70:17		71:12	
54:2	-		brought [4]	47:7	check [3]	61:15	comment [22]	6:3	concentration	[2]
basins	11	31:9	57:25 65:18	65:20	69:16 70:7		6:12 6:20	6:21	44:3 64:5	
basis			Bruce [6]	21:6	Chief [1]2:5		7:13 7:21	8:6	concentrations	3 [3]
1		7.17	21:21 21:22	21:0		20.10	12:1 12:18	12:24	61:7 61:10	64:2
become		7:15	21:25 22:13	ل ڪ. د م	children [1]	38:19	13:2 13:8	13:18	concern [7]	29:18
8:17	11:18	14:3	F	5.12	chloride [3]	44:3	14:15 20:3	35:15	34:17 34:24	35:3
31:21	53:5		Bryce [2] 9:20	5:13	44:6 63:25		37:19 39:11	50:22	37:21 38:16	63:9
bed [1]	52:19		1	05.05	Cindy [10]	33:16	57:25 58:25	59:5	concerned [20]	15:11
begin [2]	] 11:11	16:5	Bud [3] 26:22	27:25	39:7 39:9	39:10		14:1	19:3 29:24	30:5
beginni	ing m	55:3	28:5		39:12 41:23	44:17	39:22		32:11 32:18	32:23
behalf [		2;4	budget [2]	57:21	47:7 48:3	59:13	comments [58]	3:17	33:23 34:2	35:8
39:15	50:25	51:3	65:23		citizen [1]	15:11	5:17 5:21	5:23	38:21 40:3	44:24
57:8	50.25	0.1.0	Bullock [4]	22:19	citizens [3]	57:18	5:23 5:25	6:1	45:7 45:20	45:21
believe	e m	2:11	22:21 22:22	23:10	57:24 58:13		6:2 6:9	6:11	51:8 55:25	56:15
1			Bureau [1]	2:5	city[9] 1:10	1:11	6:23 6:24	7:3	62:7	
below [1		32:7	business [1]	58:11	29:8 29:25	30:8	7:18 7:18	7:24	concerning [2]	3:7
benefac		58:6	businesses [2]	30:16	30:14 63:9	71:10	8:6 8:17	8:19	4:9	
benefit	[3]	14:21	58:12	30.10	71:10	•	9:2 11:8	11:11	concerns [4]	10:24
15:12	58:10				civic [1] 24:13		11:16 11:17	11:23	23:19 37:19	39:16
benefits	S (3)	35:16	busy [1] 7:16			50.7	12:8 12:11 12:16 12:16	12:13 12:20	concerted [1]	36:10
36:4	36:19		C[1] 3:4		claim [1]	50:7	12:10 12:10	13:4		
best [4]		17:15	calculated [2]	63:23	claims [1]	46:16	13:18 14:3	14:9	conclude [1]	47:14
20:15	26:4	17.15	65:4		clarify [2]	35:18	14:10 14:11	14:12	concluded [2]	41:10
better [3		20:21	cancer [2]	38:13	62:15		14:13 14:14	14:15	59:15	
	66:16	20.21	50:8		clay [2] 34:8	34:11	15:18 15:19	15:21	concludes [2]	56:23
66:7		00.16	cannot [1]	14:8	clean [4] 3:3	39:13	15:24 16:3	16:5	65:10	
beyond		28:16		37:3	57:13 57:19	JJ.1J	18:9 19:15	34:5	conclusions [1]	41:3
28:17	69:2		capacity [3] 37:9 37:12	31.3	clear [2] 35:14	68:5	42:6 51:15	52:16	condition [7]	20:21
big [2]	17:18	30:15		50.01			59:3 70:20	70:23	42:16 42:18	42:23
bill [2]		58:4	capture [1]	53:24	climate [2]	36:15	Commission [1		42:23 43:1	48:4
billion	[1]	36:11	card [4] 6:6	6:8	37:18		committed [3]	10:1	conditions [2]	3:12
biologic		41:10	6:9 6:10		close [14]	6:12	10:6 17:6	10:1	43:14	J.14
41:15		.1.10	cards [1] 16:10		6:12 6:20	6:22		-	1	2.0
bioreac		41:14	care [2] 24:15	29:10	12:1 13:2	15:3	communities [1	j	conduct [5] 5:18 11:17	2:9 12:3
			case [6] 4:10	11:22	19:19 19:20	19:21	33:25		5:18 11:17 13:9	12:3
bit [4]	19:10	34:7	35:20 41:4	57:15	29:7 29:8	29:9	community [7]			10.1
36:3	63:17		65:3		29:14	E A . E	34:3 50:15	50:18	conducting [2]	10:1
Blumen			catch [2] 49:3	55:7	closed [1]	54:5	58:6 58:11	67:6	16:12	
33:3	33:15	33:17			closes [1]	7:16	compacted [2]	34:8	confused [1]	46:1
33:19	34:16	37:24	catchment [2]	53:23	closure [1]	3:21	34:11		confusion [1]	68:14
38:24	39:1	39:4 69:19	54:2	m.,	Club [7] 33:21	33:23	companies [2]	17:18	Conservancy [	1]
68:15	68:18 69:14	68:18 69:19	caused[1]	71:11	39:14 39:15	45:19	66:23		45:20	~
69:4			causes [2]	23:23	65:20 66:20	-	company [11]	10:5	Conservation [	11
Board [3		4:2	24:3		coal [35] 2:21	3:6	17:5 17:7	18:1	45:18	-1
23:16	48:9		Center [3]	29:10	21:9 23:3	25:19	20:18 21:12	26:19	consider [3]	5:25
Bob [3]	1:19	9:6	36:7 37:7		26:19 27:3	29:15	27:20 33:9	45:4	50:14 54:23	J.4J
9:6			certain [1]	64:12	30:15 32:7	32:22	49:20			roa
body [2]	15:8	15:10	certainly [2]	42:12	34:2 34:7	34:23	compare [4]	49:1	consideration	
booing		11:1	64:7	74,14	35:23 35:24	36:11	49:11 49:15	70:5	12:17 17:13	56:1
	~ •		1 57.7				1		I	

				TATRIFIT A	igu			Considered	OHSUIC
considered [6]	7:20	counting [1]	58:10	dedication [1]	35:5	discrepancy [1]	44:13	earth-moving [	1]
	51:19	country [1]	38:12	definitely [4]	25:12	disease [1]	36:14	52:1	
54:22 55:2		County [8]	1:3	27:1 32:3	67:20	diseases [1]	50:9	East [2] 1:14	8:11
considering [6]	24:5	9:23 23:1	38:8	denial [1]	3:10	disposal [2]		easy[1] 4:4	
46:21 51:14 5	51:18	38:10 38:17					56:8	ECHO [7]	46:6
52:8 52:22		71:3	57.15	department [1]	31:20	56:17		48:18 49:1	40:0 49:2
constituent [1]	52:9	couple [4]	34:4	depend [1]	15:4	dispute [1]	46:15	49:14 49:17	70:5
	9:22	35:9 36:4	34. <del>4</del> 36:25	Depending [1]	59:4	disruption [1]	52:2		
				depth [1] 34:10		dissolved [4]	53:3	ECHO's [1]	49:11
constructed [2]	10:13	course [6]	10:25	derived [1]	63:12	53:4 53:5	63:25	economic [5]	35:16
55:4		39:1 51:7	56:2				7:7	36:4 36:19	58:5
	4:4	62:6 69:4		describe [1]	53:7	7:15	,,,	58:9	
7:25 7:25		court [6] 14:18	3 15:13	designation [1]	31:20	disturbance [1]	55.0	economically [	[1]
contained [2]	4:16	15:20 57:3	71:6	designed [1]	10:13			53:18	
13:18		71:22		desire [5]	5:4	disturbed [1]	52:3	economy [1]	67:14
contaminate [1] 3	37:16	created [3]	21:9	5:5 5:24	14:7	diversions [2]	54:17	effect[1]	30:13
contamination [1	11	21:10 21:11		15:2		69:9		effects[1]	61:1
34:25		creating [1]	37:25	desires [1]	13:13	diverted [1]	69:7	efficient [2]	
_	4:10	Creek [2]	32:16	destroy [1]	68:24	diverting [1]	19:11	66:8	2:15
		51:5		destroyed [1]	68:22	DMRs [1]	70:6	3	
	10:15 20:15	cripple [1]	67:24			DNR [2] 32:2	56:7	effluent [8]	9:9
23:6 25:21	20:15	Crislip [24]	1:18	destroying [1]	17:19			44:2 48:5	51:21
		9:10 9:10	30:24	detail [1]	25:9	documented [1]		52:7 53:2	53:6
	37:10	31:2 31:7	31:13	determination	4]		8:6	63:20	
	37:15	31:17 31:22		3:13 4:14	22:24	8:8		efforts [1]	10:6
continuous [1]	10:6	34:12 40:6	40:13	23:8		doesn't[9]	19:8	eight[1] 59:15	
contribute [1]	37:18	42:18 47:21		determined [3]	34:11	29:3 38:9	63:5	either [4]	8:3
contributed [2] 2	24:12	52:3 53:9	56:19	34:13 51:23	0	64:12 67:2	67:15	25:6 54:3	54:12
37:8		61:25 62:24		determining [1]	40.20	67:17 67:22		elaborate [1]	36:3
	4:2	63:15 69:1	2211			dollar [1]	21:17	electricity [2]	36:12
	30:6	crucial [1]	2:12	detriment [1]	29:25	dollars [2]	66:1	37:17	30:12
48:9 54:6	30.0	cumulative		detrimental [1]	30:13	66:3	00.2	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
	10:15			developed [2]	52:11	done [4] 17:9	61:5	electronic [2]	7:3
		curious [1]	62:11	59:18		61:6 63:17	01.5	7:18	
Coordinator [1] 8		current [6]	29:9	development [1	1		7.2	electronically	[2]
Copies [1]	4:1	46:4 46:6	46:22	38:4		dot [2] 7:1	7:3	6:25 7:7	
copy [3] 4:5 4	41:24	48:22 57:6		dialogue [1]	15:22	double [1]	36:11	Elimination [1]	2:20
42:6		customary [1	56:25	Diers [9] 1:18	9:4	down [2] 25:11	50:23	emergency [1]	57:16
cord[1] 38:8		D [2] 3:5	53:10	9:4 48:17	48:20	downloaded [1]	46:5	emitting [1]	37:17
1	29:17	D-A-W-E [1]		48:24 49:22	60:17	downstream [3]		employ [1]	9:24
Corporation [1]		Daniel [4]	24:22	70:3	00127	52:17 52:23	·		
		25:14 25:17		difference [1]	13:23	draft[8] 3:15	3:18	employed [3] 41:22 42:14	41:12
	24:5					12:13 15:1	39:24	1	
31:12		data [3] 8:7	8:8	different [3]	17:9	40:1 42:15	42:21	employee [4]	21:1
	7:6	37:4		25:7 40:20		drafted [1]	9:14	24:24 27:1	44:21
cost [6] 36:6	36:9	database [2]	49:2	differs [1]	30:22			employees [3]	36:22
36:10 46:23 5	53:22	49:16		difficult [1]	18:10	drainage [3]	34:21	45:6 58:11	
53:25		date [2] 12:21	70:23	digging [1]	30:11	50:11 54:14		employing [1]	41:18
costs [2] 36:14 4	47:2	Dated [1]	71:16	dimensions [1]	36:16	<b>drift</b> [1] 11:11		empowered [1]	3:9
Coulterville [44]		daughter [1]	67:10	direct [3]	15:19	drink [1] 47:3		enabled[1]	27:6
2:25 23:17 2	23:19	Dave [5] 26:16		30:11 34:20	13.19	drinking [6]	39:19		
	29:8	26:24 26:25		1	15.04	40:12 51:6	60:13	end [2] 2:16	64:1
	31:11			directed [1]	15:24	64:7 64:10		ends [1] 7:14	
	35:9	David [1]	26:15	directives [1]	11:19	drive [3] 23:5	23:6	energy [8]	5:14
	39:18	Dawe [5]	39:9	directly [1]	34:21	27:5		21:2 22:2	25:18
39:20 40:5 4	43:4	44:18 44:19	44:20	Director [2]	2:4	dry [1] 40:2		28:16 37:1	37:3
	45:7	45:13		5:13	•		50.25	37:7	
	45:25	deal [1] 22:3		dirtiest [1]	38:11	due [2] 43:15	59:25	Energy's [1]	44:21
	50:7	dealing [1]	60:14	disagree [2]	12:10	during [8]	5:17	enforce [3]	5:21
	54:15	Dean [3] 1:13	2:2	41:3	12.10	5:18 6:1	6:3	69:25 70:12	
	57:16	8:9			0.00	8:5 8:5	10:25	enforced[1]	70:2
	58:14	deaths [1]	38:19	discharge [14]	2:20	12:9		enforcement [3]	
	62:7	decades [2]	34:1	19:14 21:3 31:10 32:4	22:8 32:6	dust [4] 25:10	25:11	49:6 70:9	J 30:3
	64:9	36:2	J <del>4</del> :1	31:10 32:4 43:14 51:8	54:2	54:6 54:7			47.10
67:17 69:8	1.10		0.10	54:4 54:13	61:6	<b>duty</b> [1] 4:19		engineer[1]	47:18
	1:10	decision [7]	3:10	61:11	01.0	e-mail [4]	6:25	engineering [1]	10:14
71:10		4:24 5:3	6:14		2.22	7:10 7:13	7:14	enjoy [4] 17:15	17:16
	9:5	8:21 11:25		discharges [3] 40:2 42:11	2:23	e-mails [1]	7:6	17:17 17:25	
	38:12	decisions [1]		· ·		E-P-A [1]	7:1	ensure [7]	2:14
51:4		dedicated [1]	36:21	discrepancies [	1]		7.1	8:17 10:12	13:3
				49:13		earth [1] 52:4			

				Muin-P				enter -	пеаттир
13:8 15:21	22:7	exhibits [2]	8:8	8:21 14:17		30:11 56:3	56:12	57:18 66:25	67:4
enter [1] 39:6		39:7		finding [2]	49:5	70:18		70:14	
entered [1]	60:4	existing [5]	54:7	49:13		full-time [1]	9:25	gotta' [1]	27:2
entire [3]	37:3	54:10 56:6	56:9	findings [2]	54:24	Fullerton [23]	28:22	government [1]	19:21
38:12 65:5	31.3	62:5		64:4		29:20 29:20	29:22	governmental	
entirety [1]	6:3	exists [1]	54:4	finish [1]	13:12	29:22 31:1	31:4	15:8 15:10	رح.
		expanded [2]	36:1	first [21] 5:10	15:14	31:12 31:15	31:19	Governor [1]	37:25
environment [9]		56:8		15:15 16:14	16:18	32:1 32:4	45:20	Grand [2]	1:14
17:19 17:23 33:12 36:8	20:19 38:22	expansion [1]	51:11	16:23 16:24	18:24	52:13 62:18	62:18	8:11	1:14
45:10 67:12	30.22	expected [1]	61:10	22:18 22:22	28:4	63:5 63:8	64:16		61.12
environmental	F007	expert [2]	34:18	34:5 39:24	40:17	64:22 64:24 65:8	65:7	granted [1]	61:13
1:1 2:3	4:17	48:2	34.10	48:24 51:12	51:16		26.00	great [7] 25:8	25:9
5:14 6:18	10:7	explain [3]	5:9	54:19 56:24	68:9	<b>fully</b> [4] 35:7 44:22 58:19	36:22	49:23 58:15 66:22 67:3	58:16
10:16 12:5	16:2	42:16 44:14	3.9	68:10			25.01		20.5
17:6 17:12	21:13	explaining [1]	69:24	firsthand [1]	21:18	future [9] 33:24 34:2	25:21 35:8	greatly [4] 32:11 32:20	30:5 32:23
21:16 22:6	25:20			fishing [1]	51:6	36:20 36:24	38:22		32.23
36:15 37:19	37:20	explanation [1]		five [1] 56:16		45:2 48:16	30.22	grew [1] 28:13	
37:25 38:1	38:5	express [2]	12:13	Fletcher [7]	26:15	G-O-V <sub>[1]</sub>	7:3	ground [1]	34:19
46:3		34:17		26:16 26:21	26:22		1.5	groundwater [3	19:14
environmental	<b>ly</b> [1]	extent [1]	36:22	26:24 26:25	27:14	game [1] 25:8	22.2	34:14 34:23	
33:9		extreme [1]	32:19	flow [7] 19:4	19:6	Gary [5] 29:20 33:4 33:4	33:2 33:8	group [3]	9:12
EPA [29] 1:13	1:17	F-L-E-T-C-H-	E-R [1]	30:10 32:9	32:15		33:0	11.9 45:18	
2:11 2:19	3:5	26:25		54:17 55:6		gas [1] 67:16		growth [2]	37:6
3:13 3:16	4:9	F-U-L-L-E-R-	T-O-N	focus [1] 16:1		gases [1] 37:18		37:8	
4:13 4:15 6:13 6:17	5:11 8:11	[1] 29:23		focused [1]	16:4	Gate [1] 26:19		guess [3] 40:17	54:18
8:20 8:21	8:22	facilities [7]	9:23	folks [1] 51:3		Gateway [41]	1:2	62:6	
9:5 11:22	12:3	47:23 54:7	56:6	follow [2]	11:19	1:2 2:22	2:22	guy[1] 17:20	
12:7 12:18	14:16	56:9 62:6	63:4	34:6	11,17	7:3 8:10	8:16	guys[1] 50:13	
14:23 23:3	46:5	facility [8]	2:21	followed [18]	7:12	9:21 9:25	10:11	guys' [1] 58:19	
51:9 57:13	71:8	4:20 48:5	53:15	19:17 20:23	21:5	10:18 17:4 19:25 20:2	17:4 20:2	H-A-M-P-L-E-	M-A-N
EPA's [1]	3:21	53:24 61:23	62:21	21:22 22:19	23:12	20:12 21:3	21:9	[1] 26:1	
epa.publichearin	igcom@	63:2		24:21 25:15	25:24	21:19 22:2	24:16	H-A-M-P-T-O	<b>-N</b> r11
[1] 6:25	•	fact [10] 15:1	19:2	26:10 26:15	26:22	25:22 28:11	33:13	26:12	
equipment [2]	4:21	19:4 23:22 35:13 39:25	33:10 52:14	28:8 33:15	39:8	35:11 35:16	35:21	H-O-L-D-E-R	.[1]
58:18		62:23 67:14	32.14	44:18 45:14		44:21 44:22	44:25	19:24	
erosion [1]	19:12	factors [1]	37:8	following [5]	5:19	45:2 45:6	45:11	half [5] 15:3	21:12
error [1] 31:18		fair [3] 2:15	36:19	9:1 15:3 33:2	18:22	48:21 49:20 62:5 62:21	51:11 62:25	29:11 58:4	64:24
especially [3]	2:7	38:2	30:19		44-1	63:3	02.23	Hall [2] 1:11	71:11
25:21 40:3		fall [1] 13:4		food [3] 23:23 44:7	44:1	gears [1] 53:12		Hampleman [4]	25:15
essentially [1]	41:14	family [2]	26.12	footprint [1]	52.14	general [2]	14:21	25:23 25:25	26:1
estimate [1]	36:10	27:22	26:13	l	53:14	14:25	17.41	Hampton [5]	25:24
estimates [1]	53:22			force [1] 57:25		generates [1]	37:17	26:9 26:10	26:11
etc [1] 49:9	33,22	<b>far</b> [1] 45:7	05.6	foregoing [1]	71:12			26:12	
1	10-0	farms [1]	37:6	forever [2]	66:7	generations [2] 67:10	33:23	hand [3] 12:25	59:1
ethical [1]	10:2	fashion [2]	2:10	66:9			60.20	68:9	
evade [1]	30:20	13:9	20.11	forgot[1]	68:15	gentlemen [1]	69:20	handicap [1]	67:3
evaluations [1]		favor [6] 19:14	20:11	forth[1] 3:3		George [4] 44:17 44:19	39:9 44:20	handle [1]	30:6
evening [15]	2:1	24:11 25:12 33:12	27:1	forward [7]	10:19			handled [2]	19:15
2:7 9:3	9:17			13:21 15:7	16:15	gesture [1]	65:12	61:23	
11:3 14:9 20:6 21:22	18:5 44:19	fear[1] 57:17	477-15	25:4 28:21	57:2	given [8] 12:16	13:25	hands [4]	16:14
50:22 57:1	58:24	feasible [1]	47:15	foster[1]	27:21	14:13 22:23 57:9 59:24	23:24 65:3	59:2 59:9	59:12
70:17 70:21	~ · · · · ·	federal [2]	3:3	found [4]	3:22	giving [1]	5:25	happy [2]	24:15
event [2] 14:8	64:20	20:14	71 C	44:13 48:18	65:6	_		62:10	
events [3]	24:14	Federation [1]	51:2	four[8] 5:22	13:10	glad [2] 8:3	19:13	hard [1] 46:13	
55:6 55:8	→ ··· · ·	feed [1] 54:4		15:17 21:10	59:11	Global [1]	36:7	Harvard [1]	36:6
everybody [1]	35:6	feet[1] 68:21		59:14 65:10	65:14	goes [3] 27:25	69:25	head [2] 62:2	62:4
everything's [1]		few [3] 2:8	44:4	frame [1]	13:12	70:1	22.1	health [6]	22:3
exactly [2]	4:7	57:10		Franklin [1]	23:1	gone [2] 28:16	33:1	34:3 36:7	36:13
64:1	7.7	file [3] 56:7	62:1	Freelance [2]	71:6	gonna <sup>1</sup> [13]	46:18	36:16 38:7	
examples [1]	55:10	65:5		71:22	<b></b>	51:15 65:21	66:4 66:14	hear [7] 2:4	14:23
excuse [5]	5:5	filed [4] 6:24	7:20	friend [1]	58:16	66:6 66:8 66:15 67:3	67:8	18:10 18:20	27:10
6:13 11:5	51:21	14:14 14:16		front[1] 16:9		67:18 67:19	67:23	27:13 33:7	
55:9		files [1] 61:9		front-runner [1	ງ 25:19	good [11]	2:1	heard [1] 39:19	
exhibit [2]	13:4	filing [2]	6:10	fruition [1]	23:9	20:6 20:18	24:12	hearing [54]	1:10
60:5		7:24		full [6] 2:8	20:1	40:10 44:19	46:3	1:12 2:2	2:6
		final [4] 3:18	6:14	_		]		2:9 2:18	3:1
I		<del>/</del>				<del> </del>	-		

					Multi-r	ugu			nearings	- mmits
3:17	3:19	4:6	IEPA [2] 33:18	50:22	increase [7]	37:10	issue [10]	4:16	kinds [1] 50:11	
4:8	4:10	4:11			58:1 62:20	63:1	4:19 13:6	16:7		
			IEPA's [2]	60:9					L-E-H-R <sub>[2]</sub>	28:24
5:10	5:17	5:18	60:14		63:2 64:5	64:6	22:9 22:24	23:2	29:4	
5:24	6:1	6:10	IL [1] 1:15		increases [3]	37:1	37:21 69:1	70:13	L-Y-T-L-E[1]	28:4
6:12	6:19	6:21		40.00	44:2 60:23		issued [3]	5:4		
7:23	7:24	8:5	IL0062189 [1]		indeed [1]	10.22	5:6 40:12		ladies [1]	65:19
8:7	8:9	8:14	IL0079481 [3]	1:2		10:23		2.1	laid [1] 19:13	
8:18	11:1	11:10	3:11 8:16		independent [	i] 40:22	issues [20]	3:1	lake [29] 2:25	23:20
11:13	11:18	11:20			indicate [9]	6:8	3:7 3:12	6:18		
11:24	12:3	12:10	ILCS [1] 4:17		8:15 13:11	15:11	10:20 10:23	11:6	29:25 31:11	39:18
			Illinois [53]	1:1			12:4 12:6	12:14	40:5 43:4	43:9
12:12	13:4	13:5	1:11 1:12	1:13		52:17	12:25 13:19	16:2	43:10 43:14	44:3
13:9	14:14	14:24	2:3 2:11	2:19	59:1 62:25		16:4 17:6	17:13	45:23 45:25	46:20
14:25	15:4	15:20	3:4 3:5	3:13	indicated [5]	11:24	21:16 22:3	49:16	52:9 54:15	57:12
15:23	16:2	16:13	3:16 3:20	3:22	14:12 31:17	65:9	65:17	12.10	59:19 59:24	60:11
35:4	39:7	70:21			68:4	55.12	1		60:11 60:25	61:2
70:25	71:9		3:24 4:2	4:9		00.0	items [2] 21:13	36:5	61:12 62:8	63:9
		2.12	4:13 4:15	4:17	indicator[1]	38:8	itself [1] 24:14		63:18 64:2	64:9
hearings	S [2]	2:12	5:11 6:13	6:17	individual [1]	33:23	1	00.14	5	
3:22			8:11 8:12	8:20	industry [1]	23:4	J-E-T-E-R [2]	22:14	land [3] 10:3	27:17
heart [1]	36:14		8:21 8:22	9:5			22:16		33:24	
heartfel		10:24	11:22 12:3	12:7	infant [1]	38:18	JACKSON [1]	71:3	landowner [3]	19:1
			12:17 14:16	14:23	infeasible [4]	40:21	3			19.1
heavy [3]		64:20	22:6 22:25	33:21	47:11 47:12	47:13	James [6]	23:13	29:5 29:23	
65:2	65:3		36:25 37:2	37:6			24:18 24:20	24:23	large [2] 30:4	31:20
1	31:2	31:2			inflicted [1]	34:1	27:25 28:1		Larry [9]	1:18
31:2	J1.4	2, د د	37:9 37:11	38:20	information [1	27	jeering [1]	11:2	9:10 9:10	18:22
			39:14 47:23	48:8	4:9 4:12	7:22	jeopardize [1]	45:5	19:16 19:23	19:23
held [3]	1:10	3:20	51:9 55:2	55:10	7:23 9:12	47:23				17.43
71.9			71:1 71:7	71:8	48:21 48:25	57:9	jeopardizes [1]	35:8	47:17 53:7	
help [3]	8.17	24:7	71:11		61:25 63:12	68:20	Jeter [s] 21:23	22:11	last [12] 15:13	16:22
58:17	0.17	2,	Illinois' [1]	51:1			22:12 22:13	22:17	18:25 19:24	25:18
					informational		The second secon		28:4 35:13	39:12
helps [1]	43:1		illinois.gov [1]	7:1	2:18 4:6	4:7	Jim [7] 20:23	21:5	48:1 55:23	60:21
hereby [	21	71:7	immediate [1]	32:21	input [1] 60:25		21:7 26:10	26:14	69:5	~ ~ · · · ·
71:13	•		impact [4]	39:16		50.16	26:17 26:17			20.6
hereund	ler m	4:23	46:19 60:25	61:12	inspection [1]	52:16	job [4] 17:16	21:9	laws [3] 5:7	38:6
			1.		inspector[1]	25:1	35:6 35:7		67:21	
	26:24	57:5	impacts [7]	36:13	installed [1]	37:4	jobs [4] 21:10	21:11	leaps [1] 25:4	
hidden	[2]	36:6	36:15 45:21	45:23			50.12 67.16	21,11	leave [2] 25:11	67:23
36:8			46:19 60:23	62:13	instance [1]	67:16	58:12 67:15			
high [10]	22.10	34:20	impaired [6]	43:10	instructions [4		Joe [3] 22:19	22:21	left [2] 13:12	51:17
			43:15 47:6	51:19	5:20 7:21	9:2	22:21		legal [1] 9:5	
	46:15	50:8	52:8 59:25	01.15	intend [2]	11:13	John [5] 2:5	20:7	legislation[1]	50:16
50:8	52:18	52:22			20:15	11.15	20:22 20:25	20:25		
55:6	55:8		impairment [2]	23:24			1		Lehr [7] 28:8	28:20
higher [1	17	47:1	24:4		intended [1]	13:20	Joyce [10]	33:3	28:23 28:23	29:2
highest		38:18	impede [1]	57:18	intentionally	11	33:15 33:15	33:17	29:4 29:4	
			implement [1]	55:3	26:6		33:19 68:8	68:8	lengthy [2]	5:25
himself	[1]	47:17				15.1	68:10 68:13	68:18	13:1	
hissing	<b>[13</b>	11:1	implementation	<b>on</b> [1]	intentions [1]	45:1	judgment [1]	41:2	1	64.24
			38:5		interest [1]	70:20			less [2] 19:6	64:24
hold[1]			implemented	11	interested [2]	62:8	July [6] 6:22	6:23	level [2] 30:8	30:14
Holder		18:23	64:17	,	62:13	JU	7:19 7:19	12:19	levels [7]	23:25
19:16	19:17	19:23	1	AF	ľ	<b>^</b>	70:22		24:1 30:7	32:10
19:24	20:5		important [2]	27:19	Interim [1]	2:4	jump [1] 51:15		32:19 52:22	61:14
holding		3:16	39:19		intermittent [2	1 41:17	June [4] 1:10	63:11		
1 -			imposing [1]	13:10	42:11			02.11	Lieberoff [2]	6:7
home [2]		67:11	impoundment		Internet [1]	46:5	71:9 71:16		8:1	
honest [	[1]	67:5	25:1	ra [r]			justice [3]	37:20	life [2] 10:17	28:12
hope [1]	-				interrupt [2]	11:12	38:1 38:1		likely [1]	35:17
		22.7	impressive [2]	17:12	16:6		<b>K</b> [1] 45:17			
hoping		23:7	27:16		introduce [2]	5:11	Kaskaskia [2]	45.10	limit [22]	5:21
horribly	ÿ [1]	23:12	improvement	r11	8:23			45:18	9:9 13:10	21:17
hour [4]		23:6	10:6		investigate [1]	70:11	45:25		31:22 31:25	37:23
27:5	43:22			1 111			Kathy [9]	44:18	39:3 43:13	43:17
1 .		<b>^</b> 0	improvements	[1]	investments [1	] 10:12	45:14 45:14	45:16	44:9 44:9	51:21
house [3]		2:8	10:16		involved [2]	11:6	45:16 45:17	68:8	51:22 52:7	52:21
29:9	70:18		in-house [1]	9:13	25:3	•	68:10 69:22		53:4 53:7	53:8
huge [1]	58:5		include [3]	3:2	involvement [	23 5-12	Kathy's [1]	55:14	53:9 59:5	59:24
hundred		38:13	51:3 52:7	- · <del>-</del>		21.0.14			limited [5]	12:2
				7.5	38:2	<b>-</b> .	keep [7] 14:21	20:20		
I-L-L-I-	-M-O-I	- <b>S</b> [1]	included [4]	7:5	iron [12] 46:11	52:11	25:10 25:10	28:17	51:14 54:12	59:21
7:2			7:22 13:3	43:8	52:19 52:21	52:24	30:7 66:17		63:24	
idea [2]	62:4	64:16	including [3]	10:7	52:25 53:3	53:3	Kim [1] 2:5		limits [12]	32:7
identific			35:17 41:1		53:4 53:5	53:7	kind [6] 34:8	25.24	43:12 44:6	46:14
60:22		[*]	income [1]	38:4	53:9			35:24	52:11 52:25	53:1
1					issuance [3]	1:2	42:2 64:13	65:2	53:2 53:11	61:5
IDNR [1	]	52:17	incorporated [	2]		1.2	66:12		61:13 61:14	
1			53:19 56:6		3:10 5:8		1		31.17	
L			1				1		<del></del>	

					Muth-P	age			1111	ie – now
	line [5] 7:4 7:8 24:6	7:6 59:21	manager [4] 22:2 26:18	19:25 28:10	34:21 56:16		modeling [2] 61:12	61:4	national [3] 38:3 51:2	2:19
	liner [1] 34:10	39:21	manganese [8]	23:25	Mike [7] 28:22 29:20 29:22	29:19 29:22	money [2]	67:5	nature [2]	11:8
	liners [1]	34:12	24:1 43:6	47:6	45:20 62:18	-,	67:18	00	41:17	11.0
	lines [1] 21:13 list [1] 43:8		51:19 51:21 52:8	51:23	Mildred [2] 18:18	18:16	monitored [2] 67:8	43:8	necessary [3] 12:4 51:12	10:9
	listed [6]	8:13	manner [4]	2:15	mile [2] 29:11	68:24	monitoring [3]	42:20	need [9] 14:14	40:8
	35:14 38:18	42:20	10:2 11:1 map [4] 19:5	11:14 60:1	milligram [1]	52:20	43:2 45:9		42:5 49:17 65:16 67:6	59:21 67:7
	43:6 51:20		60:1 60:9	00.1	milligrams [4]	44:4	monthly [1]	58:5	70:13	07.7
	listen [1]	12:5	maps [1] 34:18		44:9 44:10 million [3]	52:19 21:12	months [1] morning [1]	64:12 67:16	needs [4]	35:6
	listening [1]	35:3	March [1]	63:11	65:25 66:3	21;12	mortality [1]	38:19	59:20 67:20	67:21
	liter [4] 44:4 52:19 52:21	44:10	Marcia [1]	2:5	mind [1] 44:23		Mosher [25]	1:19	neighborhood   24:16	[1]
	literature [2]	40:23	marked [1]	31:5	mine [81]	1:3	9:6 9:6	40:24	neighbors [1]	29:9
	41:8		Marlin [3] 18:24 18:25	18:21	2:23 3:6 7:4 8:10	3:8 8:16	41:23 42:1 47:14 52:11	44:12 52:25	neither [1]	62:9
	live [4] 23:1	51:4	Mary's [11]	2:24	9:11 9:22	9:24	54:1 54:8	54:21	Network [2]	50:25
	67:11 67:19 lived [1] 22:25		32:6 32:13	39:18	9:25 10:5	10:12	55:9 55:13	55:16	51:1	
	living [1]	28:12	43:3 45:22	51:5	10:17 17:4 19:10 19:25	19:5 20:2	61:15 62:9 63:16 64:19	63:14 64:23	never [3] 17:5	54:2
	LLC [2] 1:2	2:22	52:14 54:15 69:10	54:20	21:9 22:2	22:3	65:1 69:12	69:15	54:3 new [14] 20:1	36:5
	load [1] 60:23		material [1]	51:24	23:2 24:11 25:19 25:22	24:16	most [5] 33:11	36:10	39:17 46:18	53:11
	loading [1]	53:19	materials [1]	52:4	27:3 28:13	26:4 30:15	37:3 41:11	46:9	54:9 60:15	63:20
	located [4]	9:23	matter [7]	2:19	32:7 32:12	32:22	motive [1]	11:9 16:7	65:18 65:21 66:5 66:14	66:5 66:17
	29:10 30:21	62:19	4:25 6:11 6:21 8:20	6:14 14:17	35:8 35:11 37:15 39:17	35:25 40:3	move [2] 16:5 moved [1]	29:14	newsletters [1]	17:8
	location [4] 29:12 29:25	29:7 32:23	maximum [1]	9:24	40:4 40:12	40:17	Ms [48] 1:18	9:4	next[7] 16:7	16:19
	long-term [1]	61:1	may [22] 4:4	4:13	41:9 41:19	41:21	18:18 23:14	33:17	18:21 20:8	20:23
	look [13] 10:19	37:14	5:22 6:8	7:7	42:12 42:13 44:25 45:6	44:21 46:4	34:16 37:24 39:1 39:4	38:24 39:8	28:21 42:14 Nick [3] 19:17	20:6
	44:14 49:8	49:23	7:17 7:24 10:23 11:12	7:25 12:10	47:17 48:22	49:21	39:10 40:10	40:15	20:9	20.0
	50:16 60:17 67:13 70:3	60:18 70: <del>4</del>	12:17 12:24	13:5	50:11 51:11 57:17 57:20	56:11 57:22	41:7 41:25	42:9	no-discharge [2	!]
	70:6 70:9	, 01 .	13:21 14:6	15:11	58:1 58:2	58:4	42:25 43:18 44:16 45:16	43:23 48:1	53:20 54:12	
	looked [1]	63:21	15:15 32:2 44:12 59:21	37:18	58:15 58:20	63:4	48:17 48:19	48:20	Nobody's [1]	23:17
	looking [17] 19:5 34:18	19:2 35:24	mean [4] 25:7	27:20	63:17 65:18 65:21 66:5	65:18 66:14	48:22 48:24 49:22 50:3	49:19 50:19	noise [1] 14:22 normally [1]	19:7
	19:5 34:18 36:24 40:15	40:16	46:14 66:14		66:17 67:1	67:2	51:17 59:16	60:6	Norman [13]	16:15
	40:18 41:8	43:11	meaningful [1]	38:2	67:15 67:17 67:22 68:20	67:20	60:8 60:17	60:20	16:20 16:21	16:21
	48:20 49:16 56:3 56:4	53:15 60:21	means [1] measures [1]	4:6 53:18	mine's [1]	58:5	61:16 68:15 69:4 69:14	68:18 69:19	16:24 17:2 65:15 65:15	17:3 66:2
	61:11	00	mechanisms [2]		mine-related [1		69:21 69:22	70:3	68:1 68:2	68:2
	looks [9] 21:22	22:11	70:11	50.1	miner [1]	66:21	70:14		north [28]	1:2
	23:13 26:22 34:19 35:22	27:24 39:8	Medical [1]	36:7	mines [9]	17:9	Mud [1] 51:5 multiple [2]	14:1	1:3 1:14 2:23 7:4	2:22 8:10
	65:20	37.0	meeting [1]	19:3	23:1 26:3 34:2 41:13	28:14 47:8	50:9	14.1	8:11 8:16	9:21
	loop [2] 54:5	54:19	meets [1]	3:14	55:2 55:10	17.0	must [1] 7:3		9:25 10:11	10:18
	lose [1] 67:4		megawatts [1] Meier [4]	37:9	minimum [1]	14:22	N-O-R-M-A-N	<b>[</b> 1]	17:4 19:1 20:12 21:3	20:2 21:19
	loss [1] 57:22		25:14 25:17	24:22 25:18	mining [13]	1:2	16:22	T A C	33:13 35:16	35:21
	lung [1] 36:14 Lytle [4] 26:23	27:25	member [6]	15:12	2:21 2:22 9:21 10:1	3:6 10:11	N-O-V-O-S-E [1] 23:16	-L-A-C	44:22 45:3 62:5 62:21	45:11 63:1
	28:1 28:6	21.23	19:19 23:16	27:11	10:18 17:9	25:3	name [41]	2:1	Northway [1]	26:19
	M-A-R-L-I-N	[1]	65:12 65:25 members [9]	4:12	36:17 47:2	52:18	13:21 15:6	15:7	Notary [1]	1:11
	18:25		11:18 12:3	15:22	minute [3] 55:20 55:22	27:9	15:13 15:14 15:16 16:18	15:15 16:22	note [2] 7:15	8:18
	M-E-I-E-R [1]		15:24 33:18	39:16	minutes [9]	5:22	16:23 16:24	17:2	noted [1] 34:6	
	ma'am [1] machine [1]	69:20 71:8	45:23 51:3 mentioned [1]	69:23	7:16 13:10	15:17	18:24 18:25 19:24 20:25	19:23 21:25	notice [7]	6:3 11:24
	mail [3] 8:9	27:7	mercury [1]	37:17	57:10 59:8 59:15 61:21	59:13	22:13 22:16	22:18	7:22 8:13 15:1 39:23	39:25
	27:15		merits [1]	4:25	mispronounce	<b>d</b> [1]	22:21 23:14	25:17	noticeable [1]	64:6
	main [3] 29:18	63:2	met [1] 44:2		23:12		25:18 26:11 26:24 28:4	26:17 28:4	notices [1]	49:9
	63:3	10.10	methods [1]	55:4	missed [1]	53:21	28:9 28:23	39:11	notified [2]	6:13
:	maintenance [1]	13:22	microphone [1]		missing [1]	56:18	39:12 44:20 50:23 57:3	45:16 57:5	8:20	22.20
	27:18	12.44	middle [1]	29:17	mitigation [1] model [3]	68:23 63:17	65:16	51.5	Novoselac [4] 23:11 23:14	22:20 23:15
	man-made [1]	30:6	midnight [2] 12:19	7:19	64:14 64:17	05:17	name's [1]	24:23	now [14] 8:22	20:13
	management [3]	10:4	might [3]	22:11	modeled [1]	61:1	nation [1]	37:3	23:2 24:1	25:8
	10:18 20:12		3 - 6 ,						27:21 36:1	37:11

				147	LUILL I	ugo			INI DES	project
46:17 54:22	55:24	37:13 40:20	41:9	18:18			permitted [4]	15:24	postmarked [1]	6:23
56:16 69:18	70:10	oral [2] 12:22	12:23	pay [1]	47:1		30:22 56:16	63:6	potential [4]	23:23
NPDES [15] 2:20 3:1	1:2 3:11	orally [2]	12:16	payers	[2]	46:24	permitting [3] 56:17 59:19	52:23	24:3 24:8	60:23
6:10 7:4	8:10	14:13		47:1				11:9	power [2] 37:15	37:9
8:15 8:16	11:7	order [2] 16:8	59:12	Peabod		1:2 9:21	person [9] 11:12 12:23	16:14		00.05
16:3 22:24	56:11	organization [2	] 15:8	2;22 10:11	5:14 10:19	9:21 17:21	16:19 18:22	20:8	practically [1] practices [1]	23:25 10:4
56:12 69:2		15:10		20:13	20:18	21:2	28:21 65:9		Prairie [3]	50:25
number [5]	8:15	organizations	.1]	21:8	21:15	22:1	personal [1]	11:8	50:25 66:19	30:23
37:8 46:2 68:7	59:4	origin [1]	38:3	22:7	24:16	24:25	personalities [1	J	precipitation [1	1
numbers [1]	46:15	original [1]	35:11	25:18 26:25	26:3 28:16	26:18 33:5	12:8		55:7	J
numerous [1]	33:10	otherwise [3]	27:25	33:8	35:5	36:21	persons [1]	11:17	preferred [1]	47:19
nursing [1]	29:10	31:18 66:23	27.25	40:21	44:21	46:4	pertinent [1]	4:18	preliminary [2]	3:13
object [1]	12:10	ought [2]	55:1	47:10 47:20	47:11	47:14	pH[1] 53:4		4:14	
obstacles [1]	41:18	56:12			• •	33:22	pH's[1] 53:6		ртер [10] 28:11	63:1
obtaining [1]	3:14	outdoors [1]	17:17	penny [		5:3	<b>phosphorus</b> [3] 59:24 59:25	43:7	65:21 65:23 66:3 66:5	65:24 66:6
obvious [1]	32:8	outfall [13]	19:11	5:5	5:5	3.3 11:10	piecemeal [1]	35:25	66:9 66:14	00.0
obviously [3]	32:14	34:21 40:5	40:8	14:1	16:7	35:12	place [6] 14:25	27:3	preparation [1]	63:4
66:19 66:24	J ·	41:21 41:22 44:6 51:18	43:12 51:23	38:3	38:16	38:22	27:4 27:22	45:11	prepare [1]	66:19
occur[1]63:20		51:25 54:14	59:24	45:6 47:3	46:2 48:3	46:20 49:7	58:20	<b>-</b>	prepared [1]	3:15
off [7] 19:4	19:9	outfalls [5]	40:2	49:13	49:16	50:5	placed [1]	8:7	present [3]	8:22
19:9 39:22	49:1	42:12 42:19	52:21	50:7	58:18	59:5	places [1]	17:15	12:12 44:25	
51:17 62:1		54:9		59:14	65:10	65:14	plan [1] 3:21		presented [1]	13:17
offer [2] 57:23	57:24	outside [2] 69:2	13:5	67:4 68:6	67:5 70:18	68:4	plans [1] 41:20		president [2]	45:19
officer [4] 2:2 8:9	1:12 11:13	overall [3]	61:1	per [7]	13:10	38:19	plant [13]	28:11	57:7	
official [1]	14:4	64:14 64:20	01.1	44:4	44:10	52:19	35:18 35:23	46:25	pretty [3]	27:3
often [1] 52:15	וד.ד.	overland [1]	54:17	52:21	64:17		63:2 65:22 65:24 66:3	65:23 66:5	27:16 30:4 prevent [2]	25:5
old [6] 35:23	35:25	own [1] 35:22		Perbix		45:15	66:6 66:9	66:14	25:9	25.5
65:21 66:6	66:14	P-E-R-B-I-X	լյ	50:20 52:1	50:21 52:6	50:23 53:12	plastic [1]	34:10	price [1] 36:8	
66:15		50:24		54:3	54:11	54:25	<b>Plum</b> [1] 32:16		pride [1] 17:22	
on-line[1]	60:9	P-U-B-L-I-C-H-E-A-R-I-1	1-G-C-O-M	55:12	55:15	55:17	podium [1]	16:16	primarily [1]	12:5
once [5] 13:22	13:23	[1] 7:2	<b>#</b> 1.0	55:19	55:23	56:21	point [8] 6:6	13:22	printed [2]	60:1
13:24 15:16	65:13	<b>p.m</b> [3] 1:10 71:10	71:9	61:20	62:3	62:11	13:23 34:25	35:19	60:8	
one [27] 17:14 23:2 25:6	17:21 26:4	P.O [2] 1:14	8:11	percent 46:14	. [3] 57:21	46:12	42:7 42:10 points [1]	55:24 62:14	prioritize [1]	5:22
27:2 31:9	33:22	page [11] 14:25	19:2	percent		38:13	police [1]	57:24	privilege [1]	28:12
38:18 41:10	41:17	23:22 39:24	39:25	period		6:4	policies [1]	38:6	problem [2]	24:5
43:19 44:22	48:1	40:1 42:15	42:20	6:20	6:21	7:14	policy [1]	60:14	39:10	04.0
48:5 55:17 59:1 59:11	55:19 59:17	42:21 42:22	62:19	8:6	11:24	12:1	policy [1]	2:20	problems [1]	24:8
61:21 65:9	65:17	panel [4] 1:17 15:20 15:23	5:11	12:18 63:10	13:2 63:13	14:15 69:25	53:18 60:23	61:14	procedures [2]	3:21
65:19 66:12	68:11	paper [4] 22:23	41:8	permit		1:2	pollutants [5]	25:5	proceed [2]	5:10
69:9	64-05	41:10 41:24	12.0	2:13	2:20	3:6	37:16 38:14	43:5	13:6	
one-third[1]	64:25	papers [1]	25:2	3:11	3:11	3:12	43:10	06.7	proceeding [3]	3:8
open [1] 70:22	2.16	paragraph [2]	22:22	3:15 3:19	3:15 3:21	3:18 4:10	pollute [1]	26:7	16:4 16:6	
opening [1] operate [1]	2:16 9:22	60:24		4:16	4:20	5:4	polluted [1]	67:11	proceedings [3]	1:10
operated [1]	10:13	parameters [6]	43:8	5:6	5:8	5:13	<b>polluting</b> [2] 67:9	48:15	2:14 14:19	2.12
operating [3]	10:13	61:2 61:3 63:24 64:8	63:24	8:15	8:25	9:9	pollution [8]	4:2	process [2] 5:13	2:13
10:16 23:2	10,17	parent [1]	27:21	9:14 10:20	9:21 11:7	10:9 12:14	9:11 25:9	38:8	processing [7]	23:23
operation [2]	10:1	parks [1] 58:17	£1.£1	15:1	16:3	17:4	47:2 48:9	51:10	35:18 35:23	36:17
10:7		part [8] 2:12	3:23	18:2	21:3	23:8	60:15	20.11	44:1 44:7	54:10
operations [6]	3:6	3:24 4:18	8:17	25:11	25:12 29:24	29:5 31:23	ponds [2] 41:13	30:11	produce [1]	57:12
3:7 46:6 47:2 48:23	46:18	14:3 20:12	27:19	29:7 39:24	29:24 40:1	40:11	portal [2]	56:4	product [1]	21:12
opinion [2]	32:7	participation [1	1]	42:15	42:21	47:20	56:11	50.7	production [4]	9:24
44:23	34.1	70:24	EC.10	47:22	48:6	49:19	portal's [1]	56:16	62:20 62:25	63:3
opportunity [5]	4:8	particular [2] 64:17	56:10	50:2 52:7	51:9 52:12	51:12 53:4	possible [4]	10:21	products [1] professional [2]	21:14
13:14 14:5	39:11	partner [1]	24:12	53:6	53:19	56:8	29:25 31:16	34:6	41:2	11.14
50:22		pass [4] 13:21	16:19	58:8	59:21	61:5	possibly [2]	54:12	Program [3]	9:11
opposed [1]	54:19	57:13 58:8		61:13 69:2	61:13	63:25	55:5		60:2 60:10	J
option [3] 53:20 54:12	14:11	past [2] 32:7	45:8	permits	101	14:6	post [1] 14:24	15.1	project [17]	3:14
options [4]	36:23	Patton [2]	18:17	48:10	, [ <sub>4</sub> ]	17.0	posted [2] 15:2	15:1	19:2 20:2	20:12
options [4]	JU.4J						10,4			

				Mulu-Pa	igo		projectea -	Sauntai y
21:3 24:11	25:22	66:2		received [2]	7:13	release [1] 30:12	responsible [3]	10:2
26:2 26:20	27:2	quality [14]	9:7	7:19		releases [2] 38:14	33:9 40:25	
27:23 28:19	33:12	9:8 21:2	23:19	receiving [1]	10:20	52:24	responsivenes	& ron
35:1 44:22	45:3	39:17 44:1	44:24				6:15 6:16	13:25
45:12		48:8 51:8	51:16	recent[1]	46:9	relevant [8] 3:1	42:3 44:15	
projected [4]	19:10	53:1 53:2	61:2	reclaim [2]	17:10	3:8 6:18 8:6		54:24
30:5 61:6	61:10	64:8	01.2	27:17		12:25 16:4 16:5	56:20 60:18	
				reclamation [3]	25.2	51:13	restores [1]	10:3
projects [1]	27:16	quality's [1]	45:7	25:19 33:10	20.2	rely [2] 48:2 51:5	result [1]	64:1
Prolonged [1]	15:22	quarter [2]	46:7		40.11	remaining [1] 10:19	review [13]	2:13
promulgated	115.2	68:24		recommend [3]	40:11		3:9 3:15	8:24
	115.2	quarters [2]	46:7	43:5 43:12		remains [1] 36:16	9:8 9:9	
proof [1] 4:20		46:9	70.7	record [30]	2:17	remember [1] 65:22	41:8 42:2	40:23
properly [3]	2:14			6:12 6:21	7:8	remind [2] 16:1		42:8
7:12 7:14		questions [11]	16:11	7:16 8:7	8:18	70:21		63:10
properties [1]	33:11	34:4 39:23	43:19	11:25 13:4	14:4	1	reviewed [1]	65:6
property [2]	19:6	51:15 56:12	59:17	14:19 14:20	15:21		reviews [1]	41:2
19:12	19.0	59:17 61:21	66:12	16:13 20:1	20:10	Renewable [1] 37:7	revisions [1]	3:11
1		68:19		22:15 24:9	26:2	renovate [1] 65:24	Richard [3]	
proportional [	1] 65:2	quick [1]	68:19	28:3 29:6	36:5	renovating[1] 66:16		16:16
proposed [16]	1:2	Quinn [1]	37:25	39:7 42:7	46:3		18:4 18:6	
29:5 29:11	29:15			58:25 59:1	62:15	repeat [1] 57:9	right [10] 12:13	19:18
29:24 31:7	39:17	quite [3] 7:16	19:10	68:1 70:21		repetition [1] 13:16	20:13 31:5	32:17
40:8 43:12	51:9	21:23		recorded [1]	18:11	replace [1] 50:6	55:24 62:1	63:7
53:14 56:2	56:3	R-U-S-T [1]	57:6			replacing [1] 68:21	64:23 65:7	
60:14 60:22	61:5	race[1] 38:3		recreate [1]	51:4		risk[1] 38:13	
protection [7]	1:1	rain [1] 64:18		recreation [1]	51:6	reply [1] 7:11		2.24
	1:1 21:14			recycled [1]	54:6	Report [1] 1:10	River [12]	2:24
		rainfall [7]	30:4		50	reported [1] 71:8	32:6 32:13	39:18
	33:24	30:7 55:8	63:22			, ~	43:4 45:22	45:25
protects [1]	10:2	64:20 65:2	65:3	redoing [3]	66:4	reporter [7] 1:12	51:5 52:14	54:15
protocol[1]	7:12	rainfalls [1]	63:23	66:11 66:15		14:18 15:13 15:20	54:20 69:10	
proven[1]	45:8	raise [1] 55:24	=	reduction [1]	41:11	57:3 71:7 71:22	Rivers [3]	50:25
			C 10	reference [3]	8:16	reports [1] 52:16	50:25 66:19	
provide [12]	4:8	raised [5]	6:19		0.10	represent [2] 15:9	Robert [1]	57:5
4:12 5:11	5:16	10:23 16:14	59:1			21:11		
5:20 6:8	6:9	70:20		references [1]	42:22		Roger [3]	28:7
9:1 12:15	47:22	Randolph [6]	1:3	referencing [1]	41:24	representation [1]	28:9 28:9	
57:18 58:12		9:23 38:8	38:10	referring [1]	42:17	36:20	role [1] 8:24	
provided [4]	14:6	38:17 51:3				representatives [1]	Ronald [1]	25:15
27:5 41:5	53:22	ranking [1]	38:11	refers [1]	42:19	34:15	roof [1] 58:3	
provides [1]	58:4		30.11	reflect [2]	11:8	representing [1] 15:9	,	
		<b>гаріd</b> [1] 37:8		36:22		1 2	room [3] 14:22	57:1
providing [1]	11:16	rate [3] 46:24	47:1	reflected [1]	13:24		58:24	
provisions [1]	3:20	63:3		refuse [3]		requested [1] 16:8	rough [1]	27:3
public [28]	1:10	rates [4] 50:8	50:8		56:8	require [4] 7:23	roughly [2]	57:21
1:11 2:12	3:18	58:2 58:2	20.0	56:10 56:17		34:9 47:20 56:1	59:6	37,21
3:19 4:6	4:12			regard [2]	51:17	required [7] 12:15		5604
5:20 6:3	8:13	rather [1]	5:6	65:18		30:2 42:20 43:3	round [1]	56:24
11:19 12:12	12:18	reached [1]	8:2	regarding [8]	3:10	47:19 53:6 53:23	rules [8] 2:15	3:3
		reaches [1]	6:14	5:12 8:10	10:20		17:25 48:9	50:17
15:12 15:25	23:23	reaching [1]		12:8 16:11	34:6	requirements [3]	55:25 66:24	67:6
34:22 35:2	36:13		14:17	39:16	J	3:2 3:14 10:10	run [2] 2:14	14:9
36:16 39:23	39:24	reactors [1]	41:16		20.2	rerouting [1] 54:14		
43:25 44:7	44:11	read [6] 16:18	21:23	regardless [1]	38:3	research [2] 40:6	runoff [8]	25:5
60:12 60:16	71:9	29:16 30:23	30:25		62:19	40:9	30:7 30:20	32:22
pulled [1]	48:25	68:20	=	register [1]	64:12	<b>{</b>	32:25 41:17	50:10
pump [1]	41:20	reading [2]	2:16		14:4	reservoir [4] 30:8	53:24	
pumpage [7]	40:3	41:7	2,10	56:25	17.7	30:14 51:19 69:8	runs [2] 12:19	52:15
					0.45	residents [1] 30:16	Rust [3] 57:5	57:6
40:5 40:8	40:12	reads [1] 4:18			8:19	residue [1] 32:24	58:22	5,.0
40:17 41:20	42:13	real [3] 29:1	29:3	registration [3]	6:6	1 2 2 7 7		26.0
purity [1]	30:1	68:5		6:7 16:9		, —	Ryan [4] 25:24	26:9
purpose [8]	2:13	really [11]	18:10	regulated [1]	53:5	resources [2] 10:9	26:11 26:11	
3:17 4:11	13:7	24:11 46:15	49:15			33:24	S-K-R-U-K-R	-U- <b>D</b> [1]
14:19 35:15	36:4	50:14 50:14	50:14	regulations [15]		respect [5] 11:15	39:13	
36:18		53:16 61:11	63:5		5:7	11:15 35:7 38:4	safe [2] 30:7	60:13
purposely [1]	26:7	65:1	03.3		22:8	53:20	safely [1]	63:12
1		t .	70 ·		48:9	l .		
purposes [1]	42:5	reason [1]	53:3		66:24	respectfully [1] 36:18	safety [5]	21:13
pursuing [1]	10:15	reasonable [2]	41:5	67:7 67:21		respond [1] 6:17	21:16 22:2	28:18
put [8] 20:20	36:8	53:18		related [4]	11:6	responding [2] 11:16	34:3	
47:19 49:2	53:3	reasons [1]	35:10		38:15	12:4	sake [1] 37:15	
62:4 65:22	67:5			f _	5:1	responsibility [1]	sampling [1]	43:2
		receive [3]	15:5			45:9		
putting [2]	14:20	18:2 33:21		Relations [1]	8:1	: TJ.7	sanitary [1]	61:22
								ŀ

				Mulu-Pa	ige			Saw -	SULATA
saw [2] 59:12	68:9	sheet [5] 15:1	19:3	sounds [1]	44:12	39:22 51:16		31:24 32:2	33:1
says [5] 22:23	23:22	19:4 23:22	39:25	source [8]	39:19	started [2]	28:13	33:6 33:14	33:18
47:10 49:19	60:24	<b>short</b> [1] 63:13		51:24 53:11	57:14	35:12		37:22 38:24	39:3
school [2]	24:13	shorthand [2]	1:11	60:2 60:9	64:10	starts [1] 68:11		39:6 42:4	43:16
36:7		71:8		67:9		state [13] 1:12	3:3	43:21 44:17	45:13 55:21
Schultz [4]	16:17	should've [2]	17:11	sources [3]	48:7	3:5 15:7	20:13	50:19 55:18 56:23 58:21	58:23
18:5 18:6	18:15	31:5		52:24 60:15		20:14 20:17	37:21	60:4 60:7	61:18
sclerosis [1]	50:9	show [3] 59:2	59:9	south [3] 32:21	32:22	47:9 51:1	57:3	61:24 62:16	65:8
scope [4]	13:5	61:1	0,71,7	54:10		71:1 71:7		65:13 67:25	68:3
56:1 56:3	69:2	showing [4]	48:12	Southern [1]	22:25	state-of-the-ar	<b>t</b> [1]	68:17 69:17	69:21
score [1] 38:7		49:11 49:12	52:22	Sparta [4]	1:11	10:14		70:16	
Scott [4] 25:25	25:25	shown [2]	11:15	24:6 28:12	71:11	statement [7]	2:17	study [4] 64:13	64:14
57:5 57:6	40.40	30:2		Spartan [1]	28:14	5:15 9:1	9:17	65:5 65:6	
se [1] 64:17		shows [2]	49:13	speak [23]	9:18	13:17 62:22	62:24	stuff [1] 49:12	
seated [1]	18:9	60:10		Ĩ3:13 13:19	14:5	statements [7]	5:16	subject [3]	7:4
second [4]	37:2	<b>sic</b> [1] 26:19		14:7 16:8	16:15	11:4 11:5 11:11 12:11	11:7 12:24	7:5 7:8	
51:12 60:24	62:3	Sierra [7]	33:21	16:17 18:5	18:19			submit [9]	13:1
secondary [1]	57:14	33:23 39:14	39:15	21:21 27:12 33:6 47:17	29:1 56:25	states [3] 37:12 40:1	37:7	14:11 36:18	38:25
Secondary [1]		45:19 65:20	66:20	59:10 65:10	65:11	station [1]	67.17	42:6 48:25 49:22 52:15	49:10
	11:4	significant [2]	6:18	68:5 68:6	68:8		67:17		C 10
seconds [1]	13:11	10:12		68:12	-	statistic [1]	38:15	submitted [3] 12:17 41:3	6:19
section [8]	4:16	signs [1] 37:25		speaker [2]	5:22	status [4]	42:19	submitting [3]	6.2
4:18 9:7 34:14 34:15	9:14 41:15	similar [1]	11:1	13:10		46:7 48:12	52:8	7:18 8:19	6:2
59:20	41,13	simple [1]	66:20	speaking [3]	11:12	statute [2] 49:9	5:2	Subpart [2]	3:23
sediment [5]	30:11	simply [4]	14:1	15:19 57:8			40.04	3:25	3123
31:9 31:10	32:14	15:11 18:10	63:10	special [8]	35:7	statutes [1]	49:24	substances [2]	64:3
32:20	J2,1 .	single [1]	64:20	42:16 42:16	42:18	stay [1] 24:2		64:6	07.5
see [22] 6:6	19:6	site [7] 19:5	31:8	42:22 42:23	43:1	Stefanie [3]	1:18	Subtitle [1]	53:10
19:13 24:2	27:7	35:17 46:6	54:7	48:4		9:4 9:4		Subtitles [1]	3:4
27:14 27:17	30:4	56:4 63:4		specific [1]	9:2	steps [4] 24:4	25:4		
30:18 32:24	42:14	site-specific [1	] 34:13	specifically [6]		25:10 30:2	10.0	<b>such</b> [6] 4:19 30:6 33:25	11:22 37:16
42:21 44:5	49:17	situation [1]	35:25	11:7 38:9	51:10	stewardship [2]	10:8	53:14	57.10
52:20 53:21 59:2 59:9	57:17 60:10	size [2] 53:22	63:22	53:20 63:19	<b>5</b> 0	45:9	0.1.0	suggest [2]	41:12
69:16 70:8	00.10	skip [2] 13:19	43:23	specified [1]	7:9	stockpile [5] 31:16 31:21	31:13 62:21	52:7	71.12
seeing [5]	19:4	Skrukrud [21]	33:16	specify[1]	7:3	63:6	02,21	sulfate [5]	41:9
49:1 49:7	49:10	39:7 39:8	39:10	<b>spell</b> [5] 15:13	15:15	stockpiles [5]	30:19	41:11 44:3	44:7
52:22	17.10	39:12 40:10	40:15	15:16 57:3	65:16	31:8 31:8	34:7	63:25	
seepage [1]	34:25	41:7 41:25	42:9	spelled [6]	7:6	63:3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	summarize [2]	59:7
sell[1] 21:12	•	42:25 43:18	43:23	22:16 23:15 39:12 44:20	28:4	storage [1]	34:7	59:8	
send [5] 7:11	8:8	44:16 48:3	51:17	spellings [1]	15:14	storm-water [1]		summary [10]	6:1
17:7 27:15	70:7	59:16 60:6 60:20 61:16	60:8			straight [1]	54:20	6:15 6:17	13:25
sentence [2]	5:12	slight [1]	69:8	spend [2] 67:18	27:21	stream [1]	52:19	42:3 44:15	54:24
8:24	02					streams [2]	68:21	56:20 59:6	60:18
seriously [1]	70:12	<b>slurry</b> [3] 54:5 54:19	32:19	spent [1] 67:16	45.00	68:25	00.21	supplied [1]	40:22
server[1]	7:15	small [2] 53:14	64:5	spoke [2] 46:2	45:22	stress [2]	4:11	supplies [1]	44:8
service [1]	58:9	social [2]	35:15	1 -	57:1	13:15	7.11	supply [13]	23:20
services [2]	5:14	36:19	33:13	<b>spoken</b> [4] 58:24 59:3	59:10	strict [1] 67:6		23:24 24:8	34:22
57:23	J.17	solids [7]	43:7	sponsor [1]	24:15	strictly [1]	4:7	35:2 40:12 44:11 47:5	44:1 57:11
set [7] 3:3	31:22	43:13 43:15	46:11	Springfield [2]		strongly [1]	24:6	58:8 60:12	60:16
44:6 44:9	44:10	46:12 46:12	64:1	8:12	1,15			supplying [1]	30:15
48:8 53:11		someone [5]	13:20	squash[1]	17:20	structure's [1]	66:15	support [20]	17:3
settleable [2]	46:11	23:18 62:17	64:7	squasii[i] stacker[i]	30:21	structures [1]	66:10	18:1 20:1	20:11
46:12		69:12				Studer [74]	1:13	21:2 21:10	21:11
seven [1]	61:2	somewhat [1]	68:21	staff [3] 8:22 12:3	10:8	2:1 2:2 9:16 10:22	8:9 16:23	21:19 22:9	22:12
seven-year-old		somewhere [1]	67:19			17:1 18:4	18:8	25:22 26:2	26:12
67:10		soon[1] 10:21		stage [1] 59:19		18:16 18:21	19:16	26:19 27:23	28:19
	37:11	sophisticated	11	stand [1] 31:4	24.0	19:20 20:4	20:7	44:22 45:11 58:19	58:15
seventh [1]		46:25	•	standard [7]	34:9 51:20	20:22 21:4	21:20	suppression [1]	54.7
seventh [1] several [6]	29:9		16:25	44:11 48:8 53:2 53:11	51:20 64:9	22:10 22:15 23:10 24:18	22:18 24:21		
several [6] 30:1 61:3	63:24	SOTTY [12]	10:23		0				
several [6]	63:24	<b>sorry</b> [12] 26:16 34:17	38:9					surface [5]	9:23 28:11
several [6] 30:1 61:3 65:25 66:2 sewer [1]	63:24 58:9	26:16 34:17 38:17 39:2	38:9 39:9	standards [10]	5:8	25:14 25:23	26:9	22:5 25:2	28:11
several [6] 30:1 61:3 65:25 66:2	63:24	26:16 34:17 38:17 39:2 55:18 61:19	38:9		5:8 28:18	25:14 25:23 26:14 26:21		22:5 25:2 41:13	28:11
several [6] 30:1 61:3 65:25 66:2 sewer [1] shall [2] 4:19 Sharon [2]	63:24 58:9	26:16 34:17 38:17 39:2 55:18 61:19 68:16 69:14	38:9 39:9 68:13	standards [10] 9:7 9:13	5:8	25:14 25:23 26:14 26:21 27:12 27:24 28:7 28:20	26:9 27:9 28:3 28:25	22:5 25:2	
several [6] 30:1 61:3 65:25 66:2 sewer [1] shall [2] 4:19	63:24 58:9 48:6	26:16 34:17 38:17 39:2 55:18 61:19	38:9 39:9	standards [10] 9:7 9:13 44:2 44:7	5:8 28:18 48:11	25:14 25:23 26:14 26:21 27:12 27:24	26:9 27:9 28:3	22:5 25:2 41:13 surprising [2]	28:11

				TATMINI-L.	igo		2	изредаец - wc	macimi
suspended [4] 43:13 43:15	43:7 46:11	thought [3] 39:22 67:22	35:12	treatment [11] 40:20 41:5	38:2 41:16	16:12 45:2 47:24 55:10	47:9 60:9	50:6 50:10 51:6 51:8	50:12 51:16
		1	20.10	41:19 46:25	47:9	66:10	00.5	53:1 53:2	54:5
Suzanne [4]	22:19	thousand [2]	38:19	47:15 47:18	55:3	utilize [1]	45.1	54:9 57:11	57:12
23:11 23:14	23:15	68:21		55:4	23.3		45:1	57:13 57:14	57:15
sweep [1]	56:12	three [6] 59:11	59:17	treatments [1]	47:8	utilizing [1]	10:8	57:19 58:2	58:2
switching [1]	53:12	59:17 64:5	64:8			Valerius [2]	1:11	58:4 60:2	60:9
system [4]	2:20	70:1		tremendous [1]		71:6		60:12 60:13	60:16
7:10 54:5	63:21	three-year [1]	46:6	tributaries [1]	2:24	values [1]	52:18	61:2 62:7	63:9
systems [1]	10:14	through [13]	11:23	tributary [6]	31:9	various [2]	24:13	63:18 64:2	64:7
table [1] 34:20	1011	12:19 12:20	49:8	43:3 43:4	43:9	24:14	,•	64:8 64:10	67:7
table's [1]	24.00	49:9 53:15	54:19	51:24 60:25		vegetation[1]	32:8	67:9 69:7	
	34:23	56:24 58:3	63:4	tries [1] 36:8		vehicle [1]	4:21	water's [1]	54:16
tag [1] 36:8		68:13 70:6	70:23	trip [1] 50:23		verify [1]	61:22	waters [1]	41:9
taking [2]	3:18	throughout [1]	10:16	true [3] 40:4	44:5			watershed [9]	31:11
14:19		throughput [1]	63:1	71:13		versus [2] 62:22	38:20	51:5 60:11	60:12
talks [1] 43:1		timely [4]	2:10	truly [2] 17:15	17:25	1	4.01	60:15 62:8	63:19
tallying [1]	36:6	7:20 13:9	14:16	Trustees [1]	23:17	vessel[1]	4:21	63:22 65:5	
TDS [2] 44:9	44:9	times [6] 13:24	17:7	try [2] 17:23	27:17	viable [1]	41:11	weather [1]	40:2
team [1] 20:13		17:18 36:6	48:13			Village [12]	23:17	Web [2] 4:4	14:24
technical [1]	4:25	50:4		trying [2] 55:6	17:19	24:10 24:12	24:14	website [1]	4:3
technically [1]	53:17	timing [1]	15:17		26.5	39:20 46:23	57:6 57:16	weeks [1]	15:3
technique [1]		TMDL [3]	59:18	<b>turn</b> [4] 17:10 37:4 42:21	36:5	57:7 57:8 57:21 58:14	57:16	weight [2]	14:1
, .	41:21	59:20 59:22			40.14	violate [2]	40.11	14:13	17.1
technology [2]	28:14	today [2]	46:4	turning [1]	42:14	50:5	48:11	welcome [1]	2;6
47:24	04.55	46:5		two [12] 5:12	15:2	†	40-10		
teenager [1]	24:25	together [2]	14:20	15:3 59:7	59:11	violated [1]	48:12	well-documen 36:13	.icu [1]
telling [1]	47:12	22:7		59:13 61:21 68:3 68:6	61:21 68:12	violating [1]	49:25		- 10.0
ten [1] 56:16		Tom [5] 21:23	22:11	68:19	06.12	violation [4]	4:22	well-trained [1]	
tentative [2]	22:24	22:12 22:13	22:19	two-foot [2]	34:8	48:7 49:9	70:1	west [8] 5:13	5:15
23:7		tonight [21]	2:13	34:11	34.0	violations [2]	48:13	8:25 9:16	9:20
terms [1] 44:4		5:18 6:1	8:14	_ · · · ·	64:14	49:24		10:22 32:15	56:9
testify[1]	21:18	9:15 10:23	11:4	type [2] 41:18	04.17	volunteer[1]	33:20	wetlands [4]	41:16
tests [1] 30:2		11:6 12:5	12:11	typo [1] 42:22		W-A-L-D-M-A	\-N <sub>[1]</sub>	47:9 55:5	55:10
thank [66]	2:6	12:12 12:21	16:12	under [11]	3:20	22:1		whatnot [1]	63:23
9:16 9:20	10:21	22:23 33:19 34:15 39:11	33:22 39:15	5:2 12:6 41:15 43:1	34:8 43:13	W-I-L-H-E-L-	M [2]	whole [1]	27:1
10:22 18:3	18:4	51:7 52:15	33.13	48:6 53:5	60:12	26:18 33:5		Wildlife [1]	51:2
18:16 19:16	20:4	tonight's [2]	2:6	60:22	00112	W-I-L-S-O-N	[1]	Wilhelm [12]	26:10
20:21 20:22	21:4	5:9	2.0	underground [4	1	19:1		26:14 26:15	26:17
21:20 22:10	23:9	Tony [5] 28:8	28:20	2:21 9:22	22:4	Waldman [5]	21:6	26:18 29:21	33:2
23:10 24:17	24:18	28:23 28:23	29:2	41:13		21:21 21:25	22:1	33:3 33:4 33:8 33:14	33:4
25:12 25:14 26:9 26:14	25:23 27:24	too [10] 29:7	29:8	understand [4]	42:10	22:13			26.6
28:20 29:18	29:19	29:9 29:14	30:15	62:22 63:10	68:19	wants [2]	59:7	willfully [1]	26:6
32:25 33:1	33:2	34:16 43:17	44:21	understood [1]	32:5	68:12		Willhite [1]	2:5
33:13 33:14	33:17	55:1 67:22		Unit [1] 9:13		warrant [1]	11:21	Wilson [4]	18:21
39:4 39:10	40:13	tool[1] 60:10		United [1]	37:11	washing [1]	54:6	18:22 18:24	18:25
42:25 44:16	44:17	top [1] 62:2		unknown [1]	36:16	Washington [2]	51:4	win [1] 33:10	
45:12 45:13	50:18	topic [1] 37:20				57:15		wind [6] 37:1	37:3
50:19 50:21	56:21	total [11] 43:6	42.7	unless [1]	56:18	waste [1]	61:22	37:6 37:9	37:12
56:21 58:21 60:20 61:16	58:22	43:13 43:15	43:7 46:11	unnamed [1]	2:24		2:23	37:15	
60:20 61:16 62:16 65:7	61:18 65:8	52:21 57:21	58:4	unnecessary [1]		61:23 62:5		wishes [2]	12:23
67:24 67:25	69:5	59:14 59:23	63:25	unruly [1]	11:18	water [87]	2:5	14:8	
69:6 69:19	69:20	totally [1]	25:7	<b>up</b> [17] 9:18	27:12	3:3 9:7	9:8	withdrawals [1	-
69:21 70:14	70:16	toward [2]	9:19	28:13 29:1	33:6	9:13 19:4	19:6	within [6]	12:18
70:17 70:23		69:10	2.19	34:6 40:14	47:7	19:9 19:14	21:2	13:12 14:15	29:11
Thanks [2]	54:25	town [2] 29:14	35:9	49:3 52:23	54:23	22:7 23:19	23:20	60:15 64:8	
61:20		transcribed [1]		57:25 59:7	61:14	23:23 24:6 25:1 26:7	24:8 30:1	without [2]	37:17
that'll [2]	23:12		71:12	65:18 65:20	66:17	30:9 30:10	30:12	58:2	
24:21		transcript [3]	14:24	up-front[1]	68:5	30:14 32:9	32:15	withstand [1]	32:13
theme [1]	43:11	15:5 71:14		upgrading [1]	66:7	33:24 34:20	34:22	woman [1]	46:21
themselves [2]	5:11	transcription [1	j	upper[1]	38:12	35:2 37:16	38:14	wonder [2]	34:24
8:23		71:13	60:4	upset [1] 19:8		39:13 39:17	39:19	54:18	
thereafter [1]	71:11	transferring [1]		used [2] 55:5	64:15	40:12 44:1	44:1	wondered [7]	30:22
Therefore [1]	14:21	transporting [1]		user[1] 30:8		44:8 44:11 45:1 45:2	44:24 45:7	34:10 47:7	47:10
they've [5]	24:12	treat [4] 11:13	17:23	uses [3] 41:2	51:6	45:1 45:2 45:24 46:20	45:7 46:22	68:22 69:6	69:10
		33:11 46:22						wondering [2]	54:11
45:8 46:10	58:17		A 64	60:24		46:25 47:3	47:5		0 1122
	58:17	treated [1]	2:23	using [9] 10:3	10:13	46:25 47:3 48:2 48:8	47:5 50:6	56:5	5 1122

	words - zero			
words [1]	7:5	Multi-Page <sup>TM</sup>		
worked [4] 22:25 26:3	17:15			
22:25 26:3	26:5			
workers [4]	9:25			
35:5 35:14	67:23			
works [1]	35:24			
worst [1]	38:12			
would've [2]	47:21			
64:19				1
writing [4] 13:2 14:11	6:2			
13:2 14:11	21:24			
written [11] 6:22 8:8	6:11 8:19			
11:23 12:16	14:12			
14:14 42:6	52:16			
70:23				
wrong [1]	38:20			
www.ipcb.star	te.il.us			
[1] 4:3				
year[1] 36:11				
years [8] 22:25	23:1			
25:3 25:8	32:19			
35:1 56:16	70:1			
yet [3] 23:18 68:4	43:22			
Vork :: 26.5				
York [1] 36:5				
zero [1] 23:25				
		1		
İ				