NPDES Permit No. IL0079481 Notice No. 5982c

Public Notice Beginning Date: April 26, 2012
Public Hearing Date: June 20, 2012
Public Notice Ending Date: July 20, 2012

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Program

Draft New NPDES Permit to Discharge into Waters of the State

Public Notice/Fact Sheet Issued By:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Bureau of Water, Division of Water Pollution Control
Permit Section
1021 North Grand Avenue East
Post Office Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
217/782-0610

Name and Address of Discharger:

Name and Address of Facility:

Peabody Gateway North Mining, LLC 7100 Eagle Crest Boulevard Suite 100 Evansville, IN 47715-8152 Peabody Gateway North Mining, LLC Gateway North Mine 12968 State Route 13 Coulterville, Illinois 62237 ½ mile west of Coulterville, Illinois (Randolph County)

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) has made a tentative determination to issue an NPDES permit to discharge into waters of the state and has prepared a draft permit and associated fact sheet for the above named discharger. The Public Notice period will begin and end on the dates indicated in the heading of this Public Notice/Fact Sheet. Comments will be accepted until the Public Notice period ending date indicated above, unless a request for an extension of the original comment period is granted by the Agency. Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the draft permit to the IEPA at the above address. Commentors shall provide his or her name and address and the nature of the issues proposed to be raised and the evidence proposed to be presented with regards to those issues. The NPDES permit number must appear on each comment page.

The application, engineer's review notes, Public Notice/Fact Sheet, draft permit, comments received, and other documents are available for inspection and may be copied at the IEPA between 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. Monday through Friday when scheduled by the interested person.

The Illinois EPA has scheduled a public hearing to accept comments on this draft permit. The hearing will take place on Wednesday, June 20, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. in the City of Sparta Council Chambers, 114 West Jackson, Sparta, Illinois. The hearing will be held pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 166, Subpart A and in accordance with the provisions of 35 Ill. Adm. Code, Sections 309.115 through 309.199. Part 166 is available online at the Illinois Pollution Control Board website at http://www.ipcb.state.il.us/documents/dsweb/Get/Document-11866/. Part 309 (including Sections 309.115 through 309.119) is available online at http://www.ipbc.state.il.us/documents/dsweb/Get/Document-33358/. If you do not have access to the internet, you may obtain copies of these regulations by contacting the hearing officer at (217) 558-8280 or by e-mail at dean.studer@illinois.gov. Requests for special needs at the hearing are to made to the hearing officer by May 1, 2012.

Following the hearing the Illinois EPA will accept written comments for a period of 30 days. All comments submitted by mail must be postmarked no later than July 20, 2012. Comments submitted by e-mail must be sent to epa.publichearingcom@illinois.gov and must contain the words "Gateway North Mine NPDES" in the subject line and must be received before midnight when the date changes from July 20 to July 21, 2012. The Illinois EPA will consider all significant and relevant issues related to the draft permit that are contained in the hearing record and will respond to these in a Responsiveness Summary which will be made available at the time that a final decision is reached in this matter.

Written comments are to be submitted to Hearing Officer Dean Studer, Office of Community Relations, Re: Gateway North Mine NPDES, 1021 North Grand Avenue East, P.O. Box 19276, Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276. If submitting comments by courier, the zipcode is 62702. E-mail comments must be submitted to epa.publichearingcom@illinois.gov and must specify "Gateway North Mine NPDES" in the subject line.

The applicant proposes a new underground coal mine (SIC 1222). Mine operations result in the discharge of alkaline mine drainage.

Public Notice/Fact Sheet - Page 2 - NPDES Permit No. IL0079481

Application is made for two (2) new discharges which are located in Randolph County, Illinois. The following information identifies the discharge points, receiving streams and stream classifications:

<u>Outfall</u>	Receiving <u>Stream</u>	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Stream Classification
001	Unnamed tributary to Marys River	38°10′55.1"	89°37'26.8"	General Use
002	Unnamed tributary	38°11'30.9"	89°37'8.0"	General Use

The stream segment IL02 of Marys River receiving the flow from the unnamed tributary into which Outfalls 001 discharges is not on the draft 2010 303(d) list of impaired waters.

The unnamed tributary receiving the discharges from Outfall 002 is not on the draft 2010 303d list of impaired waters; however, this unnamed tributary is ultimately tributary to Coulterville Lake which is on the draft 2010 303(d) list of impaired waters. The following parameters have been identified as the pollutants causing impairments of Coulterville Lake:

<u>Outfall</u>	<u>Pollutant</u>
002	Atrazine, Manganese, Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Phosphorus (total), Aquatic Algae

The alkaline mine discharge from this facility shall be monitored and limited at all times as follows:

Outfall: 001:

							Parame	ters					
Discharge Condition	Suspend	otal ded Solids (3) ng/l) daily	(3)	(total)) (4) ng/l) daily	pH (3) (S.U.)	Alkalinity/ Acidity (3)	Sulfate (1) (mg/l)	Chloride (mg/l)	Mn (total) (mg/l)	Hardness (5)	Mercury	Flow (MGD)	Settleable Solids (2) (ml/l)
	average	maximum	average	maximum									(1111/1)
I	35	70	3.0	6.0	6.5-9.0	Alk.>Acid	1746	500	1.0	Monitor only	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-
II	-	-	-	-	6.0-9.0	-	1746	500	-	Monitor only	-	Measure When Sampling	0.5
III	-	-	-	-	6.0-9.0	-	1746	500	-	Monitor only	-	Measure When Sampling	-
IV	35	70	3.0	6.0	6.5-9.0	Alk.>Acid	1746	500	1.0	Monitor only	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-

- I Dry weather discharge (base flow or mine pumpage) from the outfall.
- II In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110(a), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period less than or equal to the 10-year, 24 hour precipitation event (or snowmelt or equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106(b). The 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event for this area is considered to be 4.76 inches.
- III In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110(d), any discharge or increase in volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period greater than the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt of equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106(b).
- IV Discharges continuing 24 hours after cessation of precipitation event that resulted in discharge. For outfalls which have no allowed mixing, monitoring requirements and permit limitations of Discharge Condition IV are identical to Discharge Condition I to which the outfall discharge has reverted.
- (1) Sulfate water quality standards and effluent limitations determined in accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 302.208(h).
- (2) Settleable solids are monitored only as a result of a discharge due to precipitation events which exceed a predetermined 24-hour duration or snowmelt total. Settleable solids effluent limitations for alkaline mine discharges are contained in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110.
- (3) Effluent standards for mine discharges are contained in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106.
- (4) Discharges from Outfall 001, being approved after July 27, 1987, are subject to a 30-day average effluent limitation for Iron of 3.0 mg/l. Daily maximum effluent concentrations are calculated as twice the 30-day average.
- (5) Hardness monitoring is required to determine the appropriateness of the sulfate permit limit.

Public Notice/Fact Sheet - Page 4 - NPDES Permit No. IL0079481

The alkaline mine discharge from this facility shall be monitored and limited at all times as follows:

Outfall: 002


						P	arameters					
Discharge Condition	Suspend (otal ded Solids (3) ng/l) daily maximum	(3)	(total)) (4) ng/l) daily maximum	pH (3) (S.U.)	Alkalinity/ Acidity (3)	Sulfate (1) (mg/l)	Chloride (1) (mg/l)	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	Hardness (5)	Flow (MGD)	Settleable Solids (2) (ml/l)
I	35	70	3.0	6.0	6.5-9.0	Alk.>Acid	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-
II	-	-	•	-	6.0-9.0	-	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	0.5
III	-	-	-	-	6.0-9.0	-	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-
IV	35	70	3.0	6.0	6.5-9.0	Alk.>Acid	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-

- I Dry weather discharge (base flow or mine pumpage) from the outfall.
- II In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110(a), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period less than or equal to the 10-year, 24 hour precipitation event (or snowmelt or equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106(b). The 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event for this area is considered to be 4.76 inches.
- III In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110(d), any discharge or increase in volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period greater than the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt of equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106(b).
- IV Discharges continuing 24 hours after cessation of precipitation event that resulted in discharge. For outfalls which have no allowed mixing, monitoring requirements and permit limitations of Discharge Condition IV are identical to Discharge Condition I to which the outfall discharge has reverted.
- (1) Sulfate and chloride water quality standards determined in accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 302.304.
- (2) Settleable solids are monitored only as a result of a discharge due to precipitation events which exceed a predetermined 24-hour duration or snowmelt total. Settleable solids effluent limitations for alkaline mine discharges are contained in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110.
- (3) Effluent standards for mine discharges are contained in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106.
- (4) Discharges from Outfall 002, being approved after July 27, 1987, are subject to a 30-day average effluent limitation for Iron of 3.0 mg/l. Daily maximum effluent concentrations are calculated as twice the 30-day average.
- (5) Hardness monitoring is required to determine the appropriateness of the sulfate permit limit.

To assist you in identifying the location of the discharges, please refer to the attached map. The permit area for this facility is located in Sections 11, 14, 15, 22, 24, Township 4 South, Range 5 West, 3rd P.M., Randolph County, Illinois.

Peabody Gateway North Mining, L.L.C. - Gateway North Mine NPDES No. IL0079481

Randolph County Township 4 South, Range 5 West



Antidegradation Assessment Peabody Gateway North Mining, LLC – Gateway North Mine NPDES Permit No. IL0079481 Randolph County

A new underground room and pillar coal mine is proposed. An application for an NPDES permit for storm related discharges of wastewater from a 172.1 acre mine site has been received. Two outfalls from sedimentation ponds are proposed. Outfall 001 will consist of pond overflow from runoff from office buildings, parking lots, access roads, surface area associated with mine portal, temporary coal storage area and soil and overburden stockpiles. Discharges from Outfall 001 will also include pumpage associated with slope/shaft construction activities as well as pumpage from the underground mining operation. The catchment area for this outfall is 68.1 acres. Outfall 002 will consist of pond overflow from runoff from access roads and surface support areas containing air and escape shafts, and materials and electrical drops and will have a 23.5 acre catchment area. Materials used in this review were obtained from the applicant under covers dated March 23, 2011, and June 6, 2011.

Identification and Characterization of the Affected Water Body.

Outfall 001 will discharge to an unnamed tributary of Marys River that has a 7Q10 flow of zero cfs. Outfall 002 will discharge to an unnamed tributary of Coulterville Lake, also with zero 7Q10 flow. Both tributaries are General Use waters. Coulterville Lake is a General Use water and is a public water supply. Coulterville Lake is listed as impaired for Public and Food Processing Water Supply and aesthetic uses in the draft 2010 Illinois Integrated Water Quality Report and Section 303(d) List. The potential causes of Public and Food Processing Water Supply impairment are given as atrazine and manganese. The potential causes of aesthetic impairment are aquatic algae (non-pollutant), total phosphorus and total suspended solids. Neither of the unnamed tributaries is listed on the draft 2010 Illinois Integrated Water Quality Report and Section 303(d) List as they were not assessed. Neither unnamed tributary is listed as a biologically significant stream in the 2008 Illinois Department of Natural Resources Publication Integrating Multiple Taxa in a Biological Stream Rating System, nor is either given an integrity rating. Neither unnamed tributary is designated as an enhanced water pursuant to the dissolved oxygen water quality standard. The IDNR WIRT system does not list any state threatened or endangered aquatic species as residing in the receiving water bodies.

Both outfalls are located in the absolute headwaters of the watershed. Water will be found in these streams only after rainfall events. Aquatic life found in the streams will be those forms adapted to periodic absence of water. The applicant conducted macroinvertebrate and fish surveys at several stream sites in the area of the mine site. One of these sampling stations is in the approximate location of Outfall 002. The aquatic life communities found were limited, as expected in this region of Illinois, for these very small streams. Peabody collected water quality data for Coulterville Lake in 2011. In mg/l, the results were as follows: Sulfate 24.2: Chloride 5.9; Manganese 0.6 mg/l and Total Dissolved Solids 146. Sampling for typical mine water quality parameters was conducted in 2009 and 2010 for the receiving stream for Outfall 001.

Identification of Proposed Pollutant Load Increases or Potential Impacts on Uses.

Suspended solids will be treated in the sedimentation ponds. Effluent discharged from these ponds will contain suspended solids loadings that are similar to those occurring from the land in its present use. Likewise, manganese loading from Outfall 002 will be similar to that from the existing land use. Manganese loading from Outfall 001 may increase from existing levels. Sulfates and chlorides will also increase the loading to the receiving streams as a result of the mining activities.

The applicant conducted an analysis of the potential impacts of Outfall 002 of the water quality of Coulterville Lake. Outfall 002 will consist only of runoff associated with access roads and surface support areas containing air and escape shafts, and materials and electrical drops. Effluent concentrations from the sedimentation pond will be only minimally raised from existing levels for chloride and sulfate. This input to the tributary to the lake was modeled to show what the long-term overall affects would be on lake water quality for several parameters. Given that the tributary receiving the Outfall 002 effluent is just a portion of the flow into the lake, and that the effluent is predicted to be of very good quality and limits will be applied to the permit to ensure this will be so, the impacts to the lake will be extremely minimal. All Public and Food Processing Water Supply water quality standards will be met in the effluent. Increases in lake concentration of chloride and sulfate will be in terms of a few mg/l.

Fate and Effect of Parameters Proposed for Increased Loading.

Suspended solids discharged will eventually be incorporated into bed sediments and will continue to move downstream. Sulfate and chloride will remain dissolved in the water and will move through the downstream continuum. Small amounts of these substances will be removed by organisms as these substances are necessary for life. No adverse impacts to the receiving streams will occur as all water quality standards will be met.

Purpose and Social & Economic Benefits of the Proposed Activity.

The underground mine will extract the coal resources of the site. According to information submitted by the applicant, opening a new mine will provide jobs for 340 local residents with an annual payroll of approximately \$40 million. In addition, other local businesses would also benefit from the wealth created by the mine. Local and state taxes will increase as a result of the mine. Randolph County currently has an unemployment rate of 9.5% (2010). In 2008, 14.4% of county residents were living below the poverty level.

Assessments of Alternatives for Less Increase in Loading or Minimal Environmental Degradation.

Stormwater control at coal mines is a matter of applying established best management practices. The final step in these practices involves sedimentation ponds to catch all runoff from the mine, settle out solids, provide a venue for pH adjustment if necessary and allow a controlled discharge of the effluent to the receiving stream. Prior steps involve the minimization of exposed earth and coal refuse to the elements. Alternatives to this system of prevention and treatment of pollutants have been evaluated by the mine company in a document submitted on March 23, 2011 entitle Peabody Gateway North Mining LLC — Gateway North Mine Analysis of Benefits and Alternatives to Lessen Water Quality Impact and are summarized as follows:

No discharge. Given the climate of Randolph County, the mine company concludes that evaporation is not a viable option for disposal of the stormwater runoff mine effluent. Containing and re-using all of the effluent is not viable given that there are no users for this water available that would want water after storm events.

Discharge to POTW or Other Sources. The nearest POTW is Coulterville, approximately four miles away and the only entity in the area that could possibly receive the stormwater. POTWs are not designed to treat wastewaters containing dissolved substances such as chloride or sulfate. Capacity at the Coulterville POTW would be insufficient to handle stormwater flows from the mine. This option is not feasible.

Treat water to eliminate pollutants. Given the intermittent nature of stormwater runoff, facilities to treat the effluent for sulfate and chloride would be subject to large volumes for a few days per year and little or no effluent to treat for the remainder of the year. This has implications for sizing of the treatment facilities and maintenance of idled equipment that makes treatment for these substances infeasible. Additionally, each identified option has these or other drawbacks as described:

Filtration. Filtration will not remove dissolved substances, which are the primary potential pollutants present in sedimentation pond effluent.

Chemical Precipitation. Alkaline chemicals may be added to acid mine effluent to precipitate metals. The sludges produced must be disposed of and in some cases will contain hazardous materials added to the wastewater to attain precipitation. The chemistry of chemical precipitation does not lend itself to being turned on and off in relation to runoff events. The additives used required mining in their own right. The water discharged may contain these additives, such as aluminum, in elevated concentrations. These drawbacks make chemical precipitation infeasible.

Ion Exchange. Ordinary ion exchange would produce a high strength waste water that would have to be disposed of offsite. The ion exchange equipment would not operate successfully with an intermittent runoff-related effluent stream such as that found at coal mines. These drawbacks make ion exchange infeasible for use at the coal mine.

Membrane Processes. Standard reverse osmosis (RO) treatment would not be feasible as it has high energy and maintenance requirements and produces a waste stream that must be disposed of offsite. Membrane systems would not be amenable to sudden surges in wastewater typical of stormwater runoff events because they have limited capacity and are not easily started after periods of no flow. For these reasons membrane processes would be infeasible for use at the coal mine.

Biological treatment in wetlands or reactors. Anaerobic conditions must be maintained in wetlands for sulfate to be reduced by bacteria. Large wetlands would be required and treatment would be very hard to control. This method is not feasible for the conditions of intermittent flow present at this mine. Likewise, biological reactors must maintain bacteria under anaerobic conditions. The intermittent nature of the stormwater runoff effluent would also make this treatment infeasible as the bacteria would be difficult to maintain without a constant food supply. A bioreactor such as used in Champagne Creek, Idaho is not practical for Illinois because that system is a small volume continuously discharging low pH, high metals mine wastewater, not a large volume, intermittent, neutral pH and low metals mine wastewater proposed for Gateway North Mine.

Cost Effective Sulfate Removal (CESR) process. This is a proprietary technology that uses hydrated lime and proprietary chemicals to precipitate gypsum, metals and ettringite. Sludges would be produced that would require landfill disposal. The proprietary technology is still being developed. These drawbacks make the CESR process infeasible for use at the coal mine.

Summary Comments of the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Regional Planning Commissions, Zoning Boards or Other Entities

The Illinois Department of Natural Resources was consulted on endangered species issues via the Eco-CAT system on June 6, 2011. No aquatic endangered or threatened species were identified. Consultation was terminated in a June 7, 2011 letter from IDNR.

Agency Conclusion.

This assessment was conducted pursuant to the Illinois Pollution Control Board regulation for Antidegradation found at 35 Ill. Adm. Code 302.105 (Antidegradation standard). We find that the proposed activity will result in the attainment of water quality standards. All existing uses will be fully protected. All technically and economically reasonable measures to avoid or minimize the extent of the proposed increase in pollutant loading have been incorporated into the proposed activity. This activity will benefit the community at large by providing jobs. The proposed activity is therefore compliance with the Antidegradation standard.

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

Division of Water Pollution Control

1021 North Grand Avenue, East

P.O. Box 19276

Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

New NPDES Permit

Expiration Date: Issue Date: Effective Date:

Name and Address of Permittee: Facility Name and Address:

Peabody Gateway North Mining, LLC 7100 Eagle Crest Boulevard Suite 100 Evansville, IN 47715-8152

Discharge Number and Classification: Receiving waters

001 Alkaline Mine Drainage Unnamed tributary to Marys River

002 Alkaline Mine Drainage Unnamed tributary to Coulterville Lake

In compliance with the provisions of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, Subtitle C and/or Subtitle D Rules and Regulations of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, and the Clean Water Act, the above-named permittee is hereby authorized to discharge at the above location to the above-named receiving stream in accordance with the standard conditions and attachments herein.

Permittee is not authorized to discharge after the above expiration date. In order to receive authorization to discharge beyond the expiration date, the permittee shall submit the proper application as required by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) not later than 180 days prior to the expiration date.

Ronald E. Morse, Manager Mine Pollution Control Program Bureau of Water

Peabody Gateway North Mining, LLC

Gateway North Mine

(Randolph County)

12968 State Route 13

Coulterville, Illinois 62237 ½ mile west of Coulterville, Illinois

REM:LDC:IW:cs/5982c/4-17-12

NPDES Permit No. IL0079481

Effluent Limitations and Monitoring

From the effective date of this Permit until the expiration date, the effluent of the following discharge shall be monitored and limited at all times as follows:

Outfall*: 001 (Alkaline Mine Drainage)

							Parame	eters					
Discharge Condition	Suspend (m	otal ded Solids ng/l) ***	(m	(total) ng/l)	pH** (S.U.)	Alkalinity/ Acidity	Sulfate (mg/l)	Chloride (mg/l)	Mn (total) (mg/l)	Hardness	Mercury see Special Condition	Flow (MGD)	Settleable Solids
	30 day average	daily maximum	30 day average	daily maximum					***		No. 14		(ml/l)
I	35	70	3.0	6.0	6.5-9.0	Alk.>Acid	1746	500	1.0	Monitor only	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-
II	-	-	-	1	6.0-9.0	-	1746	500	-	Monitor only	•	Measure When Sampling	0.5
III	-	-	-	-	6.0-9.0	-	1746	500	-	Monitor only	-	Measure When Sampling	-
IV	35	70	3.0	6.0	6.5-9.0	Alk.>Acid	1746	500	1.0	Monitor only	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-

- I Dry weather discharge (base flow or mine pumpage) from the outfall.
- II In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110(a), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period less than or equal to the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt or equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106(b). The 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event for this area is considered to be 4.76 inches.
- III In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110(d), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period greater than the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt of equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106(b).
- IV Discharges continuing 24 hours after cessation of precipitation event that resulted in discharge. For outfalls which have no allowed mixing, monitoring requirements and permit limitations of Discharge Condition IV are identical to Discharge Condition I to which the outfall discharge has reverted.

Sampling during all Discharge Conditions shall be performed utilizing the grab sampling method.

*** There shall be a minimum of nine (9) samples collected during the quarter when the pond is discharging. Of these 9 samples, a minimum of one sample each month shall be taken during either Discharge Condition I or IV should such discharge condition occur. A "no flow" situation is not considered to be a sample of the discharge. In the event that Discharge Conditions II and/or III occur, grab sample of each discharge caused by the above precipitation events (Discharge Conditions II and/or III) shall be taken and analyzed for the parameters identified in the table above during at least 3 separate events each quarter. For quarters in which there are less than 3 such precipitation events resulting in discharges, a grab sample of the discharge shall be required whenever such precipitation event(s) occur(s). Should a sufficient number of discharge events occur during the quarter, the remaining three (3) quarterly samples may be taken during any of the Discharge Conditions described above.

^{*} The Permittee is subject to the limitations, and monitoring and reporting requirements of Special Condition No. 12 for the discharges from Outfall 001 and unnamed tributary to Marys River receiving such discharges.

^{**} No discharge is allowed from any above referenced permitted outfall during "low flow" or "no flow" conditions in the receiving stream unless such discharge meets the water quality standards of 35 III. Adm. Code 302.204 for pH.

NPDES Permit No. IL0079481

Effluent Limitations and Monitoring

From the effective date of this Permit until the expiration date, the effluent of the following discharge shall be monitored and limited at all times as follows:

Outfall*: 002 (Alkaline Mine Drainage)

							Par	ameters				
Discharge Condition	Susp So (m	otal ended lids g/l) **	(m	(total) ng/l) **	pH** (S.U.)	Alkalinity/ Acidity	Sulfate (mg/l)	Chloride (mg/l)	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	Hardness ***	Flow (MGD)	Settleable Solids (ml/l)
	30 day average	daily maximum	30 day average	daily maximum					(3)			(****)
I	35	70	3.0	6.0	6.5-9.0	Alk.>Acid	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-
II	-	-	-	-	6.0-9.0	-	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	0.5
III	-	-	-	-	6.0-9.0	-	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-
IV	35	70	(VAR)	(VAR)	6.5-9.0	Alk.>Acid	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-

- I Dry weather discharge (base flow or mine pumpage) from the outfall.
- II In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110(a), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period less than or equal to the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt or equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106(b). The 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event for this area is considered to be 4.76 inches.
- III In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.110(d), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period greater than the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt of equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106(b).
- IV Discharges continuing 24 hours after cessation of precipitation event that resulted in discharge. For outfalls which have no allowed mixing, monitoring requirements and permit limitations of Discharge Condition IV are identical to Discharge Condition I to which the outfall discharge has reverted.

Sampling during all Discharge Conditions shall be performed utilizing the grab sampling method.

*** There shall be a minimum of nine (9) samples collected during the quarter when the pond is discharging. Of these 9 samples, a minimum of one sample each month shall be taken during either Discharge Condition I or IV should such discharge condition occur. A "no flow" situation is not considered to be a sample of the discharge. In the event that Discharge Conditions II and/or III occur, grab sample of each discharge caused by the above precipitation events (Discharge Conditions II and/or III) shall be taken and analyzed for the parameters identified in the table above during at least 3 separate events each quarter. For quarters in which there are less than 3 such precipitation events resulting in discharges, a grab sample of the discharge shall be required whenever such precipitation event(s) occur(s). Should a sufficient number of discharge events occur during the quarter, the remaining three (3) quarterly samples may be taken during any of the Discharge Conditions described above.

^{*} The Permittee is subject to the limitations, and monitoring and reporting requirements of Special Condition No. 12 for the discharges from Outfall 002 and unnamed tributary to Coulterville Lake receiving such discharges.

^{**} No discharge is allowed from any above referenced permitted outfall during "low flow" or "no flow" conditions in the receiving stream unless such discharge meets the water quality standards of 35 III. Adm. Code 302.204 for pH.

NPDES Permit No. IL0079481

Effluent Limitations and Monitoring

Upon completion of Special Condition 9 and approval from the Agency, the effluent of the following discharge shall be monitored and limited at all times as follows:

Outfall*: 001 (Reclamation Area Drainage)

	Parameters Parameters Parameters									
Discharge Condition	pH** (S.U.) ***	Sulfate (mg/l) ***	Chloride (mg/l) ***	Hardness ***	Flow (MGD)	Settleable Solids (ml/l) ***				
1	6.5-9.0	1746	500	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	0.5				
II	6.0-9.0	1746	500	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	0.5				
III	6.0-9.0	1746	500	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-				
IV	6.5-9.0	1746	500	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	0.5				

- I Dry weather discharge (base flow, if present) from the outfall.
- II In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.109(b), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period less than or equal to the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt or equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations. The 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event for this area is considered to be 4.76 inches.
- III In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.109(c), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period greater than the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt of equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.109(b).
- IV Discharges continuing 24 hours after cessation of precipitation event that resulted in discharge. For reclamation area discharges, monitoring requirements and permit limitations of Discharge Condition IV are identical to Discharge Condition I to which the outfall discharge has reverted.

Sampling during all Discharge Conditions shall be performed utilizing the grab sampling method. A "no flow" situation is not considered to be a sample of the discharge.

*** One sample per month (1/month) shall be collected if and/or when a discharge occurs under either Discharge Condition I, II or IV and analyzed for the parameters identified in the table above. In addition, at least three (3) grab samples shall be taken each quarter from separate precipitation events under Discharge Condition III and analyzed for parameters indicated in the above table. For quarters in which there are less than 3 such precipitation events, a grab sample of the discharge shall be required whenever such precipitation event(s) occur(s).

^{*} The Permittee is subject to the limitations, and monitoring and reporting requirements of Special Condition No. 12 for the discharges from Outfall 001 and unnamed tributary to Marys River receiving such discharges.

^{**} No discharge is allowed from any above referenced permitted outfall during "low flow" or "no flow" conditions in the receiving stream unless such discharge meets the water quality standards of 35 III. Adm. Code 302.204 for pH.

NPDES Permit No. IL0079481

Effluent Limitations and Monitoring

Upon completion of Special Condition 9 and approval from the Agency, the effluent of the following discharge shall be monitored and limited at all times as follows:

Outfall*: 002 (Reclamation Area Drainage)

		Parameters									
Discharge Condition	pH** (S.U.) ***	Sulfate (mg/l) ***	Chloride (mg/l) ***	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	Hardness ***	Flow (MGD)	Settleable Solids (ml/l)				
1	6.5-9.0	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	0.5				
II	6.0-9.0	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	0.5				
III	6.0-9.0	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	-				
IV	6.5-9.0	250	250	750	Monitor only	Measure When Sampling	0.5				

- I Dry weather discharge (base flow, if present) from the outfall.
- II In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.109(b), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period less than or equal to the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt or equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations. The 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event for this area is considered to be 4.76 inches.
- III In accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.109(c), any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24-hour period greater than the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt of equivalent volume) shall comply with the indicated limitations instead of those in 35 III. Adm. Code 406.109(b).
- IV Discharges continuing 24 hours after cessation of precipitation event that resulted in discharge. For reclamation area discharges, monitoring requirements and permit limitations of Discharge Condition IV are identical to Discharge Condition I to which the outfall discharge has reverted.

Sampling during all Discharge Conditions shall be performed utilizing the grab sampling method. A "no flow" situation is not considered to be a sample of the discharge.

*** One sample per month (1/month) shall be collected if and/or when a discharge occurs under either Discharge Condition I, II or IV and analyzed for the parameters identified in the table above. In addition, at least three (3) grab samples shall be taken each quarter from separate precipitation events under Discharge Condition III and analyzed for parameters indicated in the above table. For quarters in which there are less than 3 such precipitation events, a grab sample of the discharge shall be required whenever such precipitation event(s) occur(s).

^{*} The Permittee is subject to the limitations, and monitoring and reporting requirements of Special Condition No. 12 for the discharges from Outfall 002 and unnamed tributary to Coulterville Lake receiving such discharges.

^{**} No discharge is allowed from any above referenced permitted outfall during "low flow" or "no flow" conditions in the receiving stream unless such discharge meets the water quality standards of 35 III. Adm. Code 302.204 for pH.

NPDES Permit No. IL0079481

Effluent Limitations and Monitoring

Upon completion of Special Condition 9 and approval from the Agency, the effluent of the following discharge shall be monitored and limited at all times as follows:

Outfalls: 001, 002 (Stormwater Discharge)

Paran	neters
pH* (S.U.) **	Settleable Solids (ml/l) **
6.0-9.0	0.5

Stormwater discharge monitoring is subject to the following reporting requirements:

Analysis of samples must be submitted with second quarter Discharge Monitoring Reports.

If discharges can be shown to be similar, a plan may be submitted by November 1 of each year preceding sampling to propose grouping of similar discharges and/or updated previously submitted groupings. If updating of a previously submitted plan is not necessary, a written notification to the Agency, indicating such is required. Upon approval from the Agency, one representative sample for each group may be submitted.

Annual stormwater monitoring is required for all discharges until Final SMCRA Bond is released and approval to cease such monitoring is obtained from the Agency.

^{*} No discharge is allowed from any above referenced permitted outfalls during "low flow" or "no flow" conditions in the receiving stream unless such discharge meets the water quality standards of 35 III. Adm. Code 302.204 for pH.

^{**} One (1) sample per year shall be collected and analyzed for the indicated parameter; however, such sampling and analysis is required only if and/or when a discharge occurs from the individual Outfall(s) identified above.

Construction Authorization No. 6138-12

C.A. Date: April 6, 2012

Authorization is hereby granted to the above designee to construct and operate the mine facilities described as follows:

Surface facilities in support of an underground mine containing a total of 172.1 acres, as described and depicted in IEPA Log No. 6138-12 and located in Section 11, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 4 South Range 5 West, Randolph County, 3rd P.M., Illinois. These surface facilities in support of the underground mine contains office buildings, parking areas, access roads, surface areas associated with mine portal, topsoil and subsoil stockpiles, sediment control structures, collection diversion ditch, haulage/access road, borrow area, overland conveyor, intake and exhaust shafts and materials and electrical drops.

No coal processing or coal waste disposal is proposed at this facility. All coal processing and waste disposal will be performed at the Peabody Coulterville Mining, LLC, Gateway Mine site. In accordance with information provided in IEPA Log No. 6051-12, the coal from Gateway North Mine will not increase the production or coal stockpile areas at the Gateway Mine site and will therefore not result in increased loadings to the discharges from that site.

Surface drainage control is provided by two (2) sedimentation ponds with discharge designated as Outfalls 001 and 002, classified as alkaline mine drainage.

Location and receiving stream of the Outfalls at this facility is as follows:

	Outfall	Latitude		Longitude				
	Number	DEG	MIN	SEC	DEG	MIN	SEC	Receiving Waters
Γ	001	38°	10'	55.1"	89°	37'	26.8"	Unnamed tributary to Marys River
Г	002	38°	11'	30.9"	89°	37'	8.0"	Unnamed tributary to Coulterville Lake

As depicted in IEPA Log Nos. 6138-12 and 6138-12-B compacted clay liners will be constructed beneath the coal stockpile, within sedimentation pond No. 001 that receives runoff from the coal stockpile and the drainage control structure (ditch) that connects these facilities. Construction of the two (2) foot compacted clay liners for the areas discussed above shall be subject to and in accordance with the specifications and testing requirements of Condition No. 12.

Groundwater monitoring requirements are outlined in Condition No. 13.

The abandonment plan shall be executed and completed in accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 405.109.

All water remaining upon abandonment must meet the requirements of 35 III. Adm. Code 406.202. For the constituents not covered by Parts 302 or 303, all water remaining upon abandonment must meet the requirements of 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106.

This Authorization is issued subject to the following Condition(s). If such Condition(s) require(s) additional or revised facilities, satisfactory engineering plan documents must be submitted to this Agency for review and approval to secure issuance of a Supplemental Authorization to Construct.

- If any statement or representation is found to be incorrect, this permit may be revoked and the permittee thereupon waives all rights thereunder.
- 2. The issuance of this permit (a) shall not be considered as in any manner affecting the title of the premises upon which the mine or mine refuse area is to be located; (b) does not release the permittee from any liability for damage to person or property caused by or resulting from the installation, maintenance or operation of the proposed facilities; (c) does not take into consideration the structural stability of any units or parts of the project; and (d) does not release the permittee from compliance with other applicable statutes of the State of Illinois, or with applicable local laws, regulations or ordinances.
- 3. Final plans, specifications, application and supporting documents as submitted by the person indicated on Page 1 as approved shall constitute part of this permit and are identified in the records of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.
- 4. There shall be no deviations from the approved plans and specifications unless revised plans, specifications and application shall first have been submitted to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and a supplemental permit issued.
- 5. The permit holder shall notify the Environmental Protection Agency (217/782-3637) immediately of an emergency at the mine or mine refuse area which causes or threatens to cause a sudden discharge of contaminants into the waters of Illinois and shall immediately undertake necessary corrective measures as required by 35 III. Adm. Code 405.111. (217/782-3637 for calls between the hours of 5:00 p.m. to 8:30 a.m. and on weekends.)

Construction Authorization No. 6138-12

C.A. Date: April 6, 2012

- 6. The termination of an NPDES discharge monitoring point or cessation of monitoring of an NPDES discharge is not authorized by this Agency until the permittee submits adequate justification to show what alternate treatment is provided or that untreated drainage will meet applicable effluent and water quality standards.
- 7. Initial construction activities in areas to be disturbed shall be for collection and treatment facilities only. Prior to the start of other activities, surface drainage controls shall be constructed and operated to avoid violations of the Act or Subtitle D. At such time as runoff water is collected in the sedimentation pond, a sample shall be collected and analyzed, for the parameters designated as 1M through 15M under Part 5-C of Form 2C and the effluent parameters designated herein with the results sent to this Agency. Should additional treatment be necessary to meet the standards of 35 III. Adm. Code 406.106, a Supplemental Permit must be obtained. Discharge from ponds is not allowed unless applicable effluent and water quality standards are met in the basin discharge(s).
- 8. This Agency must be informed in writing and an application submitted if drainage, which was previously classified as alkaline (pH greater than 6.0), becomes acid (pH less than 6.0) or ferruginous (base flow with an iron concentration greater than 10 mg/l). The type of drainage reporting to the basin should be reclassified in a manner consistent with the applicable rule of 35 III. Adm. Code 406 as amended in R84-29 at 11 III. Reg. 12899. The application should discuss the treatment method and demonstrate how the discharge will meet the applicable standards.
- 9. A permittee has the obligation to add a settling aid if necessary to meet the suspended solids or settleable solids effluent standards. The selection of a settling aid and the application practice shall be in accordance with a. or b. below
 - a. Alum (Al₂(SO₄)₃), hydrated lime (Ca(OH)₂), soda ash (Na₂CO₃), alkaline pit pumpage, acetylene production by-product (tested for impurities), and ground limestone are acceptable settling aids and are hereby permitted for alkaline mine drainage sedimentation ponds.
 - b. Any other settling aids such as commercial flocculents and coagulants are permitted <u>only on prior approval from the Agency</u>. To obtain approval a permitted must demonstrate in writing to the Agency that such use will not cause a violation of the toxic substances standard of 35 III. Adm. Code 302.210 or of the appropriate effluent and water quality standards of 35 III. Adm. Code parts 302, 304, and 406.
- 10. A general plan for the nature and disposition of all liquids used to drill boreholes shall be filed with this Agency prior to any such operation. This plan should be filed at such time that the operator becomes aware of the need to drill unless the plan of operation was contained in a previously approved application.
- 11. Any of the following shall be a violation of the provisions required under 35 III. Adm. Code 406.202:
 - a. It is demonstrated that an adverse effect on the environment in and around the receiving stream has occurred or is likely to occur.
 - b. It is demonstrated that the discharge has adversely affected or is likely to adversely affect any public water supply.
 - c. The Agency determines that the permittee is not utilizing Good Mining Practices in accordance with 35 III. Adm. Code 406.204 which are fully described in detail in Sections 406.205, 406.206, 406.207 and 406.208 in order to minimize the discharge of total dissolved solids, chloride, sulfate, iron and manganese. To the extent practical, such Good Mining Practices shall be implemented to:
 - Stop or minimize water from coming into contact with disturbed areas through the use of diversions and/or runoff controls (Section 406.205).
 - . Retention and control within the site of waters exposed to disturbed materials utilizing erosion controls, sedimentation controls, water reuse or recirculation, minimization of exposure to disturbed materials, etc. (Section 406.206).
 - ii. Control and treatment of waters discharged from the site by regulation of flow of discharges and/or routing of discharges to more suitable discharge locations (Section 406.207).

Construction Authorization No. 6138-12

C.A. Date: April 6, 2012

- iv. Utilized unconventional practices to prevent the production or discharge of waters containing elevated contaminant concentrations such as diversion of groundwater prior to entry into a surface or underground mine, dewatering practices to remove clean water prior to contacting disturbed materials and/or any additional practices demonstrated to be effective in reducing contaminant levels in discharges (Section 406.208).
- 12. The two (2) foot compacted clay liners to be constructed beneath the coal stockpile, within sedimentation Pond No. 001 and the drainage control structure (ditch) that connects these facilities shall be subject to the following specifications and procedures as detailed in IEPA Log Nos. 6138-12 and 6138-12-B.
 - a. All trees and/or roots that may compromise liner integrity will be thoroughly removed and the area properly backfilled and
 - b. Soil for liner construction will be placed in two (2) individual lifts of 12 inch thickness each.
 - c. The soil will be compacted to at least 95% standard Proctor dry unit weight at a moisture content within 3% (+ or -) of the optimum.
 - d. Moisture and density testing by nuclear methods shall be conducted at a rate of at least four (4) tests per structure (sedimentation pond 001, coal stockpile base and connecting drainage structures) per twelve (12) inch lift. Testing of the lower or initial soil lift shall be performed prior to placement or construction of the upper lift.
 - e. Permeability testing using Shelby tube samples may be used instead of nuclear density testing as discussed in Condition 12(d) above. If Shelby tube sampling is elected, the testing frequency and/or rate shall remain the same of at least four (4) tests per structure per twelve (12) inch lift.
- 13. Groundwater monitoring requirements for Well Nos. 14MW-1 and 14MW-2 are as follows:
 - a. Ambient background monitoring shall be performed for all wells identified in 13(a) above. Such ambient monitoring shall consist of six (6) samples collected during the first year (approximately bi-monthly) following well installation but no later than during the first year of facility operation to determine ambient background concentrations. Background monitoring shall include the following list of constituents:

Aluminum Fluoride Sulfate
Antimony Iron (dissolved) Thallium

Arsenic Iron (total) Total Dissolved Solids
Barium Lead Vanadium
Beryllium Manganese (dissolved) Zinc
Boron Manganese (total) pH

Beryllium Manganese (dissolved) Zinc
Boron Manganese (total) pH
Cadmium Mercury Acidity
Chloride Molybdenum Alkalinity
Chromium Nickel Hardess
Caballa Manganese (dissolved)
Monganese (dissolved)
PH
Cadmium Alkalinity
Chromium Nickel Hardess
Caballa Manganese (dissolved)
PH
Cadmium Alkalinity
Chromium Nickel Hardess
Caballa Manganese (dissolved)
PH
Cadmium Alkalinity
Chromium Nickel Hardess
Caballa Manganese (dissolved)
PH
Cadmium Alkalinity
Chromium Nickel Hardess
Caballa Manganese (dissolved)
PH
Cadmium Acidity
Chromium Nickel Hardess
Caballa Manganese (dissolved)
PH
Cadmium Acidity
Chromium Nickel Hardess
Caballa Manganese (dissolved)

Cobalt Phenols Static Water Elevation

Copper Selenium Cyanide Silver

Note: Ambient background monitoring for Well Nos. 14MW-1 and 14MW-2 has been completed with the results of such monitoring summarized and included in IEPA Log No. 6138-12-B.

- b. Following the ambient monitoring as required under Condition No. 13(a) above, routine monitoring shall continue on a quarterly basis as follows:
 - . Monitoring Well No. 14MW-2 shall continue to be monitored quarterly for the contaminants identified in Condition No. 13(a) above.
 - . Monitoring Well No. 14MW-1 shall be monitored quarterly as required by IDNR/OMM for the following list of constituents:

Iron (dissolved)ChlorideIron (total)HardnessManganese (dissolved)Acidity

Manganese (dissolved)

Manganese (total)

Sulfate

Acidity

Alkalinity

OH

Total Dissolved Solids Static Water Elevation

Construction Authorization No. 6138-12

C.A. Date: April 6, 2012

- c. Following completion of active mining and reclamation, post-mining monitoring of the above referenced wells shall consist of six (6) samples collected during a 12-month period (approximately bi-monthly) to determine post-mining concentrations. Post-mining monitoring shall include the list of constituents identified in Condition No. 13(a) above.
- d. Groundwater monitoring reports shall be submitted to the Agency in accordance with Special Condition Nos. 3 and 5 of this NPDES permit.
- e. A statistically valid representation of background and/or post mining water quality required under Condition No. 13(a) and 13(c) above shall be submitted utilizing the following method. This method shall be used to determine the upper 95 percent confidence limit for each parameter listed above.

Should the Permittee determine that an alternate statistical method would be more appropriate based on the data being evaluated, the Permittee may request utilization of such alternate methodology. Upon approval from the Agency, the alternate methodology may be utilized to determine a statistically valid representation of background and/or post mining water quality.

This method should be used to predict the confidence limit when single groundwater samples are taken from each monitoring (test) well.

Construction Authorization No. 6138-12

C.A. Date: April 6, 2012

i. Determine the arithmetic mean (b) of each indicator parameter for the sampling period. If more than one well is used, an equal number of samples must be taken from each well.

$$\overline{X}_b = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots X_n}{n}$$

Where:

 \overline{X}_b = Average value for a given chemical parameter

 $X_n = \text{Values for each sample}$

n = the number of samples taken

ii. Calculate the background and/or post mining variance (S_b^2) and standard deviation (S_b) for each parameter using the values (X_n) from each sample of the well(s) as follows:

$$S_b^2 = \frac{(X_1 - \overline{X}_b)^2 + (X_2 - \overline{X}_b)^2 + ... + (X_n - \overline{X}_b)^2}{n-1}$$

$$S_b = \sqrt{S_b^2}$$

iii. Calculate the upper confidence limit using the following formula:

$$CL = \overline{X}_b \pm t \sqrt{1 + 1/n}$$
 \mathfrak{G}_b

Where:

CL = upper confidence limit prediction (upper and lower limits should be calculated for pH) t = one-tailed t value at the required significance level and at n-1 degrees of freedom from Table 1 (a two-tailed t value should be used for pH)

- iv. If the values of any routine parameter for any monitoring well exceed the upper confidence limit for that parameter, the permittee shall conclude that a statistically significant change has occurred at that well.
- v. When some of the background and/or post mining values are less than the Method Detection Limit (MDL), a value of one-half (1/2) the MDL shall be substituted for each value that is reported as less than the MDL. All other computations shall be calculated as given above.

If all the background and/or post mining values are less than the MDL for a given parameter, the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL), as given in 35 III. Adm. Code Part 724 Appendix I shall be used to evaluate data from monitoring wells. If the analytical results from any monitoring well exceed two (2) times the PQL for any single parameter, or if they exceed the PQLs for two or more parameters, the permittee shall conclude that a statistically significant change has occurred.

Construction Authorization No. 6138-12

C.A. Date: April 6, 2012

<u>Table 1</u> Standard t-Tables Level of Significance

Degrees of freedom	t-valu (one-ta		t-value (two-tail)*	S
Dogrocs of fieldoffi	99%	95%	99%	95%
4	3.747	2.132	4.604	2.776
5	3.365	2.015	4.032	2.571
6	3.143	1.943	3.707	2.447
7	2.998	1.895	3.499	2.365
8	2.896	1.860	3.355	2.306
9	2.821	1.833	3.250	2.262
10	2.764	1.812	3.169	2.228
11	2.718	1.796	3.106	2.201
12	2.681	1.782	3.055	2.179
13	2.650	1.771	3.012	2.160
14	2.624	1.761	2.977	2.145
15	2.602	1.753	2.947	2.131
16	2.583	1.746	2.921	2.120
17	2.567	1.740	2.898	2.110
18	2.552	1.734	2.878	2.101
19	2.539	1.729	2.861	2.093
20	2.528	1.725	2.845	2.086
21	2.518	1.721	2.831	2.080
22	2.508	1.717	2.819	2.074
23	2.500	1.714	2.807	2.069
24	2.492	1.711	2.797	2.064
25	2.485	1.708	2.787	2.060
30	2.457	1.697	2.750	2.042
40	2.423	1.684	2.704	2.021

Adopted from Table III of "Statistical Tables for Biological Agricultural and Medical Research" (1947, R.A. Fisher and F. Yates).

^{*} For pH only when required.

Special Conditions

<u>Special Condition No. 1</u>: No effluent from any mine related facility area under this permit shall, alone or in combination with other sources, cause a violation of any applicable water quality standard as set out in the Illinois Pollution Control Board Rules and Regulations, Subtitle C: Water Pollution.

<u>Special Condition No. 2</u>: Samples taken in compliance with the effluent monitoring requirements shall be taken at a point representative of the discharge, but prior to entry into the receiving stream.

<u>Special Condition No. 3</u>: All periodic monitoring and reporting forms, including Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms, shall be submitted to the Agency according to the schedule outlined in Special Condition No. 4 or 5 below with one (1) copy forwarded to each of the following addresses:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Division of Water Pollution Control 1021 North Grand Ave., East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Mine Pollution Control Program 2309 West Main Street, Suite 116 Marion, Illinois 62959

Attn: Compliance Assurance Section

Should electronic filing be available and elected for any periodic monitoring and reporting requirements, the Agency shall be notified via correspondence or e-mail at such time that the electronic filing has been completed.

<u>Special Condition No. 4</u>: Completed Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms and stream monitoring results, shall be retained by the Permittee for a period of three (3) months and shall be mailed and received by the IEPA at the addresses indicated in Special Condition No. 3 above in accordance with the following schedule, unless otherwise specified by the permitting authority.

Period Received by IEPA

January, February, MarchMay 1April, May, JuneAugust 1July, August, SeptemberNovember 1October, November, DecemberFebruary 1

The Permittee shall record discharge monitoring results on Discharge Monitoring Report forms (DMR's) using one such form for each applicable Discharge Condition each month.

Special Condition No. 5: Completed periodic monitoring and reporting, other than DMR's and stream monitoring (i.e., groundwater monitoring, coal combustion waste analysis reports, etc.), shall be retained by the Permittee for a period of three (3) months and shall be mailed and received by the IEPA at the addresses indicated in Special Condition No. 3 above in accordance with the following schedule, unless otherwise specified by the permitting authority.

Period Received by IEPA

January, February, March
April, May, June
August 1
July, August, September
October, November, December
Away 1
November 1
Pebruary 1

Special Condition No. 6: If an applicable effluent standard or limitation is promulgated under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the Clean Water Act and that effluent standard or limitation is more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit or controls a pollutant not limited in the NPDES Permit, the Agency shall revise or modify the permit in accordance with the more stringent standard or prohibition and shall so notify the permittee.

<u>Special Condition No. 7</u>: The permittee shall notify the Agency in writing by certified mail within thirty days of abandonment, cessation, or suspension of active mining for thirty days or more unless caused by a labor dispute. During cessation or suspension of active mining, whether caused by a labor dispute or not, the permittee shall provide whatever interim impoundment, drainage diversion, and wastewater treatment is necessary to avoid violations of the Act or Subtitle D.

<u>Special Condition No. 8</u>: Plans must be submitted to and approved by this Agency prior to construction of a sedimentation pond. At such time as runoff water is collected in the sedimentation pond, a sample shall be collected and analyzed for the parameters designated as 1M-15M under Part 5-C of Form 2C and the effluent parameters designated herein with the results sent to this Agency. Should additional treatment be necessary to meet these standards, a Supplemental Permit must also be obtained. Discharge from a pond is not allowed unless applicable effluent and water quality standards are met.

Special Conditions

Special Condition No. 9: The special reclamation area effluent standards of 35 III. Adm. Code 406.109 apply only on approval from the Agency. To obtain approval, a request form and supporting documentation shall be submitted 45 days prior to the month that the permittee wishes the discharge be classified as a reclamation area discharge. The Agency will notify the permittee upon approval of the change.

Special Condition No. 10: The special stormwater effluent standards apply only on approval from the Agency. To obtain approval, a request with supporting documentation shall be submitted 45 days prior to the month that the permittee proposes the discharge to be classified as a stormwater discharge. The documentation supporting the request shall include analysis results indicating the discharge will consistently comply with reclamation area discharge effluent standards. The Agency will notify the permittee upon approval of the change.

<u>Special Condition No. 11</u>: Annual stormwater monitoring is required for all discharges not reporting to a sediment basin until Final SMCRA Bond is released and approval to cease such monitoring is obtained from the Agency.

- A. Each discharge must be monitored for pH and settleable solids annually.
- B. Analysis of samples must be submitted with second quarter Discharge Monitoring Reports. A map with discharge locations must be included in this submittal.
- C. If discharges can be shown to be similar, a plan may be submitted by November 1 of each year preceding sampling to propose grouping of similar discharges and/or update previously submitted groupings. If updating of a previously submitted plan is not necessary, a written notification to the Agency indicating such is required. Upon approval from the Agency, one representative sample for each group may be submitted.

Special Condition No. 12: Sediment Pond Operation and Maintenance (Outfalls 001, 002):

- a. For discharges resulting from precipitation events, in addition to the alternate effluent (Discharge Condition Nos. II and III) monitoring requirements, as indicated on the applicable effluent pages of this Permit, discharges from Outfalls 001 and 002 shall be monitored and reported for Discharge Rate, Sulfate, Chloride and Hardness.
- b. The following sampling and monitoring requirements are applicable to flow in the unnamed tributary to Marys River and unnamed tributary to Coulterville Lake which receive discharges from Outfalls 001 and 002.
 - i. All sampling and monitoring required under 12(b)(ii) and (iii) below shall be performed during a discharge and monitoring event from the associated outfall.
 - ii. Unnamed tributary to Marys River and unnamed tributary to Coulterville Lake shall be monitored and reported quarterly for Discharge Rate, Chloride, Sulfate and Hardness downstream of the associated outfall. This downstream monitoring shall be performed a sufficient distance downstream of the associated outfall to ensure that complete mixing has occurred. At such time that sufficient information has been collected regarding receiving stream flow characteristics and in-stream contaminant concentrations the permittee may request a re-evaluation of the monitoring frequency required herein for possible reduction or elimination. For the purpose of re-evaluating the downstream monitoring frequency of the receiving stream, "sufficient information" is defined as a minimum of ten (10) quarterly sampling events.
 - In the event that downstream monitoring of the receiving waters is eliminated during the term of this permit based on an evaluation of the quarterly data, a minimum of three (3) additional samples analyzed for the parameters identified above must be submitted with the permit renewal application a minimum of 180 days prior to expiration of this permit.
 - iii. Unnamed tributary to Marys River and unnamed tributary to Coulterville Lake shall be monitored and reported annually for Discharge Rate, Chloride, Sulfate and Hardness upstream of the associated outfall.

<u>Special Condition No. 13</u>: Data collected in accordance with Special Condition No. 12 above will be utilized to evaluate the appropriateness of the effluent limits established in this Permit. Should the Agency's evaluation of this data indicate revised effluent limits are warranted; this permit may be reopened and modified to incorporate more appropriate effluent limitations. This data will also be used for determination of effluent limitations at the time of permit renewal.

Samples shall be collected and tested in accordance with USEPA 1631E using the option at Section 11.1.1.2 requiring the heating of samples at 50°C for 6 hours in a BrCl solution in closed vessels. This test method has a Method Detection Limit (MDL) of 1.0 ng/l (nanograms/liter). The results of such testing must be reported in ng/l (nanograms/liter) and submitted with the quarterly Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs). The Permittee may submit a written request to the Agency to discontinue quarterly Mercury monitoring if the sampling results show no reasonable potential to exceed the Mercury water quality standard.